

Drug Class Review

Newer Drugs for the Treatment of Diabetes Mellitus

**Final Report
Evidence Tables**

August 2008

The Agency for Healthcare Research and
Quality has not yet seen or approved this report.

The purpose of this report is to make available information regarding the comparative effectiveness and safety profiles of different drugs within pharmaceutical classes. Reports are not usage guidelines, nor should they be read as an endorsement of, or recommendation for, any particular drug, use or approach. Oregon Health & Science University does not recommend or endorse any guideline or recommendation developed by users of these reports.

Susan L. Norris, MD, MPH, MSc
Nancy J. Lee, PharmD, BCPS
Susan Severance, MPH
Sujata Thakurta, MPA, HA
Benjamin K.S. Chan, MS

Oregon Evidence-based Practice Center
Oregon Health & Science University
Mark Helfand, MD, MPH, Director

Copyright © 2008 by Oregon Health & Science University
Portland, Oregon 97239. All rights reserved.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus.....	5
Aronne 2007.....	5
Ashner 2006.....	5
Barnett 2007.....	8
Blonde 2006.....	9
Buse 2004.....	10
Buse 2007.....	12
Charbonnel 2006.....	13
Davis 2007.....	14
DeFronzo 2005.....	15
Edelman 2006.....	17
Goldstein 2007.....	19
Goldstein 2007.....	22
Heine 2005.....	23
Hermansen 2007.....	24
Hollander 2003.....	27
Hollander 2003.....	29
Hollander 2004.....	30
Karl 2007.....	31
Kendall 2005.....	32
King 2006.....	33
Klonoff 2008.....	34
Maggs 2003.....	35
Nauck 2007.....	38
Nuack 2007.....	39
Nelson 2007.....	40
Nonaka 2007.....	41
Ratner 2002.....	42
Ratner 2004.....	44
Ratner 2005.....	46
Ratner 2006.....	47
Raz 2006.....	48
Raz 2008.....	50
Riddle 2006.....	51
Riddle 2007.....	52
Rosenstock 2006.....	55
Scott 2007.....	56
Scott 2008.....	59
Whitehouse 2002.....	61
Whitehouse 2002.....	62
Zinman 2007.....	63
 Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus.....	 64
Aronne 2007.....	64
Ashner 2006.....	64
Barnett 2007.....	65
Buse 2004.....	66
Charbonnel 2006.....	67
Davis 2007.....	67
DeFronzo 2005.....	68
Edelman 2006.....	69
Goldstein 2007.....	70

Goldstein 2007.....	73
Heine 2005.....	74
Hermansen 2007.....	75
Hollander 2003.....	78
Hollander 2003.....	78
Hollander 2004.....	79
Karl 2007.....	79
Kendall 2005.....	80
Klonoff 2008.....	81
Maggs 2003.....	82
Nauck 2007.....	83
Nuack 2007.....	83
Nonaka 2007.....	84
Ratner 2002.....	85
Ratner 2004.....	86
Ratner 2005.....	87
Raz 2006.....	88
Raz 2008.....	89
Riddle 2007.....	90
Rosenstock 2006.....	92
Scott 2007.....	93
Scott 2008.....	94
Whitehouse 2002.....	95
Whitehouse 2002.....	95
Zinman 2007.....	96

Evidence Table 3. AE of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus.....

IS.....	97
Aronne 2007.....	97
Ashner 2006.....	98
Barnett 2007.....	101
Blonde 2006.....	102
Buse 2004.....	103
Buse 2007.....	104
Charbonnel 2006.....	105
Davis 2007.....	106
DeFronzo 2005.....	107
Edelman 2006.....	108
Goldstein 2007.....	109
Goldstein 2007.....	110
Heine 2005.....	111
Hermansen 2007.....	113
Hollander 2003.....	115
Hollander 2003.....	116
Hollander 2004.....	116
Karl 2007.....	117
Kendall 2005.....	118
King 2006.....	119
Klonoff 2008.....	119
Maggs 2003.....	120
Nauck 2007.....	121
Nuack 2007.....	123
Nelson 2007.....	124
Nonaka 2007.....	125
Ratner 2002.....	126
Ratner 2004.....	128

Ratner 2005.....	129
Ratner 2006.....	129
Raz 2006.....	130
Raz 2008.....	133
Riddle 2006.....	135
Riddle 2007.....	136
Rosenstock 2006.....	137
Scott 2007.....	139
Scott 2008.....	140
Whitehouse 2002.....	140
Whitehouse 2002.....	141
Zinman 2007.....	142
Evidence Table 4. Quality assessment of efficacy trials.....	143
Aronne 2007.....	143
Ashner 2006.....	143
Barnett 2007.....	144
Blonde 2006.....	144
Buse 2004.....	145
Buse 2007.....	145
Charbonnel 2006.....	146
Davis 2007.....	146
DeFronzo 2005.....	147
Edelman 2006.....	147
Goldstein 2007.....	148
Heine 2005.....	149
Hermansen 2007.....	149
Hollander 2003.....	150
Hollander 2004.....	150
Karl 2007.....	151
Kendall 2005.....	152
King 2006.....	152
Klonoff 2008.....	153
Maggs 2003.....	153
Nauck 2007.....	154
Nelson 2007.....	155
Nonaka 2007.....	155
Ratner 2002.....	156
Ratner 2004.....	156
Ratner 2005.....	157
Ratner 2006.....	157
Raz 2006.....	158
Raz 2008.....	158
Riddle 2006.....	159
Riddle 2007.....	159
Rosenstock 2006.....	160
Scott 2007.....	160
Scott 2008.....	161
Whitehouse 2002.....	161
Whitehouse 2002.....	162
Zinman 2007.....	162
Evidence Table 5. Systematic review of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus by Amori et al 2007.....	163
Evidence Table 6. Quality assessment of systematic reviews of newer drugs for the treatment of Diabetes Mellitus.....	165

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Aronne 2007 US placebo- controlled Poor	44 enrolled 25 weeks	Obese adults, 30-70 yrs with or without DM2; BMI 30-50 kg/m ² ; lab values within normal limits; stable body weight 2 mos before screening; obese subjects with DM2 eligible if A1c ≤8% and not on OHA except for MET	Clinically significant cardiac disease, hepatic disease; BP > 160/95 mmHg; malignant disease requiring chemotherapy; psychiatric illness; eating disorders; gastrointestinal disorders; enrolled in or enrolling in a weight loss study; taking weight loss medications; any OHA except MET, steroids, or any drugs affecting GI motility	NR	Pramlintide (Symlin) Placebo	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 48 (10) % male: 19.71% Race/ethnicity White: 77% Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 23% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: NR Baseline BMI: 37.9 Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 49 (19) % male: 19.4% Race/ethnicity White: 79% Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 21% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: NR Baseline BMI: 37.6	NR	

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Aschner 2006 Multinational placebo- controlled Fair	741 enrolled 24 weeks	18-75 years of age, on and not on an OHA were eligible.	DM1, unstable cardiac disease, significant renal impairment (CrCL<50ml/min), or elevated (more than 2-fold the upper limit of normal), alanine aminotransferase, or creatine phosphokinase.	NR	Sitagliptin 100 mg Sitagliptin 200 mg	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 53.4 (9.5) % male: 57.1% Race/ethnicity White: 51.3% Black: 4.2% Asian: 13.4% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 6.7% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 24.4% Baseline A1c: 8.0 Baseline BMI: 30.3 Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 54.9 (10.1) % male: 46.8% Race/ethnicity White: 52.8% Black: 4.8% Asian: 14.8% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 6.4% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 21.2% Baseline A1c: 8.1 Baseline BMI: 30.3	Merck	Patients received counseling on exercise and a wt- maintenance diet consistent with ADA recommendations throughout the study.

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Year	Country	Trial type	Quality	Total sample size	Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
										Placebo	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 54.3 (10.1) % male: 51.4% Race/ethnicity White: 50.2% Black: 6.3% Asian: 13.4% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 4.7% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 25.3% Baseline A1c: 8.0 Baseline BMI: 30.8		

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Barnett 2007 Europe, Mexico active-control Fair-poor	141 enrolled 32 weeks	T2DM; > or = 30 yrs; receiving in stable doses of metformin > 1500mg/day or optimally effective dose of SU x 3 mos; A1c 7.1-11%; BMI 25-40 kg/m ² ; stable body weight (within 10%) >3 mos.	Not reported	NR	Exenatide + MET or SU Glargine+ MET or SU	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 54.5 () % male: 48.53% Race/ethnicity White: NR Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander: NR Other: NR More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 8.89 Baseline BMI: 31.3 Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 55.3 () % male: 45.71% Race/ethnicity White: NR Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander: NR Other: NR More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 9.0 Baseline BMI: 30.9	Eli Lilly	Crossover was at 16 weeks. Despite significant improvements in A1c, the mean A1c at the study endpoint remained above the ADA target of <7%.

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Blonde 2006 US Open label extension N/A	974 enrolled 82 weeks	Patients who completed the 30-wk studies (Buse 2004, Kendall 2005, DeFronzo 2005) could participate in the open-label extension. All patients received exenatide 5 mcg Bid x4 wks then 10 mcg Bid.	Those randomized to placebo in the initial trials were not included	NR	Exenatide 10 mcg	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 55 (10) % male: 61% Race/ethnicity White: 74% Black: 10% Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 4% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 12% Baseline A1c: 8.4 Baseline BMI: 34	Amylin Pharmaceutica ls, Eli Lilly	Same study as Buse 2007

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Buse 2004 US placebo- controlled Fair	377 enrolled 30 weeks	22-76 yrs with DM2 on maximum SU- monotherapy \geq 3 mos; FPG $<$ 240 mg/dL; BMI 27-45 kg/m ² ; A1c 7.1- 11.0%; stable wt x 3mos and no clinically relevant lab abnormality ($>$ 25% of normal values)	Used MET, TZDs, meglitinides, alpha- glucosidase inhibitors, insulin, or wt-loss drugs within the prior 3 mos; tx with corticosteroids, drugs known to affect GI motility, transplant meds, or any investigational drugs; evidence of clinically significant comorbidities	NR	Exenatide 10 mcg + SU Exenatide 5 mcg + SU	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 56 (11) % male: 57.4% Race/ethnicity White: 59.7% Black: 16.3% Asian: 1.6% American Indian, Native Alaskan: 0.0% Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 0.8% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 21.7% Baseline A1c: 8.6 Baseline BMI: NR Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 55 (10) % male: 59.2% Race/ethnicity White: 61.6% Black: 16.8% Asian: 1.6% American Indian, Native Alaskan: 0.8% Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 0.8% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 18.4% Baseline A1c: 8.5 Baseline BMI: NR	Eli Lilly & Amylin Pharmaceutica ls	Included an acclimation period of 4 wks to minimize nausea for all arms including placebo (ie, started all meds at 5 mcg Bid dose); equivalent volumes of placebo were administered. Randomization was stratified according to A1c values ($<$ 9.0% and \geq 9.0%). All patients on max SU but dose of SU could be decreased by 50% increments based on hypoglycemic events. Placebo 5 mcg and Placebo 10 mcg arms were combined for the analysis.

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Year	Country	Trial type	Quality	Total sample size	Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
										Placebo (combined) + SU	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 55 (11) % male: 62.6% Race/ethnicity White: 66.7% Black: 9.8% Asian: 1.6% American Indian, Native Alaskan: 0.0% Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 0.8% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 21.1% Baseline A1c: 8.7 Baseline BMI: NR		

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Buse 2007 U.S. Open label extension N/A	974 enrolled 104 weeks	Patients who completed the 30-wk studies (Buse 2004, Kendall 2005, DeFronzo 2005) could participate in the open-label extension. All patients received exenatide 5 mcg Bid x4 wks then 10 mcg Bid.	NR	NR	Exenatide 10 mcg	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 55 (10) % male: 59% Race/ethnicity White: 74% Black: 11% Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander: NR Other: 3% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 12% Baseline A1c: 8.4 Baseline BMI: 34	Amylin Pharmaceutica ls, Eli Lilly	Same population as Blonde 2007 Weight change at wk 104 was minimally correlated with baseline ALT (r= -0.09) or ALT change (r= 0.31) for the overall group. The correlation between change in A1c and change in ALT were minimally correlated with those with elevated baseline ALT (r= 0.29) When stratified by baseline ALT, those with elevated ALT at baseline lost more weight than those with normal ALT at baseline. Between-treatment difference: -1.4 kg (95% CI -2.7, -0.1), p=0.04 Exenatide was also associated with improved ALT and AST in those with elevated ALT and AST at baseline but had little to no effect in those with normal ALT and AST at baseline. Those with normal ALT and AST

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country	Total sample size							
Trial type	Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Quality								
Charbonnel 2006	701 enrolled 24 weeks	18-78 yrs with DM2; A1c 7%-10%; taking MET monotherapy of at least 1500 mg/day. Patients not currently on any OHA, were taking any OHA in monotherapy, or were taking MET in combination with another OHA were also eligible	DM1, insulin use within 8 wks of screening, renal function impairment inconsistent with the use of MET or a FPG >14.4 mmol/l (260mg/dl).	NR	Sitagliptin 100mg + MET ≥ 1.5 g	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 54.4 (10.4) % male: 55.8% Race/ethnicity White: 63.1% Black: 6.7% Asian: 10.6% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 4.1% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 15.5% Baseline A1c: 8.0 Baseline BMI: 30.9	Merck	Randomized in a 1:2 ratio (placebo vs. sitagliptin)
multinational								
placebo-controlled								
Fair					Placebo + MET ≥ 1.5 g	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 54.7 (9.7) % male: 59.5% Race/ethnicity White: 67.1% Black: 5.9% Asian: 11.0% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 4.2% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 11.8% Baseline A1c: 8.0 Baseline BMI: 31.5		

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Davis 2007 U.S. active-control Fair-poor	51 enrolled 16 weeks	Between 30 - 75 yrs; DM2 > or = 2 yrs; treated with 1 of following > or =3 mos to 12 yrs: 1 or 2x daily NPH insulin, once daily insulin glargine, 1 or 2 x daily ultralente insulin or an insulin mixture; all pts on oral antidiabetes regimens of an immediate or extended release MET and/or a SU for at least 3 mos or a fixed-dose SU/MET combo therapy; HbA1c < or = 10.5%, BMI > 27 and < 40 kg/m2, history of stable wt	More than 3 episodes of severe hypoglycemia w/in 6 mos; prescription drug use for wt loss w/in 3 mos; previously received exenatide or GLP-1 analogues	Metformin: 43% SU: 8% Insulin: 100%	Exenatide (Byetta) 10 mcg BID + oral antidiabetes Insulin + oral diabetes meds	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 54 (8) % male: 45.45% Race/ethnicity White: NR Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: NR More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 8.0 Baseline BMI: 33 Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 52 (8) % male: 50% Race/ethnicity White: NR Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: NR More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 8.3 Baseline BMI: 35	Eli Lilly & Amylin	This was a substitution study of exenatide for insulin. Patients randomized 2:1 to exenatide or insulin reference therapy. Patients continued oral antidiabetes meds, diet, and exercise regimens. SU dose decreased by ~ 50%. Exenatide acclimation period of 4 wks at 5 mcg BID before fixed dose of exenatide to 10 mcg BID for 12 wks.

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
DeFronzo 2005 U.S. placebo- controlled Fair	336 enrolled 30 weeks	DM2 treated with metformin monotherapy > or = 1,500 mg/day for 3 mos; FPG < 13.3 mmol/l; BMI 27 - 45 kg/m ² ; HbA1c 7.1 - 11.0%; weight stable (+/-10%) for 3 mos before screening w/no clinically significant (for DM2 population) abnormal lab test values (>25% outside normal)	Use of sulfonylureas, meglitinides, TZDs, alpha-glucosidase inhibitors, exogenous insulin, weight loss drugs, corticosteroids, drugs known to affect gastrointestinal motility, transplantation medications, or any investigational drug or evidence of clinically significant comorbid conditions for 3 mos before screening	Metformin: 100% SU: 0% Insulin: 0% antihypertensives = ACEs only, hyperlipidemia drugs = hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA reductase inhibitors only	Exenatide (Byetta) 10 mcg BID + metformin Exenatide (Byetta) 5mcg BID + metformin	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 52 (11) % male: 60.2% Race/ethnicity White: 79.6% Black: 8.8% Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 3.5% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 8% Baseline A1c: 8.2 Baseline BMI: NR Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 53 (11) % male: 51.8% Race/ethnicity White: 77.3% Black: 10.9% Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 4.6% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 7.3% Baseline A1c: 8.3 Baseline BMI: NR	Pharmaceutica l (Amylin & Eli Lilly)	Exenatide acclimation period of 4 wks at 5 mcg BID before fixed dose of exenatide to 10 mcg BID or kept at 5 mcg BID.

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Year	Country	Trial type	Quality	Total sample size	Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
										Placebo + metformin	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 54 (9) % male: 59.3% Race/ethnicity White: 72.6% Black: 13.3% Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 3.5% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 10.6% Baseline A1c: 8.2 Baseline BMI: NR		

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Edelman 2006 US placebo- controlled Fair	296 enrolled 29 weeks	> or = 18 yrs, insulin use > 1 yr, A1C 7.5- 9%, and no severe hypoglycemia for 6 mos before screening. Most were on intensive insulin therapy.	Clinically significant comorbid conditions including gastroparesis; using medications affecting gastrointestinal motility, or using oral antidiabetic or antiobesity agents.	NR	Combined Pramlintide arms + insulin Pramlintide 30mcg + insulin	Type 1 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 41 (14) % male: 48.65% Race/ethnicity White: 90.5% Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 9.5% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 8.1 Baseline BMI: 27.7 Type 1 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 40 (11) % male: 36.59% Race/ethnicity White: 85.4% Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 14.6% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 8.2 Baseline BMI: 27	NR; Amylin Pharmaceutica Is?	

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Year	Country	Trial type	Quality	Total sample size	Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
										Pramlintide 60mcg + insulin	Type 1 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 41 (16) % male: 53.47% Race/ethnicity White: 92% Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 8% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 8.1 Baseline BMI: 28.1		
										Placebo+insulin	Type 1 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 41 (12) % male: 40.82% Race/ethnicity White: 91% Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 9% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 8.1 Baseline BMI: 27.8		

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country	Total sample size							
Trial type	Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Quality								
Goldstein 2007	1091 enrolled 24 weeks	18-78 with DM2 either on or not on OHA	DM1, unstable cardiac disease, significant renal impairment (CrCL < 60 mL/min), > 2x upper limit of normal for ALT and AST; those who had A1c >11% or FG > 280 mg/dL after run-in were not eligible for randomization	NR	MET 1000 mg Bid	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 53.2 (9.6) % male: 45.05% Race/ethnicity White: 58.2% Black: 4.9% Asian: 5.5% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 9.9% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 21.4% Baseline A1c: 8.7 Baseline BMI: 32.2	Merck	Patients with baseline A1c >11% were enrolled into a single-arm open- label study. This was not included in our review since it did not meet study inclusion criteria.
multinational					MET 500 mg Bid	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 53.4 (10.2) % male: 48.9% Race/ethnicity White: 47.8% Black: 6.6% Asian: 7.7% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 7.7% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 30.2% Baseline A1c: 8.9 Baseline BMI: 32.1		
placebo- controlled								
Fair								

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Year	Country	Trial type	Quality	Total sample size	Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
										Sitagliptin 100 mg	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 53.3 (10.2) % male: 51.96% Race/ethnicity White: 52% Black: 6.1% Asian: 3.4% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 9.5% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 29.1% Baseline A1c: 8.9 Baseline BMI: 31.2		
										Sitagliptin 50 mg + MET 1000 mg Bid	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 53.3 (9.6) % male: 42.31% Race/ethnicity White: 52.2% Black: 7.7% Asian: 6% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 7.1% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 26.9% Baseline A1c: 8.7 Baseline BMI: 32.4		

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Year	Country	Trial type	Quality	Total sample size	Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
										Sitagliptin 50 mg + MET 500 mg Bid	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 54.1 (10.0) % male: 55.26% Race/ethnicity White: 53.7% Black: 6.8% Asian: 4.7% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 5.8% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 28.9% Baseline A1c: 8.8 Baseline BMI: 32.1		
										Placebo	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 53.6 (10) % male: 52.84% Race/ethnicity White: 46% Black: 9.7% Asian: 6.8% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 10.8% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 26.7% Baseline A1c: 8.7 Baseline BMI: 32.5		

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Goldstein 2007	117 enrolled weeks	Those who had A1c > 11% or fasting glucose > 280 mg/dL after run-in could participate	NR	NR	Sitagliptin 50 mg + MET 1000 mg Bid	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 53 (NR) % male: 57.26% Race/ethnicity White: 38% Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: NR More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 46% Baseline A1c: 11.2 Baseline BMI: 31	NR	
Open-label cohort								
Poor								

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

[illegible]

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Hermansen 2007 Denmark, USA placebo- controlled Fair	441 enrolled 24 weeks	18-75 yrs with DM 2 (i) already taking glimepiride alone or in combo with MET (ii) taking another OHA in monotherapy or in dual- or triple- combination therapy or (iii) patients not taking any OHAs over the prior 8 wks	DM1; treated with insulin within 8 wks of the screening; had CrCL <45 ml/min or <60 ml/min if on MET; or hx of hypersensitivity, intolerance or a contraindication to the use of glimepiride, other SU agents, MET or pioglitazone	NR	Entire Placebo cohort Entire Sitagliptin cohort	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 56.5 (9.6) % male: 53.42% Race/ethnicity White: 63.9% Black: 5.5% Asian: 11.4% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 4.6% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 14.6% Baseline A1c: 8.34 Baseline BMI: 30.7 Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 55.6 (9.6) % male: 52.7% Race/ethnicity White: 61.3% Black: 4.5% Asian: 9.9% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 6.8% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 17.6% Baseline A1c: 8.34 Baseline BMI: 31.2	Merck	Patients could be given open-label rescue therapy (pioglitazone 30 mg/day) if FPG were not at prespecified goals. These patients were discontinued from the study if they were on rescue therapy for >4 wks and had an FPG consistently >200m/dL

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Year	Country	Trial type	Quality	Total sample size	Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
										Sitagliptin + Glimepiride	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 54.4 (10.3) % male: 52.83% Race/ethnicity White: 57.5% Black: 6.6% Asian: 5.7% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 5.7% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 24.5% Baseline A1c: 8.42 Baseline BMI: 31.0		
										Sitagliptin + Glimepiride + MET	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 56.6 (8.8) % male: 52.59% Race/ethnicity White: 64.7% Black: 2.6% Asian: 13.8% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 7.8% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 11.2% Baseline A1c: 8.27 Baseline BMI: 31.3		

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Year	Country	Trial type	Quality	Total sample size	Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
										Placebo + Glimepiride	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 55.2 (10.2) % male: 54.72% Race/ethnicity White: 55.7% Black: 2.8% Asian: 11.3% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 6.6% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 23.6% Baseline A1c: 8.43 Baseline BMI: 30.7		
										Placebo + Glimepiride + MET	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 57.7 (8.9) % male: 52.21% Race/ethnicity White: 71.7% Black: 8.0% Asian: 11.5% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 2.7% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 6.2% Baseline A1c: 8.26 Baseline BMI: 30.7		

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Hollander 2003 US placebo- controlled Fair	656 enrolled 52 weeks	> or = 18 yrs with DM2; A1c > or = 8%; no severe hypo- or hyperglycemic symptoms for > or = 2 wks before screening; stable body wt, stable daily insulin dose for > or = 2 mos. Patients using stable doses of MET or SU with their insulin for > or = 3 mos	Hx diabetic ketoacidosis consistent with DM1; hx clinically significant cardiovascular, pulmonary, central nervous system, gastrointestinal (including gastroparesis), renal or hematologic diseases; eating disorders; alcohol or drug abuse; acute illness within 2 wks, and chronic use of systemic corticosteroids, dexfenfluramine, drugs that affect gastrointestinal motility.	NR	Pramlintide 120 mcg + adjunct insulin Pramlintide 90 mcg + adjunct insulin	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 56.9 (10.5) % male: 48% Race/ethnicity White: 73% Black: 13% Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 1% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 13% Baseline A1c: 9.0 Baseline BMI: 34.1 Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 57.0 (10.2) % male: 49% Race/ethnicity White: 77% Black: 14% Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 1% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 8% Baseline A1c: 9.1 Baseline BMI: 33.8	NR	Initially, subjects were randomized to 4-treatment arms but the pramlintide 60 mcg Tid arm was later excluded from both efficacy and safety analyses as another study noted decreased effectiveness with this dose.

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Year	Country	Trial type	Quality	Total sample size	Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
										Placebo + adjunct insulin	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 56.4 (10.2) % male: 52% Race/ethnicity White: 75% Black: 12% Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 1% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 12% Baseline A1c: 9.3 Baseline BMI: 33.7		

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Hollander 2003 NR Pooled analysis N/A	26 weeks	Included data from all subjects from Hollander 2003 and Gottlieb 1999- abstract) who had been randomized to either placebo or pramlintide 120 mg Bid with A1c 7-8.5%.	NR	NR	Pramlintide 120 mcg + insulin Placebo + insulin	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 58 (9) % male: 51% Race/ethnicity White: 90% Black: 5% Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 2% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 3% Baseline A1c: 8.0 Baseline BMI: 33.0 Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 58 (10) % male: 50% Race/ethnicity White: 86% Black: 10% Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 3% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 1% Baseline A1c: 8.0 Baseline BMI: 30.7	NR	

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Hollander 2004 N/A Pooled analysis N/A	26 weeks	Included data from patients (from Hollander 2003 and Gottlieb 1999-abstract) with BMI >25 kg/m2 and had been randomized to pramlintide 120 mcg Bid or placebo.	NR	NR	Pramlintide + insulin	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 57 (10) % male: 47% Race/ethnicity White: 83% Black: 8% Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 10% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 9.2 Baseline BMI: 34.1	NR	
					Placebo + insulin	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 56 (10) % male: 49% Race/ethnicity White: 83% Black: 8% Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 9% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 9.4 Baseline BMI: 33.6		

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Karl 2007 US Open-label cohort N/A	24 weeks	> or = 18 yrs with DM2 on insulin therapy with or without OHA for at least 6 months. A1c 7-11%; unable to achieve adequate glycemic control with insulin therapy.	Clinically significant thyroid, cardiac, hepatic or renal disease, malignancy or either current or expected use of antiobesity agents.	NR	Pramlintide 120mcg + insulin	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 54 (11) % male: 51% Race/ethnicity White: 82% Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 18% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 8.3 Baseline BMI: 38.6	NR	

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Kendall 2005 US placebo- controlled Fair	733 enrolled 30 weeks	22–77 yrs with DM 2 on MET and SU; FPG <13.3 mmol/L; BMI 27–45 kg/m ² ; A1C 7.5–11.0%; MET dose > or =1500 mg/day and SU dose at least at the maximally effective dose x 3 mos before screening; stable body wt x 3 mos before screening; no clinically relevant abnormal laboratory test	Clinically significant comorbidities; used TZDs, meglitinides, alpha-glucosidase inhibitors, insulin, or wt loss drugs within prior 3 mos; therapy with corticosteroids, drugs known to affect GI motility, transplant meds, or any investigational drug.	NR	Exenatide 10 mcg + MET/SU Exenatide 5 mcg + MET/SU	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 55 (10) % male: 59.3% Race/ethnicity White: 66.4% Black: 11.6% Asian: 2.9% American Indian, Native Alaskan: 0.8% Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander: NR Other: 1.7% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 16.6% Baseline A1c: 8.5 Baseline BMI: 34 Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 55 (9) % male: 59.2% Race/ethnicity White: 69.0% Black: 10.2% Asian: 2.9% American Indian, Native Alaskan: 0.0% Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander: NR Other: 2.0% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 15.9% Baseline A1c: 8.5 Baseline BMI: 33	Eli Lilly & Amylin Pharmaceutica ls	*Note: Typo in Figure-1 for the total number randomized. It states 734 but in the text it states 733 (this matches the other parts in Figure- 1). After randomization, there was a 4 -week acclimation period for all arms to minimize nausea that is associated with exenatide. All groups (including placebo) started at 5 mcg Bid dose then the appropriate arms increased to 10 mcg; Volumes of injectable placebo were equal to the active arms.

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
					Placebo (combined) + MET/SU	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 56 (10) % male: 55.9% Race/ethnicity White: 68.4% Black: 12.1% Asian: 1.6% American Indian, Native Alaskan: 0.4% Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 1.6% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 15.8% Baseline A1c: 8.5 Baseline BMI: 34		
King 2006 U.S. retrospective uncontrolled Poor	200 enrolled 12 weeks	NR	NR	Metformin: 40.5% SU: 28% Insulin: 21% Values are for those who continued treatment, n=130. Mean diabetic concurrent med dosages reduced significantly (p<0.05).	Exenatide (Byetta)	Diabetes type not reported Age: NR Gender: NR Race/ethnicity White: NR Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: NR More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: NR Baseline BMI: NR	NR	

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Klonoff 2008 NR Pooled analysis N/A	weeks	This is a post-hoc analysis of the open-label extension arms from 3 studies (Buse, DeFronzo, Kendall). 3 yr and 3.5 yr completer cohorts were defined as all patients who had the opportunity to achieve 3-or 3.5 yrs of exenatide exposure regardless of their treatment arm in the original placebo trials.	N/A--post hoc analysis (see primary literature)	NR	3 year completers 3.5 year completers	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 58 (10) % male: 64% Race/ethnicity White: 83% Black: 10% Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 1% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 6% Baseline A1c: 8.2 Baseline BMI: 33.5 Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 57 (9) % male: 68% Race/ethnicity White: 84% Black: 9% Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 1% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 7% Baseline A1c: 8.2 Baseline BMI: 33.4	NR	N/A--post hoc analysis (see primary literature)

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Maggs 2003 NR Pooled analysis N/A	52 weeks	Included all patients categorized as Caucasian, African American, and Hispanic who were randomized to placebo or pramlintide 120 mcg Bid or 150 mcg Tid.	Other ethnic groups were not included because they comprised less than 1.5% of the study population.	NR	Pramlintide (African American) + insulin	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 56 (9) % male: 46% Race/ethnicity White: NA% Black: NA% Asian: NA% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NA% Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander: NA% Other: NA% More than 1 race: NA% Hispanic: NA% Baseline A1c: 9.7 Baseline BMI: 33.5	Amylin Pharmaceutica ls	
					Pramlintide (Caucasian) + insulin	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 57 (9) % male: 58% Race/ethnicity White: NA% Black: NA% Asian: NA% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NA% Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander: NA% Other: NA% More than 1 race: NA% Hispanic: NA% Baseline A1c: 8.9 Baseline BMI: 32.8		

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Year	Country	Trial type	Quality	Total sample size	Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
										Pramlintide (Hispanic) + insulin	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 54 (12) % male: 32% Race/ethnicity White: NA% Black: NA% Asian: NA% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NA% Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander: NA% Other: NA% More than 1 race: NA% Hispanic: NA% Baseline A1c: 9.3 Baseline BMI: 33.4		
										Placebo (African American) + insulin	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 58 (9) % male: 29% Race/ethnicity White: NA% Black: NA% Asian: NA% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NA% Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander: NA% Other: NA% More than 1 race: NA% Hispanic: NA% Baseline A1c: 9.2 Baseline BMI: 31.6		

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Year	Country	Trial type	Quality	Total sample size	Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
										Placebo (Caucasian) + insulin	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 58 (10) % male: 60% Race/ethnicity White: NA% Black: NA% Asian: NA% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NA% Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander: NA% Other: NA% More than 1 race: NA% Hispanic: NA% Baseline A1c: 9.1 Baseline BMI: 31.5		
										Placebo (Hispanic) + insulin	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 51 (10) % male: 50% Race/ethnicity White: NA% Black: NA% Asian: NA% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NA% Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander: NA% Other: NA% More than 1 race: NA% Hispanic: NA% Baseline A1c: 9.6 Baseline BMI: 33.7		

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Nauck 2007	1172 enrolled 52 weeks	18-78 yrs with DM2 not currently on OHA, taking any OHA in monotherapy or taking MET in combination with another OHA	DM1, insulin use within 8 wks of screening, renal function impairment inconsistent with the use of MET or a FPG >15.0 mmol/l (270 mg/dl)	NR	Glipizide + MET	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 56.6 (9.8) % male: 61.3% Race/ethnicity White: 74.3% Black: 6.0% Asian: 8.4% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 3.4% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 7.9% Baseline A1c: 7.6 Baseline BMI: 31.3	Merck	Patients received counseling on exercise and a diet consistent with ADA recommendations throughout the study. *The number 'analyzed' is based on the per-protocol- population. Per- protocol-cohort includes randomized patients who completed all 52 wks of treatment and did not have any reasons for exclusion.
Multinational active-control Fair-poor					Sitagliptin +MET	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 56.8 (9.3) % male: 57.1% Race/ethnicity White: 73.5% Black: 7.0% Asian: 8.5% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 3.7% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 7.3% Baseline A1c: 7.7 Baseline BMI: 31.2		

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Nauck 2007	505 enrolled 52 weeks	30-75 yrs with suboptimal glycemic control despite receiving optimally effective MET and SU for at least 3 mos; A1c7%- 11.0%; BMI 25-40 kg/m2; hx stable body wt for ≥3 mos	>3 episodes of severe hypoglycaemia within 6 mos prior to screening; used any prescription drug to promote wt loss within 3 mos; treated with insulin, TZDs, alpha- glucosidase inhibitors or meglitinides for > 2 wks within 3 mos.	NR	Biphasic Aspart + MET/SU	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 58 (9) % male: 49% Race/ethnicity White: NR Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: NR More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 8.6 Baseline BMI: 30.2	Eli Lilly & Amylin	Patients in biphasic aspart group: the decision to adjust insulin therapy was ultimately left up to each investigator's clinical judgement.
Multinational								
active-control								
Fair					Exenatide + MET/SU	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 59 (9) % male: 53% Race/ethnicity White: NR Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: NR More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 8.6 Baseline BMI: 30.6		If frequent nausea developed in exenatide 10 mcg Bid group, patients had the option to decrease to 5 mcg Bid.

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Nelson 2007 US open label extension N/A	127 enrolled 30 weeks	Patients who completed the 28 day prior dose- response study. 18-75 years with DM2 treated with diet and exercise or metformin; A1c 6.5- 9.0%, BMI 25-45 kg/m ² ; FPG <200 mg/dL (<240 mg/dL for diet and exercise pts);stable wt	Use of meglitinides, SUs, TZDs, alpha- glucosidase inhibitors, wt loss drugs, exogenous insulin, drugs affecting gastrointestinal motility, corticosteroids, transplantation meds, investigational drugs, or co-morbid conditions of a clinically significant nature	Metformin: 76%	Exenatide (Byetta)	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 52 (11) % male: 44.09% Race/ethnicity White: 76% Black: 8% Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 9% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 6% Baseline A1c: 7.5 Baseline BMI: 35	Amylin Pharmaceutica ls, Eli Lilly	

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Nonaka 2007 Japan placebo- controlled Fair	152 enrolled 12 weeks	20-69 yrs with DM2; A1c 6.5%- 10% for those not on OHA, and A1c 6%-9% for those on OHA monotherapy.	DM1; use of insulin or pioglitazone in the 8 wks prior to screening; unstable cardiac disease, elevated Scr, elevations more than 2-times the upper limit of normal for ALT, AST or CPK	NR	Sitagliptin (Januvia) Placebo	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 55.6 (8.6) % male: 60% Race/ethnicity White: NR Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: NR More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 7.5 Baseline BMI: 25.2 Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 55.0 (8.0) % male: 66% Race/ethnicity White: NR Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: NR More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 7.7 Baseline BMI: 25.1	Banyu Pharmaceutica l and Merck	Patients received diet and exercise counseling through the study.

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

[illegible]

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Year	Country	Trial type	Quality	Total sample size	Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
										Pramlintide (Symlin) 75mcgTID+Insulin	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 56.5 (10.3) % male: 57% Race/ethnicity White: 76% Black: 15% Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 1% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 8% Baseline A1c: 9.3 Baseline BMI: 30.4		
										Placebo+Insulin	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 55.5 (10.6) % male: 62% Race/ethnicity White: 81% Black: 8% Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 1% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 10% Baseline A1c: 9.2 Baseline BMI: 30.4		

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country	Total sample size							
Trial type	Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Quality								
Ratner 2004	651 enrolled 52 weeks	16-76 yrs, requiring insulin for at least 1-year with an A1C > or = 8% at screening; stable body weight; stable daily insulin use for > 2 mos; no severe hypo-or hyperglycemic symptoms for at least 2 wks	Clinically significant cardiovascular, pulmonary or central nervous system, gastrointestinal (including diabetic gastroparesis), renal or hematological systems, as well as eating disorders; acute febrile illness; alcohol/drug abuse or use of medications that affect gastrointestinal motility.	NR	Pramlintide 60 mcg QID+insulin	Type 1 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 41.9 (13.1) % male: 52% Race/ethnicity White: 91% Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 9% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 8.9 Baseline BMI: 26.8	NR	Pramlintide 90mcg Tid arm was excluded from the efficacy analyses due to increased rate of AE from another study, however, data for this arm was kept for safety analysis.
U.S., & Canada								
placebo-controlled								
Fair-poor					Pramlintide 60 mcg TID+insulin	Type 1 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 39.2 (13.1) % male: 52% Race/ethnicity White: 92% Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 8% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 8.9 Baseline BMI: 26.4		

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Year	Country	Trial type	Quality	Total sample size	Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
										Pramlintide 90 mcg Tid+Insulin	Type 1 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 41 (12.8) % male: 47% Race/ethnicity White: 89% Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 11% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 8.9 Baseline BMI: 26.3		
										Placebo+insulin	Type 1 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 41.3 (13.6) % male: 53% Race/ethnicity White: 90% Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 10% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 9 Baseline BMI: 26.5		

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Ratner 2005 USA Pooled analysis N/A	477 enrolled 26 weeks	Included data from patients with A1c 7.0%-8.5% who were taking pramlintide 30 or 60 mcg Tid-Qid (from Fineman 1999-abstract, Ratner 2004, Whitehouse 2002). Included data up to wk 26.	NR	NR	Pramlintide (Symlin)+Insulin	Type 1 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 41 (12) % male: 50% Race/ethnicity White: 97% Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 3% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 7.9 Baseline BMI: 25.7	NR	
					Placebo+Insulin	Type 1 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 42 (13) % male: 55% Race/ethnicity White: 94% Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 6% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 7.9 Baseline BMI: 25.8		

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Ratner 2006 US Open label extension N/A	150 enrolled 82 weeks	Patients who completed the 30-wk DeFronzo 2005 study could participate in the open-label extension. All patients received exenatide 5 mcg Bid x4 wks then 10 mcg Bid.	Those who were randomized to the placebo arm in the primary trial.	NR	Exenatide 10 mcg	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 54 (10) % male: 69% Race/ethnicity White: 86% Black: 9% Asian: 4% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander: NR Other: NR More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 1% Baseline A1c: 8.1 Baseline BMI: 34	Amylin Pharmaceutica ls, Eli Lilly and Company	

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Raz 2006	521 enrolled 18 weeks	18-75 years of age with DM2 not currently on OHA therapy or patients on OHA monotherapy (or dual oral combination therapy in low doses) who could be taken off their OHAs during the run-in period	DM1, insulin therapy, signifcant hepatic or renal disease, hepatic transaminase or creatinine phosphokinase (CK) levels > or = to 2 times the upper limit of normal, FPG >15 mmol/l (270mg/dl) and BMI<20kg/m2 or >43kg/m2	NR	Sitagliptin 100 mg	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 54.5 (10.0) % male: 53.7% Race/ethnicity White: 69.3% Black: 7.8% Asian: 3.9% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 1.0% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 18.0% Baseline A1c: 8.0 Baseline BMI: 31.8	Merck	Patients received counseling on a diet consistent wtih ADA recommendations
Multinational					Sitagliptin 200 mg	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 55.4 (9.2) % male: 50.5% Race/ethnicity White: 70.9% Black: 5.3% Asian: 3.4% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 1.5% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 18.9% Baseline A1c: 8.1 Baseline BMI: 32.0		
placebo- controlled								
Fair								

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Year	Country	Trial type	Quality	Total sample size	Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
										Placebo	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 55.5 (10.1) % male: 62.7% Race/ethnicity White: 61.8% Black: 10.9% Asian: 4.5% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 2.7% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 20.0% Baseline A1c: 8 Baseline BMI: 32.5		

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Raz 2008 Multinational placebo- controlled Fair	190 enrolled 30 weeks	18-78 yrs currently on metformin monotherapy or any other single oral agent, or being treated with metformin in combination with another oral agent; A1c of 8-11% after run-in periods.	Received insulin therapy within 8 weeks prior to screening; treatment with TZD or incretin mimetics (exenatide) within 12 weeks; T1DM; BMI <20 or > 40 kg/m ² ; FPG < 7.2 or > 15.6 mmol/L	NR	Sitagliptin + MET Placebo + MET	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 53.6 (9.5) % male: 51.04% Race/ethnicity White: 42% Black: 3% Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 1% More than 1 race: 22% Hispanic: 32% Baseline A1c: 9.3 Baseline BMI: 30.1 Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 56.1 (9.5) % male: 41.49% Race/ethnicity White: 47% Black: 1% Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 2% More than 1 race: 25% Hispanic: 25% Baseline A1c: 9.1 Baseline BMI: 30.4	Merck	To avoid confounding influence of rescue therapy on efficacy, the last results prior to initiation were carried forward for efficacy analyses. Patients were discontinued from the study if they were on rescue medications (ie, glipizide) for at least 2 weeks and had a FPG consistently >11.1 mmol/L. Selective outcome reporting (primary efficacy analyses were based on results at week 18 rather than 30 weeks which was a secondary endpoint).

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Riddle 2006 US Open label extension N/A	518 enrolled 82 weeks	Patients who completed the 30-wk studies (Buse 2004, Kendall 2005) could participate in the open-label extension. All patients received exenatide 5 mcg Bid x4 wks then 10 mcg Bid.	Those who had been randomized to placebo in the primary trials were excluded	NR	Exenatide 10 mcg	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 57 (10) % male: 61% Race/ethnicity White: 75% Black: 11% Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander: NR Other: 2% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 12% Baseline A1c: 8.4 Baseline BMI: 34	Amylin Pharmaceutica ls, Eli Lilly	This is a subset from Buse 2007 and Blond 2006.

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country	Total sample size							
Trial type	Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Quality								
Riddle 2007	212 enrolled 16 weeks	25-75 yrs with DM2 not achieving glycemic control with glargine with or without OHA; A1c >7 and ≤ 10.5%; BMI 25-45; on glargine for ≥ 3 mos with a stable dose for ≥ 1 mo and stable dose of OHA for ≥ 2 mos	Hx of unaware hypoglycemia or severe hypoglycemia during preceeding 6 mos; participating in a wt loss program; using antiobesity agents; gastroparesis or any other significant medical condition	NR	Pramlintide > 8.5% + glargine (+/- OHA)	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 53 (9) % male: 38.1% Race/ethnicity White: 71.4% Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 28.6% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 9.4 Baseline BMI: 36	NR	Dose acclimation period: patients started pramlintide at 60 mcg and increased to 120 mcg over 3-7 day period if no significant nausea occurred.
US					Pramlintide ≤ 8.5% + glargine (+/- OHA)	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 56 (8) % male: 50.79% Race/ethnicity White: 74.6% Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 25.4% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 7.9 Baseline BMI: 35		
placebo-controlled								
Fair								

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Year	Country	Trial type	Quality	Total sample size	Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
										Total Placebo + glargine (+/- OHA)	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 55 (10) % male: 51.89% Race/ethnicity White: 72.0% Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 28.0% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 8.5 Baseline BMI: 35		
										Total Pramlintide + glargine (+/- OHA)	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 55 (9) % male: 45.71% Race/ethnicity White: 73.0% Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 27.0% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 8.5 Baseline BMI: 35		

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Year	Country	Trial type	Quality	Total sample size	Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
										Placebo >8.5% + glargine (+/- OHA)	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 56 (9) % male: 41.67% Race/ethnicity White: 77.1% Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 22.9% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 9.3 Baseline BMI: 35		
										Placebo ≤ 8.5% + glargine (+/- OHA)	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 55 (11) % male: 60.34% Race/ethnicity White: 69% Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 31% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 7.7 Baseline BMI: 35		

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Rosenstock 2006 multinational placebo- controlled Fair	353 enrolled 24 weeks	> or = 18 years of age with DM2 eligible whether taking an OHA or not.	DM1 or ketoacidosis; treatment with insulin within 8 wks of screening; moderate renal dysfunction (CrCL <45 mL/min); history of hypersensitivity, intolerance, or a contraindication to TZDs.	NR	Sitagliptin + Pioglitazone Placebo + Pioglitazone	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 55.6 (10.4) % male: 53.1% Race/ethnicity White: 72.6% Black: 6.3% Asian: 5.7% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 3.4% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 12.0% Baseline A1c: 8.1 Baseline BMI: 90.9 Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 56.9 (11.1) % male: 57.9% Race/ethnicity White: 72.5% Black: 6.7% Asian: 2.8% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 5.6% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: 12.4% Baseline A1c: 8.0 Baseline BMI: 86.4	Merck	It was unclear if outcome assessors were masked for harms-related outcomes.

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Scott 2007 Multinational active-control Fair	743 enrolled 12 weeks	21-75 yrs with DM2, either on OHA monotherapy (except TZDs) with A1C 6%-9% or not currently on a OHA with A1C 6.5%-10%	DM1; unstable cardiac disease, active liver or gall bladder disease; CrCL <60ml/min, or elevated (>2 fold the upper limit of normal) ALT, AST or creatinine phosphokinase.	NR	Glipizide Sitagliptin (Januvia) 12.5 mg	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 54.7 (10.7) % male: 56.9% Race/ethnicity White: 61% Black: 3.3% Asian: 4.9% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 24.4% More than 1 race: 6.5% Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 7.9 Baseline BMI: 30.6 Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 56.2 (9) % male: 48% Race/ethnicity White: 63.4% Black: 4.9% Asian: 4.9% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 21.1% More than 1 race: 5.7% Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 7.9 Baseline BMI: 30.5	Merck	This was a dose- range finding study. Randomization was stratified by OHA status at baseline and HbA1c > 8.5% or ≤ 8.5%. Patients received counseling on diet and exercise consistent with ADA recommendations throughout the study duration. 5- days prior to each study visit, patients were asked to collect 7-point home glucose measurements.

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Year	Country	Trial type	Quality	Total sample size	Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
										Sitagliptin (Januvia) 25mg	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 55.6 (9) % male: 57.7% Race/ethnicity White: 61% Black: 8.9% Asian: 4.9% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 18.7% More than 1 race: 6.5% Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 7.9 Baseline BMI: 31.4		
										Sitagliptin (Januvia) 50 mg	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 55.1 (9.8) % male: 52.4% Race/ethnicity White: 69.4% Black: 4.8% Asian: 2.4% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 16.1% More than 1 race: 7.3% Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 7.8 Baseline BMI: 30.4		

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Year	Country	Trial type	Quality	Total sample size	Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
										Sitagliptin (Januvia) 5mg	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 55.1 (9.5) % male: 49.6% Race/ethnicity White: 68.8% Black: 6.4% Asian: 5.6% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 12.8% More than 1 race: 6.4% Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 7.9 Baseline BMI: 30.8		
										Placebo	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 55.3 (9.7) % male: 62.4% Race/ethnicity White: 66.4% Black: 8.0% Asian: 2.4% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 16.0% More than 1 race: 7.2% Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 7.9 Baseline BMI: 31.6		

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Scott 2008 Multinational active-control Fair	273 enrolled 18 weeks	18–75 yrs; taking metformin monotherapy ≥1500 mg/day for at least 10 weeks prior; had inadequate glycaemic control defined by A1c 7- 11%	Type 1 diabetes; insulin use within 8 wks; any contraindications for use of TZDs or metformin; impaired renal function (CrCl <60 ml/min), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) or aspartate aminotransferase levels more than 2x the upper limit of normal; or FPG >270 mg/dl prior to randomization.	NR	Rosiglitazone + MET monotherapy Sitagliptin + MET monotherapy	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 54.8 (10.5) % male: 63.22% Race/ethnicity White: 59% Black: NR Asian: 38% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander: NR Other: 3% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 7.7 Baseline BMI: 30.4 Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 55.2 (9.8) % male: 55.32% Race/ethnicity White: 61% Black: NR Asian: 38% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander: NR Other: 1% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 7.8 Baseline BMI: 30.3	Merck	Change in weight was not assessed in this trial. For Patients with a baseline HbA1c ≤ 7.5% had placebo- subtracted HbA1c reductions of -0.46% (95% CI: -0.63 to - 0.28) and -0.41% (95% CI -0.58 to - 0.23) in the sitagliptin and rosiglitazone groups, respectively, compared with reductions of -0.63% (95% CI -1.02 to - 0.24) and -0.78% (- 1.17 to -0.39), respectively, in patients with a baseline HbA1c > 7.5%.

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Year	Country	Trial type	Quality	Total sample size	Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
										Placebo + MET monotherapy	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 55.3 (9.3) % male: 58.7% Race/ethnicity White: 61% Black: NR Asian: 39% American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 0% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 7.7 Baseline BMI: 30.0		

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Whitehouse 2002 US placebo- controlled Fair-poor	480 enrolled 52 weeks	16-70 yrs of age; DM1 for > or = 1 year, had C-peptide concentration < or =1.0 nI/mL; A1c 7- 13%; no symptoms of severe hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia for 2 wks and not adjusted their daily insulin dose by more than +/- 10% for 1 wk before the study.	Clinically significant hx of ischemic heart disease, hypertension, gastrointestinal disease (including diabetic gastroparesis), renal disease, and unstable diabetic retinopathy; treatment with drugs that affect gastrointestinal motility or glucose metabolism.	NR	Pramlintide 30 mcg + 60 mcg (combined) + insulin Placebo + insulin	Type 1 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 40.3 (11.6) % male: 55% Race/ethnicity White: 96% Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 5% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 8.7 Baseline BMI: 25.2 Type 1 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 40.4 (12.1) % male: 55% Race/ethnicity White: 92% Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 8% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 8.9 Baseline BMI: 25.8	NR; Amylin Pharmaceutica Is?	At week 20, those in pramlintide arm whose A1c decreased by < 1% to week 13 were re- randomized to either 30mcg Qid or 60mcg Qid. Re- randomization performed by an unblinded 3rd party.

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

[illegible]

Evidence Table 1. Baseline characteristics of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Total sample size Follow-up duration	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Hypoglycemic medications	Intervention	Population	Funder	Comments
Zinman 2007 Canada, Spain, U.S. placebo- controlled Fair	233 enrolled 16 weeks	21-75 yrs with DM2 on stable dose of TZD for at least 4 mos before screening, TZD alone or in combo with a stable dosage of MET (no min required) for 30 days; A1c 7.1- 10.0%; BMI 25-45 kg/m ² ; stable body wt for at least 3 mos	NR	NR	Exenatide 10 mcg Placebo	Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 55.6 (10.8) % male: 53.7% Race/ethnicity White: 85.1% Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 14.9% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 7.9 Baseline BMI: 64.0 Type 2 Diabetes Age, mean (SD): 56.6 (10.2) % male: 57.1% Race/ethnicity White: 82.1% Black: NR Asian: NR American Indian, Native Alaskan: NR Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander:NR Other: 17.9% More than 1 race: NR Hispanic: NR Baseline A1c: 7.9 Baseline BMI: 34.0	Eli Lilly & Amylin	Lifestyle interventions were not included in the study protocol. Exenatide patients received fixed 5 mcg doses BID for 4 wks, followed by 10 mcg doses BID for 12 wks. At baseline for exenatide: TZDs- alone: 28/121 (23.1%); TZD-MET: 93/121 (76.9%); mean MET- dose: 1804 mg

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
Aronne 2007 US placebo- controlled Poor	Weight, Kg 16 weeks	Pramlintide (Symlin) Placebo	N=44 N=44	ITT-LOCF population for DM2 subgroup Change from baseline: -2.4 (SE 0.9), p<0.01 vs. placebo ITT-LOCF population for DM2 subgroup Estimated from graph Change from baseline: 0.0
Aschner 2006 Multinational placebo- controlled Fair	FPG, mmol/l 24 weeks HbA1c, percent 24 weeks PPG or random glucose, mmol/l	Sitagliptin 100 mg Sitagliptin 200 mg Placebo Sitagliptin 100 mg Sitagliptin 200 mg Placebo Sitagliptin 100 mg Sitagliptin 200 mg Placebo	N=234 N=244 N=247 N=229 N=238 N=244 N=201 N=205 N=204	Change from baseline: -0.7 (95% CI -1.0, -0.4); p<0.001 vs. placebo --> -12.6 mg/dL Change from baseline: -0.9 (95% CI -1.2, -0.7); p<0.001 vs. placebo --> -16 mg/dL Change from baseline: 0.3 (95% CI -0.0, 0.5) --> +5.4 mg/dL Change from baseline: -0.61 (95% CI -0.74, -0.49); p <0.001 vs. placebo Change from baseline: -0.76 (95% CI -0.88, -0.64); p<0.001 vs. placebo Change from baseline: 0.18 (95% CI 0.06, 0.30) For 2hr PPG from meal tolerance test Change from baseline: -2.7 (95% CI -3.2, -2.2); p<0.001 vs. placebo --> -48.6 mg/dL For 2-hr PPG from meal tolerance test Change from baseline: -3.1 (95% CI -3.6, -2.6); p<0.001 vs. placebo --> -64.8 mg/dL For 2hr PPG for meal tolerance test Change from baseline: -0.1 (95% CI -0.6, 0.4) --> -1.8 mg/dL

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
Barnett 2007	FPG, mmol/L 32 weeks	Exenatide + MET or SU	N=68	Change from baseline: -2.9 (SE 0.2), p<0.001 vs. baseline 5.5% achieved a FPG <5.6 mmol/L at 32 weeks
Europe, Mexico		Glargine+ MET or SU	N=70	Change from baseline: -4.1 (SE 0.2), p<0.001 vs. baseline 18.5% achieved a FPG <5.6 mmol/L at 32 weeks vs. exenatide, p=0.032
active-control	HbA1c, percent 32 weeks	Exenatide + MET or SU	N=68	Change from baseline: -1.36 (SE 0.09), p<0.001 vs. baseline
Fair-poor		Glargine+ MET or SU	N=70	Change from baseline: -1.36 (SE 0.09), p<0.001
	Weight, Kg 32 weeks	Exenatide + MET or SU	N=68	Change from baseline: -2.2 (SE 0.4), p<0.001 vs. glargine
		Glargine+ MET or SU	N=70	Change from baseline: +2.3 (SE 0.4) Subgroup: weight was almost unchanged for those on glargine/MET compared with weight gain observed in those on glargine/SU.

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
Buse 2004 US	FPG, mmol/L 30 weeks	Exenatide 10 mcg + SU	N=129	Change from baseline: -0.6 (SE 0.3), p<0.05 vs placebo --> -11 mg/dL
		Exenatide 5 mcg + SU	N=125	Change from baseline: -0.3 (SE 0.2) --> -5 mg/dL
		Placebo (combined) + SU	N=123	Change from baseline: +0.4 (SE 0.3) --> +7 mg/dL
placebo- controlled Fair	HbA1c, percent 30 weeks	Exenatide 10 mcg + SU	N=83	Stratified by A1c < 9% Change from baseline: -0.65 (SE 0.12), p< 0.01 vs placebo
		Exenatide 10 mcg + SU	N=46	Stratified by A1c >9% Change from baseline: -1.22 (SE 0.19), p<0.05 vs placebo
		Exenatide 10 mcg + SU	N=129	Change from baseline: -0.86% (SE 0.11), p< or = 0.0002 vs. placebo
		Exenatide 5 mcg + SU	N=79	Stratified by A1c < 9% Change from baseline: -0.39 (SE 0.12), p< 0.01 vs placebo
		Exenatide 5 mcg + SU	N=46	Stratified by A1c >9% Change from baseline: -0.58 (SE 0.24), p<0.05 vs placebo
		Exenatide 5 mcg + SU	N=125	Change from baseline: -0.46% (SE 0.12), p< or = 0.0002 vs. placebo
		Placebo (combined) + SU	N=77	Stratified by baseline A1c < 9% Change from baseline: +0.11 (SE 0.12)
		Placebo (combined) + SU	N=46	Stratified by baseline A1c > 9% Change from baseline: +0.13 (SE 0.17)
		Placebo (combined) + SU	N=123	Change from baseline: +0.12% (SE 0.09)
		Placebo (combined) + SU	N=123	Change from baseline: -0.6 (SE 0.3)
	Weight, Kg 30 weeks	Exenatide 10 mcg + SU	N=129	Change from baseline: -1.6 (SE 0.3), p<0.05 vs placebo
		Exenatide 5 mcg + SU	N=125	Change from baseline: -0.9 (SE 0.3), p>0.05 (NSD) vs placebo
		Placebo (combined) + SU	N=123	Change from baseline: -0.6 (SE 0.3)

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
Charbonnel 2006	FPG, mmol/L 24 weeks	Placebo + MET \geq 1.5 g	N=226	Change from baseline: 0.5 (95% CI 0.2, 0.8) --> 9 mg/dL
multinational	HbA1c, percent 24 weeks	Sitagliptin 100mg + MET \geq 1.5 g	N=453	Change from Baseline: -0.67 (95% CI -0.77, -0.57); p<0.001 vs. placebo
placebo- controlled		Placebo + MET \geq 1.5 g	N=224	Change from baseline: -0.02 (95% CI -0.15, 0.10)
Fair	PPG or random glucose, mmol/L	Sitagliptin 100mg + MET \geq 1.5 g	N=387	2hr PPG Change from Baseline: -3.4 (95% CI -3.9, -3.0) --> -61 mg/dL, p<0.001 vs. placebo
		Placebo + MET \geq 1.5 g	N=182	2hr PPG Change from baseline: -0.6 (95% CI -1.2, -0.1) --> -11 mg/dL
Davis 2007	HbA1c, percent 16 weeks	Exenatide (Byetta) 10 mcg BID + oral antidiabetes	N=29	Mean change +0.3, SD 1.5; NS compared with baseline or between grps
U.S.		Insulin + oral diabetes meds	N=16	Mean change -0.1, SD 0.7; NS compared with baseline or between grps
active-control	Weight, Kg 16 weeks	Exenatide (Byetta) 10 mcg BID + oral antidiabetes	N=29	Mean change -4.2, SE 3.0; p<0.001 compared with baseline; p<0.001 between groups at endpoint
Fair-poor		Insulin + oral diabetes meds	N=16	Mean change +0.5, SE 1.7; NS compared with baseline; p<0.001 between groups

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Year	Country	Trial type	Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
DeFronzo	2005	U.S.	placebo-controlled	Fair	FPG, mmol/L (mg/dL)	Exenatide (Byetta) 10 mcg BID + metformin	N=113	-0.6 +/- 0.2 mmol/L (-10.1 +/- 4.4 mg/dL), p=0.0001 End of study difference from placebo averaged -1.4 mmol/L (-25 mg/dL), p=0.0001
						Exenatide (Byetta) 5mcg BID + metformin	N=110	-0.4 +/- 0.3 mmol/L (-7.2 +/- 4.6 mg/dL), p<0.005
					FPG, mmol/L (mg/dL)	Placebo + metformin	N=113	+0.8 +/- 0.2 mmol/L (+14.4 +/- 4.2 mg/dL)
					HbA1c, percent 30 weeks	Exenatide (Byetta) 10 mcg BID + metformin	N=103	Subgroup analysis of baseline HbA1c > 7 % 40 % reached HbA1c < or = 7 %, greater than placebo arm p< 0.01
						Exenatide (Byetta) 5mcg BID + metformin	N=100	Subgroup analysis w/baseline HbA1c > 7 % 27 % reached HbA1c < or = 7 %, greater than placebo arm p< 0.01
						Placebo + metformin	N=100	Subgroup analysis baseline HbA1c > 7 % 11 % reached HbA1c < or = 7 %
					HbA1c, percent up to 30 weeks	Exenatide (Byetta) 10 mcg BID + metformin	N=113	Dose-dependent reduction vs placebo (p<0.001) Change from baseline -0.8 (SE 0.1%)
						Exenatide (Byetta) 5mcg BID + metformin	N=110	At wk 4 reductions from baseline compared with placebo (p<0.0005) At wk 30 dose-dependent reduction compared with placebo (p<0.001) At wk 30 change from baseline -0.4 +/-0.1%
						Placebo + metformin	N=113	Change from baseline +0.1 (SE 0.1%)
					PPG, mmol/L up to 30 weeks	Exenatide (Byetta) 10 mcg BID + metformin	N=16	At wk 4 meal cohort values reduced compared with placebo, p = 0.006 Mean AUC averaged 34 % lower than baseline and pattern continued to wk 30, p = 0.004
						Exenatide (Byetta) 5mcg BID + metformin	N=7	At wk 4 meal cohort values reduced compared with placebo, p = 0.006 Mean AUC averaged 34 % lower than baseline and pattern continued to wk 30, p = 0.03
						Placebo + metformin	N=13	At wk 4 meal cohort values mean AUC averaged 9 % lower than baseline and pattern continued to wk 30

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
	Weight, Kg up to 30 weeks	Exenatide (Byetta) 10 mcg BID + metformin	N=113	Change -2.8 (SE 0.5) vs placebo p < or = 0.001 also stratified by baseline BMI < 30 and > or = 30 kg/m ² , reductions still observed
		Exenatide (Byetta) 5mcg BID + metformin	N=110	Change -1.6 (SE 0.4), vs placebo p < or = 0.05 Stratified by baseline BMI < 30 and > or = 30 kg/m ² , reductions still observed
		Placebo + metformin	N=113	Change from baseline -0.3 (SE 0.3)
Edelman 2006	HbA1c, percent 29 weeks	Combined Pramlintide arms + insulin	N=148	Change from baseline: -0.5% (95% CI -0.61,-0.33)
US		Pramlintide 30mcg + insulin	NR	NR for this arm
		Pramlintide 60mcg + insulin	NR	NR for this arm
placebo- controlled		Placebo+insulin	N=147	Change from baseline: -0.5% (95% CI -0.63,-0.35)
Fair	PPG or random glucose, mmol/L	Combined Pramlintide arms + insulin	N=33	For MTT group at 3hrs Change from baseline: -1.0
		Placebo+insulin	N=44	For MTT group at 3hrs Change from baseline: -1.8
	Weight, Kg 29 weeks	Combined Pramlintide arms + insulin	N=148	Change from baseline: -1.3 (SE 0.30), p< 0.001
		Pramlintide 30mcg + insulin	NR	NR for this arm
		Pramlintide 60mcg + insulin	NR	NR for this arm
		Placebo+insulin	N=147	Change from baseline: +1.2 (SE 0.24)

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
Goldstein 2007 multinational placebo- controlled Fair	FPG, mg/dL 24 weeks	MET 1000 mg Bid	N=179	Change from baseline: -29.3 (95% CI -35.9, -22.6) Change from placebo: -35.1 (95% CI -44.6, -25.6), $p \leq 0.001$ for between-group difference
		MET 500 mg Bid	N=179	Change from baseline: -27.3 (95% CI -34.0, -20.7) Change from placebo: -33.1 (95% CI -42.7, -23.6), $p \leq 0.001$ for between-group difference
		Sitagliptin 100 mg	N=178	Change from baseline: -17.5 (95% CI -24.1, -10.8) Change from placebo: -23.3 (95% CI -32.8, -13.8), $p \leq 0.001$ for between-group difference
		Sitagliptin 50 mg + MET 1000 mg Bid	N=180	Change from baseline: -63.9 (95% CI -70.5, -57.3) Change from placebo: -69.7 (95% CI -79.2, -60.2), $p \leq 0.001$ for between-group difference and between-group difference comparing coadministration and both respective components
		Sitagliptin 50 mg + MET 500 mg Bid	N=183	Change from baseline: -47.1 (95% CI -53.7, -40.6) Change from placebo: -52.9 (95% CI -62.4, -43.5), $p \leq 0.001$ for between-group difference and for between-group difference comparing coadministration and both respective components
		Placebo	N=169	Change from baseline: 5.8 (95% CI -1.0, 12.7)

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Year	Country	Trial type	Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
					HbA1c, percent 24 weeks	MET 1000 mg Bid	N=177	Change from baseline: -1.13 (95% CI -1.29, 0.97) Change from placebo: -1.3 (95% CI -1.53, -1.06), $p \leq 0.001$ for between-group difference
						MET 500 mg Bid	N=178	Change from baseline: -0.82 (95% CI -0.98, -0.66) Change from placebo: -0.99 (95% CI -1.22, -0.75), $p \leq 0.001$ for between-group difference
						Sitagliptin 100 mg	N=175	Change from baseline: -0.66 (95% CI -0.83, -0.50) Change from placebo: -0.83 (95% CI -1.06, -0.60), $p \leq 0.001$ for between-group difference
						Sitagliptin 50 mg + MET 1000 mg Bid	N=178	Change from baseline: -1.90 (95% CI -2.06, -1.74) Change from placebo: -2.07 (95% CI -2.30, -1.84), $p \leq 0.001$ for between-group difference and for between-group difference comparing co-administration and both respective components
						Sitagliptin 50 mg + MET 500 mg Bid	N=183	Change from baseline: -1.40 (95% CI -1.56, -1.24) Change from placebo: -1.57 (95% CI -1.80, -1.34), $p \leq 0.001$ for between-group difference and for between-group difference comparing co-administration and both respective components
						Placebo	N=165	Change from baseline: 0.17 (95% CI 0.00, 0.33)

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Year	Country	Trial type	Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
					PPG or random glucose, mg/dL	MET 1000 mg Bid	N=138	2 hr PPG Change from baseline: -78.0 (95% CI -88.3, -67.6) Change from placebo: -78.3 (95% CI -93.1, -63.4), p-value NR
						MET 500 mg Bid	N=141	2 hr PPG Change from baseline: -53.4 (95% CI -63.6, -43.2) Change from placebo: -53.7 (95% CI -68.5, -38.9), p≤0.001 for between-group difference
						Sitagliptin 100 mg	N=136	2 hr PPG Change from baseline: -51.9 (95% CI -62.3, -41.5) Change from placebo: -52.2 (95% CI -67.1, -37.3), p≤0.001 for between-group difference relative to placebo
						Sitagliptin 50 mg + MET 1000 mg Bid	N=152	2 hr PPG Change from baseline: -116.6 (95% CI -126.4, -106.7) Change from placebo: -116.9 (95% CI -131.4, -102.3), p ≤0.001 for between-group difference and between-group difference comparing coadministration and both respective components
						Sitagliptin 50 mg + MET 500 mg Bid	N=147	2 hr PPG Change from baseline: -92.5 (95% CI -102.6, -82.5) Change from placebo: -92.8 (95% CI -107.5, -78.1), p ≤0.001 for between-group difference and for between-group difference comparing coadministration and both respective components
						Placebo	N=129	2hr PPG Change from baseline: 0.3 (95% CI -10.4, 11.0)

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
	Weight, Kg 24 weeks	MET 1000 mg Bid	NR	Result NR Authors report that all other groups had a reduction in weight from -0.6 to -1.3 kg, $p < 0.05$
		MET 500 mg Bid	NR	Result NR Authors report that all other groups had a reduction in weight from -0.6 to -1.3 kg, $p < 0.05$
		Sitagliptin 100 mg	NR	N= NR Change from baseline: 0.0 kg
		Sitagliptin 50 mg + MET 1000 mg Bid	NR	Result NR Authors report that all other groups had a reduction in weight from -0.6 to -1.3 kg, $p < 0.05$
		Sitagliptin 50 mg + MET 500 mg Bid	NR	Result NR Authors report that all other groups had a reduction in weight from -0.6 to -1.3 kg, $p < 0.05$
		Placebo	NR	N= NR Change from baseline: -0.9, $p < 0.01$ vs. Sitagliptin
Goldstein 2007	HbA1c, percent 24 weeks	Sitagliptin 50 mg + MET 1000 mg Bid	NR	All-patients treated population N= NR Within-group change from baseline: -2.9
Open-label cohort Poor	Weight, Kg 24 weeks	Sitagliptin 50 mg + MET 1000 mg Bid	NR	N= NR Change from baseline: 1.3

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
Heine 2005 Multinational active-control Fair	FPG, mmol/L 26 weeks	Exenatide + MET/SU	NR	Change from baseline: -1.4 mmol/L -->25 mg/dL % achieving FPG< 5.6 (<101 mg/dL): 8.6% N= NR
		Glargine + MET/SU	NR	Change from baseline: -2.9 mmol/L -->52 mg/dL, p<0.001 vs. exenatide Between-treatment difference: -1.5 (27 mg/dL) (95% CI 1.1, 1.9 mmol/L) vs. exenatide % achieving FPG< 5.6 (<101 mg/dL): 21.6%, p<0.001 vs. exenatide N=NR
	HbA1c, percent 26 weeks	Exenatide + MET/SU	N=275	Change from baseline: -1.11 Between-treatment difference: +0.017 (95% CI -0.123, +0.157), p>0.05 (NSD) vs. glargine
		Glargine + MET/SU	N=260	Change from baseline: -1.11
	Weight, Kg 26 weeks	Exenatide + MET/SU	N=231	Change from baseline: -2.3 kg Between-group difference, -4.1 (95% CI, -4.6 to -3.5), p<0.001 vs. glargine
		Glargine + MET/SU	N=244	Change from baseline: +1.8 kg (SE 0.2)

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
Hermansen 2007 Denmark, USA placebo- controlled Fair	FPG, mg/dL 24 weeks	Entire Placebo cohort	N=213	Change from baseline: 15.7 (95% 9.8, 21.6), p<0.001 for within-treatment difference
		Entire Sitagliptin cohort	N=219	Change from baseline: -4.4 (95% -10.21.4), p-value NR Between-treatment difference from baseline: -20.1 (95% -28.4, -11.8), p<0.001 vs. placebo
		Sitagliptin + Glimepiride	N=104	Change from baseline: -0.88 (95% -9.8, 8.0), p-value NR Between-treatment difference from baseline: -19.3 (95% -31.9, -6.7), p<0.05 vs. placebo
		Sitagliptin + Glimepiride + MET	N=115	Change from baseline: -7.8 (95% -15.5, -0.2), p<0.005 for within-treatment difference Between-treatment difference from baseline: -20.7 (95% -31.7, -9.7), p<0.001 vs. placebo
		Placebo + Glimepiride	N=104	Change from baseline: 18.4 (95% 9.5, 27.3), p<0.001 for within-treatment difference
		Placebo + Glimepiride + MET	N=109	Change from baseline: 12.9 (95% 5.0, 20.8), p<0.001 for within-treatment difference

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Year	Country	Trial type	Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
					HbA1c, percent 24 weeks	Entire Placebo cohort	N=208	Change from baseline: 0.28 (95% 0.17, 0.40), p<0.001 for within-treatment difference
						Entire Sitagliptin cohort	N=217	Change from baseline: -0.45 (95% -0.57, -0.34), p< 0.001 for within-treatment difference Between-treatment difference from baseline: -0.74 (-0.9, -0.57), p<0.001 vs. placebo
						Sitagliptin + Glimepiride	N=102	Change from baseline: -0.3 (95% -0.48, -0.12), p<0.001 for within-treatment difference Between-treatment difference from baseline: -0.57 (95% -0.82, -0.32), p<0.001 vs. placebo
						Sitagliptin + Glimepiride + MET	N=115	Change from baseline: -0.59 (95% -0.74, -0.44), p<0.001 for within-treatment difference Between-treatment difference from baseline: -0.89 (95% -1.10, -0.68), p<0.001 vs. placebo
						Placebo + Glimepiride	N=103	Change from baseline: 0.27 (95% 0.09, 0.45), p<0.05 for within-treatment difference
						Placebo + Glimepiride + MET	N=105	Change from baseline: 0.30 (95% 0.14, 0.45), p<0.001 for within-treatment difference

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Year	Country	Trial type	Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
					PPG or random glucose, mg/dL	Entire Placebo cohort	N=65	Change from baseline: 13.5 (95% 0.3, 26.7), p<0.05 for within-treatment difference
						Entire Sitagliptin cohort	N=69	Change from baseline: -22.7 (95% -35.5, -9.9), p<0.001 for within-treatment difference
						Sitagliptin + Glimepiride	N=38	Change from baseline: -24.4 (95% -42.3, -6.4), p<0.05 for within-treatment difference Between-treatment difference from baseline: -35.1 (95% -62.6, -2.5), p<0.05 vs. placebo
						Sitagliptin + Glimepiride + MET	N=31	Change from baseline: -21.3 (95% -40.1, -2.5), p<0.05 for within-treatment difference Between-treatment difference from baseline: -37.1 (95% -62.7, -11.6), p<0.05 vs. placebo
						Placebo + Glimepiride	N=28	Change from baseline: 10.7 (95% -10.2, 31.6), p-value NR
						Placebo + Glimepiride + MET	N=37	Change from baseline: 15.8 (95% -1.4, 33.1), p-value NR
					Weight, Kg 24 weeks	Entire Placebo cohort	NR	Change from baseline: -0.4 (95% -0.8, 0.1)
						Entire Sitagliptin cohort	NR	Change from baseline: 0.8 (95% 0.4, 1.2) Difference between placebo from baseline: 1.1 (95% 0.5, 1.7)
						Sitagliptin + Glimepiride	NR	Change from baseline: 1.1 (95% 0.5, 1.8)
						Sitagliptin + Glimepiride + MET	NR	Change from baseline: 0.4 (95% -0.1, 0.9)
						Placebo + Glimepiride	NR	Change from baseline: 0.0 (95% -0.6, 0.7)
						Placebo + Glimepiride + MET	NR	Change from baseline: -0.7 (95% -1.4, -0.1) Difference between placebo from baseline: 1.1 (no CI reported)

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
Hollander 2003 US placebo- controlled Fair	HbA1c, percent 52 weeks	Pramlintide 120 mcg + adjunct insulin	N=166	Change from baseline: -0.62 (unclear if SD or SE 0.9), p< 0.05 vs. placebo
		Pramlintide 90 mcg + adjunct insulin	N=171	Change from baseline: -0.35, p > 0.05 (NSD)vs. placebo
		Placebo + adjunct insulin	N=161	Change from baseline: -0.22 (unclear if SD or SE 0.9)
	Weight, Kg 52 weeks	Pramlintide 120 mcg + adjunct insulin	N=166	Change from baseline: -1.25 (unclear if SD or SE 0.5), p< 0.05 vs. placebo
		Pramlintide 90 mcg + adjunct insulin	N=171	Change from baseline: -0.5, p >0.05 (NSD) vs. placebo
		Placebo + adjunct insulin	N=161	Change from baseline: +0.6 (unclear if SD or SE 0.4)
Hollander 2003 NR Pooled analysis N/A	HbA1c, percent 26 weeks	Pramlintide 120 mcg + insulin	N=86	For those with A1c 7%-8.5% Estimated from graph (Figure 1) Change from baseline: -0.3 (estimated SE 0.07) Between-treatment difference from placebo: -0.43, p<0.0009 vs. placebo
		Placebo + insulin	N=80	For those who had HbA1 between 7% and 8.5% Estimated from graph (Figure 1) Change from baseline to week 26: +0.15 (estimated SE 0.03)
	Weight, Kg 26 weeks	Pramlintide 120 mcg + insulin	N=86	For those with A1c 7%-8.5% Estimated from graph (Figure 1) Change from baseline: -1.8 (estimated SE 0.2) Between-treatment difference from placebo: -2.0, p<0.0003 vs. placebo
		Placebo + insulin	N=80	For those with A1c 7%-8.5% Estimated from graph (Figure 1) Change from baseline: +0.3 (estimated SE 0.3)

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
Hollander 2004	HbA1c, percent 26 weeks	Pramlintide + insulin	N=254	Change from baseline: -0.59 Between-treatment difference from placebo: -0.41, p<0.0001 vs. placebo
N/A		Placebo + insulin	N=244	Change from baseline: -0.18
Pooled analysis	Weight, Kg 26 weeks	Pramlintide + insulin	N=254	Estimated from graph (Figure 1) Change from baseline: -1.5 (estimated SE 0.25)
N/A		Placebo + insulin	N=244	Estimated from graph (Figure 1) Change from baseline: +0.3 (estimated SE 0.25)
Karl 2007	Weight, Kg 12 weeks	Pramlintide 120mcg + insulin	N=166	Change from baseline: -2.3 (SE 0.23), p<0.05
US	Weight, Kg 24 weeks	Pramlintide 120mcg + insulin	N=166	Change from baseline: -2.8 (SE 0.34), p<0.05
Open-label cohort				
N/A				

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
Kendall 2005 US	FPG, mmol/L 30 weeks	Exenatide 10 mcg + MET/SU	N=241	Change from baseline: -0.6 mmol/L (SE 0.2) --> 11 mg/dL, p<0.0001 vs. placebo
		Exenatide 5 mcg + MET/SU	N=245	Change from baseline: -0.5 mmol/L (SE 0.2) --> 9 mg/dL, p<0.0001 vs. placebo
		Placebo (combined) + MET/SU	N=247	Change from baseline: +0.8 mmol/L (SE 0.2) -->+14 mg/dL
placebo- controlled Fair	HbA1c, percent 30 weeks	Exenatide 10 mcg + MET/SU	N=241	Estimated from graph Change from baseline: -0.8
		Exenatide 5 mcg + MET/SU	N=245	Estimated from graph Change from baseline: -0.6
		Placebo (combined) + MET/SU	N=247	Estimated from graph Change from baseline: 0.2
	PPG or random glucose,	Exenatide 5 mcg + MET/SU	N=27	PPG 2.6hrs after meal tolerance test (estimated from graph) Change from baseline: 0.9 --> 16 mg/dL
		Exenatide 10 mcg + MET/SU	N=27	PPG 2.6hrs after meal tolerance test (estimated from graph) Change from baseline: -0.3 mmol/L -->- 5mg/dL
		Placebo (combined) + MET/SU	N=23	PPG 2.6hrs after meal tolerance test (estimated from graph) Change from baseline: 3 --> 54 mg/dL
	Weight, Kg 30 weeks	Exenatide 10 mcg + MET/SU	N=241	Change from baseline: -1.6 (SE 0.2), p< or =0.01 vs. placebo
		Exenatide 5 mcg + MET/SU	N=245	Change from baseline: -1.6 (SE 0.2), p< or =0.01 vs. placebo
		Placebo (combined) + MET/SU	N=247	Change from Baseline: -0.9 (SE 0.2)

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
Klonoff 2008	HbA1c, percent 3 years	3 year completers	N=56	Subgroup by baseline age > 65 years Change: -1.2 (95% CI -1.5, -0.9)
NR		3 year completers	N=161	Subgroup by baseline age < 65 years Change: -0.9 (95% CI -1.1, -0.7)
Pooled analysis				
N/A				

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
Maggs 2003 NR Pooled analysis N/A	HbA1c, percent 52 weeks	Pramlintide (African American) + insulin	N=26	Estimated from graph (Figure 1) Change in HbA1c from baseline to week 52: -0.8 (estimated SE 0.22)
		Pramlintide (Caucasian) + insulin	N=151	Estimated from graph (Figure 1) Change in HbA1c from baseline to week 52: -0.65 (estimated SE 0.1)
		Pramlintide (Hispanic) + insulin	N=22	Estimated from graph (Figure 1) Change in HbA1c from baseline to week 52: -0.5 (estimated SE 0.3)
		Placebo (African American) + insulin	N=21	Estimated from graph (Figure 1) Change in HbA1c from baseline to week 52: -0.15 (estimated SE 0.35)
		Placebo (Caucasian) + insulin	N=164	Estimated from graph (Figure 1) Change in HbA1c from baseline: -0.12 (estimated SE 0.1)
		Placebo (Hispanic) + insulin	N=26	Estimated from graph (Figure 1) Change in HbA1c from baseline to week 52: -0.2 (estimated SE 0.25)
	Weight, Kg 52 weeks	Pramlintide (African American) + insulin	N=26	Estimated from graph (Figure 1) Change in weight from baseline to week 52: -2.3 (estimated SE 0.5)
		Pramlintide (Caucasian) + insulin	N=151	Estimated from graph (Figure 1) Change in weight from baseline to week 52: -1.5 (estimated SE 0.4)
		Pramlintide (Hispanic) + insulin	N=22	Estimated from graph (Figure 1) Change in weight from baseline to week 52: -0.5 (estimated SE 0.1.1)
		Placebo (African American) + insulin	N=21	Estimated from graph (Figure 1) Change in weight from baseline to week 52: +1.8 (estimated SE 1.25)
		Placebo (Caucasian) + insulin	N=164	Estimated from graph (Figure 1) Change in weight from baseline: +0.8 (estimated SE 0.3)
		Placebo (Hispanic) + insulin	N=26	Estimated from graph (Figure 1) Change in weight from baseline to week 52: +2.0 (estimated SE 0.7)

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
Nauck 2007 Multinational active-control Fair-poor	FPG, mmol/L 52 weeks	Glipizide + MET	N=407	Per-protocol population Change from baseline: -0.42 (95% CI -0.67, -0.17) --> -8 mg/dL
		Sitagliptin +MET	N=382	Per-protocol population Change from baseline: -0.56 (95% CI -0.81, -0.31) --> -10.1 mg/dL
	HbA1c, percent 52 weeks	Glipizide + MET	N=411	Per-protocol-population Change from baseline: -0.67 (95% CI -0.75, -0.59) --> -12.1 mg/dL
		Sitagliptin +MET	N=382	Per-protocol population Change from baseline: -0.67% (95% CI -0.75, -0.59)
	Weight, Kg 52 weeks	Glipizide + MET	N=559	Per APT protocol Change from baseline: 1.1 kg (95% CI 0.5, 1.6)
		Sitagliptin +MET	N=576	Per APT-protocol Change from baseline: -1.5 kg (95% CI -2.0, -0.9) Between-treatment difference of -2.5 kg (95% CI -3.1,-2.0), p<0.001 vs. glipizide arm
Nauck 2007 Multinational active-control Fair	HbA1c, percent 52 weeks	Biphasic Aspart + MET/SU	N=248	Change from baseline: -0.89 (SEM 0.06)
		Exenatide + MET/SU	N=253	Change from baseline: -1.04 (SEM 0.07) Between-treatment difference: -0.15 (95% CI -0.32, 0.01), p=0.067 (NSD) vs. biphasic aspart
	Weight, Kg 52 weeks	Biphasic Aspart + MET/SU	N=248	Change from baseline: +2.9 (SEM 0.2)
		Exenatide + MET/SU	N=253	Change from baseline: -2.5 (SEM 0.2) Between-treatment difference: -5.4 (95% CI -5.9, -5.0), p<0.001 vs. biphasic aspart

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
Nonaka 2007 Japan placebo- controlled Fair	FPG, mg/dL 12 weeks	Sitagliptin (Januvia)	N=75	Change from baseline: -22.5 (95% CI -28.0, -17.0), p-value NR Between-group differences in change from baseline: -31.9 (95% CI -39.7, -24.1), p<0.001
		Placebo	N=75	Change from baseline: 9.4 (95% CI 3.9, 14.9)
	HbA1c, percent 12 weeks	Sitagliptin (Januvia)	N=75	Change from baseline: -0.65 (95% CI -0.80, -0.50), p-value NR Between-group difference in change from baseline: -1.05 (95% CI -1.27, -0.84)
		Placebo	N=75	Change from baseline: 0.41 (95% CI 0.26, 0.56)
	PPG or random glucose, mg/dL	Sitagliptin (Januvia)	N=43	2- hr PPG Change from baseline: -69.3 (95% CI -85.3, -53.4) Between-group differences in change from baseline: -81.3 (95% CI -105.8, -56.9), p<0.001
		Placebo	N=32	2- h PPG Change from baseline: 12.0 (95% CI -6.5, 30.5)
	Weight, Kg 12 weeks	Sitagliptin (Januvia)	N=75	Change from baseline: -0.1 kg (95% CI -0.4, 0.3) Between-group difference from baseline: -0.7 kg (95% CI -0.2, 1.1), p<0.01
		Placebo	N=76	Change from baseline: -0.7 kg (95% CI -1.0, -0.4)

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
Ratner 2002 U.S. placebo- controlled Fair-Poor	HbA1c, percent 13 weeks	Pramlintide (Symlin) 150 mcgTID+Insulin	N=144	Change from baseline -1.0 % (SE 0.1), p <0.01 vs. placebo (estimated from graph)
		Pramlintide (Symlin) 30mcg TID+Insulin	N=122	Change from baseline - 0.65 % (SE 0.05), p>0.05 vs. placebo (estimated from graph)
		Pramlintide (Symlin) 75mcgTID+Insulin	N=136	Change from baseline: -0.9% (SE 0.1), p-value <0.01 vs. placebo
		Placebo+Insulin	N=136	Change from baseline: - 0.5% (SE 0.05), (estimated from graph)
	HbA1c, percent 52 weeks	Pramlintide (Symlin) 150 mcgTID+Insulin	N=144	Change from baseline -0.65% (SE 0.12), p<0.01 vs. placebo (estimated from graph)
		Pramlintide (Symlin) 30mcg TID+Insulin	N=122	Change from baseline: -0.3% (SE 0.07), p>0.05 vs. placebo (estimated from graph)
		Pramlintide (Symlin) 75mcgTID+Insulin	N=136	Change from baseline: -0.5% (SE 0.12), p-value >0.05 vs. placebo
		Placebo+Insulin	N=136	Change from baseline : -0.2% (SE 0.12), (estimated from graph)
	Weight, Kg 52 weeks	Pramlintide (Symlin) 150 mcgTID+Insulin	N=144	Change from baseline -1.4 kg, (SE 0.4), p-value<0.01 vs. placebo
		Pramlintide (Symlin) 30mcg TID+Insulin	N=122	Change from baseline - 0.5 kg, (SE 0.4), p <0.01 vs. placebo
		Pramlintide (Symlin) 75mcgTID+Insulin	N=136	Change from baseline -0.5 kg, (SE 0.4), p<0.01 vs. placebo
		Placebo+Insulin	N=136	Change from baseline 1.0 kg, (SE 0.5)

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality				
Ratner 2004	HbA1c, percent	Pramlintide 60 mcg QID+insulin	N=161	% of patients in the ITT who achieved an A1c<7% at any time during the study= 12.5% (data interpreted from graph)
U.S., & Canada		Pramlintide 60 mcg TID+insulin	N=164	% of pts in ITT population who achieved A1C< 7% at any time during the study: 11% (data interpreted from graph)
placebo- controlled		Placebo+insulin	N=154	% of pts in the ITT population who achieved an A1C< 7% at any time during the study: 3.5% (data interpreted from graph).
Fair-poor	HbA1c, percent 26 weeks	Pramlintide 60 mcg QID+insulin	N=161	Change from baseline at 26 wks: -0.39%, p=0.013
		Pramlintide 60 mcg TID+insulin	N=164	Change from baseline -0.41% , p= 0.012
		Placebo+insulin	N=154	Change from baseline at 26 wks: -0.18%
	HbA1c, percent 52 weeks	Pramlintide 60 mcg QID+insulin	N=161	Change from baseline - 0.34% (p-value 0.001), SE as interpreted from graph <0.1
		Pramlintide 60 mcg TID+insulin	N=164	Change from baseline -0.29, p=0.011.
		Placebo+insulin	N=154	Change from baseline: -0.04%
	Weight, Kg 26 weeks	Pramlintide 60 mcg QID+insulin	N=161	Change from baseline: -0.8 kg (p=0.000), (SE 0.5)
		Pramlintide 60 mcg TID+insulin	N=164	Change from baseline at 26 wks: -1.3 kg (p=0.000), SE 0.50
		Placebo+insulin	N=154	Change from baseline at 26 wks: 0.7 kg, SE 0.5
	Weight, Kg 52 weeks	Pramlintide 60 mcg QID+insulin	N=161	Change from baseline: - 0.4 kg (SE 0.7), p=0.040 (data interpreted from graph)
		Pramlintide 60 mcg TID+insulin	N=164	Change from baseline -0.4 kg (SE 0.07) p=0.027 (data interpreted from graph)
		Placebo+insulin	N=154	Change from baseline: 0.8 (SE 0.4), (data interpreted from graph)

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
Ratner	HbA1c, percent	Pramlintide (Symlin)+Insulin	N=281	% achieving A1c<7.0% : 9.6%, p-value NR
2005	26 weeks	Pramlintide (Symlin)+Insulin	N=281	Change from baseline -0.16%
USA				Between-treatment difference from placebo: -0.3, p=0.0009 vs. placebo
Pooled analysis		Placebo+Insulin	N=196	% achieving A1c<7% 7.1%
		Placebo+Insulin	N=196	Change from baseline -0.1%, (SE 0.05), p<0.05 (data from graph)
N/A	Weight, Kg	Pramlintide (Symlin)+Insulin	N=281	Change from baseline: -1.35kg (SE 0.2), p-value <0.005 (data interpreted from graph)
	26 weeks			Between-treatment difference from placebo: -1.8, p< or =0.0001 vs. placebo
	Weight, percent	Placebo+Insulin	N=196	Change from baseline: 0.5kg, SE 0.2, p-value <0.005,
	26 weeks			

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
Raz 2006 Multinational placebo- controlled Fair	FPG, mmol/L 18 weeks	Sitagliptin 100 mg	N=201	Change from baseline: -0.7 (95% CI -1.1, -0.4) --> -12.6 mg/dL Between-treatment difference with placebo in change from baseline:: -1.1 (95% CI -1.7, -0.5), p< or = 0.001 --> -19.8 mg/dL
		Sitagliptin 200 mg	N=202	Change from baseline: -0.6 (95% CI -0.9, -0.2) --> -10.8 mg/dL Between-treatment difference with placebo in change from baseline:: -0.9 (95% CI -1.5, -0.3), p<=0.01 --> -16.2 mg/dL
		Sitagliptin 100 mg	N=193	Change from baseline: -0.48 (95% CI -0.61, -0.35) Between-treatment difference with placebo in change from baseline:: -0.60 (95% CI -0.82, -0.39), p< or = 0.001
		Sitagliptin 200 mg	N=199	Change from baseline: -0.36 (95% CI -0.48, -0.23) Between-treatment difference with placebo in change from baseline:: -0.48 (95% CI -0.70, -0.26), p<or=0.001
	PPG or random glucose, mmol/L	Placebo	N=103	Change from baseline: 0.12 (95% CI -0.05, 0.30)
		Sitagliptin 100 mg	N=62	For 2-hr PPG (meal tolerance test for subset of pts total n= 150) Change from baseline: -2.3 (95% CI -3.2, -1.4) --> -41.4 mg/dL Between-treatment difference with placebo in change from baseline:: -2.6 (95% CI -4.2, -1.0), p<=0.01
		Sitagliptin 200 mg	N=61	For 2-hr PPG (meal tolerance test for subset of pts total n= 150) Change from baseline: -2.7 (95% CI -3.6, -1.8) --> -48.6 mg/dL Between-treatment difference with placebo in change from baseline:: -2.9 (95% CI -4.6, -1.3), p<=0.001 --> -52.2 mg/dL
		Placebo	N=27	For 2-hr PPG (meal tolerance test for subset of pts total n= 150) Change from baseline: 0.3 (95% CI -1.1, 1.6) -->+5.4 mg/dL

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
	Weight, Kg 18 weeks	Sitagliptin 100 mg	NR	Change from baseline: -0.6 kg (95% CI -1.0, -0.2) (N, NR)
		Sitagliptin 200 mg	NR	Body weight information found under 'safety' paragraph Change from baseline: -0.2 kg (95% CI -0.7, 0.2) N= NR
		Placebo	NR	Body weight information found under 'safety' paragraph Change from baseline: -0.7 kg (95% CI -1.3, -0.1) N= NR
Raz 2008 Multinational placebo- controlled Fair	FPG, mmol/L 18 weeks	Sitagliptin + MET	N=96	Change from baseline: -1.8 (95% CI -2.3 to -1.3), p<0.001
		Placebo + MET	N=92	Change from baseline: -0.4 (95% CI -0.8 to 0.1)
	FPG, mmol/L 30 weeks	Sitagliptin + MET	N=96	Change from baseline: -1.6 (95% CI -2.1 to -1.1), p<0.001
		Placebo + MET	N=92	Change from baseline: -0.2 (95% CI -0.7 to 0.3)
	HbA1c, percent 18 weeks	Sitagliptin + MET	N=95	Change from baseline: -1.0 (95% CI -1.2 to -0.8), p<0.001
		Placebo + MET	N=92	Change from baseline: 0.0 (95% CI -0.2 to 0.3)
	HbA1c, percent 30 weeks	Sitagliptin + MET	NR	Subgroup with highest baseline A1c >10% Change from baseline: -1.4
		Sitagliptin + MET	N=95	Change from baseline: -1.0 (95% CI -1.3 to -0.7), p<0.001
		Placebo + MET	N=92	Change from baseline: 0.0 (-0.2 to 0.3)
	PPG or random glucose, mmol/L	Sitagliptin + MET	N=79	Change from baseline: -3.8 (95% CI -4.6 to -3.0), p<0.001 No data for 30 weeks
		Placebo + MET	N=74	Change from baseline: -0.8 (95% CI -1.6 to 0.1) No data for 30 weeks

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Year	Country	Trial type	Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
Riddle	2007	US	placebo-controlled	Fair	FPG, mg/dL 16 weeks	Pramlintide > 8.5% + glargine (+/- OHA)	N=42	Change from baseline: -44.4 (SE 12.7)
						Pramlintide ≤ 8.5% + glargine (+/- OHA)	N=63	Change from baseline: -17.3 (SE 7.1)
						Total Placebo + glargine (+/- OHA)	N=106	Change from baseline: -12.0 (SE 5.6) 31% achieved FPG <100
						Total Pramlintide + glargine (+/- OHA)	N=105	Change from baseline: -28.3 (SE 6.8) 23% achieved FPG <100
						Placebo >8.5% + glargine (+/- OHA)	N=48	Change from baseline: -18.4 (SE 9.4)
						Placebo ≤ 8.5% + glargine (+/- OHA)	N=58	Change from baseline: -7.5 (SE 6.8)
					HbA1c, percent 16 weeks	Pramlintide > 8.5% + glargine (+/- OHA)	N=42	Change from baseline: -1.19 (SE 0.14)
						Pramlintide ≤ 8.5% + glargine (+/- OHA)	N=63	Change from baseline: -0.36 (SE 0.13)
						Total Placebo + glargine (+/- OHA)	N=106	Change from baseline: -0.36 (SE 0.08)
						Total Pramlintide + glargine (+/- OHA)	N=105	Change from baseline: -0.70 (SE 0.11), p<0.05 vs. placebo
						Placebo >8.5% + glargine (+/- OHA)	N=48	Change from baseline: -0.69 (SE 0.13)
						Placebo ≤ 8.5% + glargine (+/- OHA)	N=58	Change from baseline: -0.08 (SE 0.09)

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Year	Country	Trial type	Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
					PPG or random glucose, mg/dL	Pramlintide > 8.5% + glargine (+/- OHA)	N=42	Change from baseline: -23.7 (SE 5.9)
						Pramlintide ≤ 8.5% + glargine (+/- OHA)	N=63	Change from baseline: -24.9 (SE 4.4)
						Total Placebo + glargine (+/- OHA)	N=106	Change from baseline: -0.4 (SE 3.0)
						Total Pramlintide + glargine (+/- OHA)	N=105	Change from baseline: -24.4 (SE 3.6), p <0.0001 vs. placebo
						Placebo >8.5% + glargine (+/- OHA)	N=48	Change from baseline: 3.2 (SE 4.6)
						Placebo ≤ 8.5% + glargine (+/- OHA)	N=58	Change from baseline: -3.6 (SE 3.8)
					Weight, Kg 16 weeks	Pramlintide > 8.5% + glargine (+/- OHA)	N=42	Change from baseline: -1.0 (SE 0.3)
						Pramlintide ≤ 8.5% + glargine (+/- OHA)	N=63	Change from baseline: -2.0 (SE 0.4)
						Total Placebo + glargine (+/- OHA)	N=106	Change from baseline: 0.7 (SE 0.3)
						Total Pramlintide + glargine (+/- OHA)	N=105	Change from baseline: -1.6 (SE 0.3), p<0.0001 vs. placebo
						Placebo >8.5% + glargine (+/- OHA)	N=48	Change from baseline: 1.1 (SE 0.4)
						Placebo ≤ 8.5% + glargine (+/- OHA)	N=58	Change from baseline: -0.4 (SE 0.4)

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
Rosenstock 2006 multinational placebo- controlled Fair	FPG, mg/dL 24 weeks	Sitagliptin + Pioglitazone	N=163	Change from baseline: -16.7 (95% CI -22.4, -11.0) Between-treatment difference in change from baseline:: -17.7 (95% CI -24.3, -11.0)
		Placebo + Pioglitazone	N=174	Change from baseline: 1.0 (95% CI -4.3, +6.3)
	HbA1c, percent 24 weeks	Sitagliptin + Pioglitazone	N=163	Change from baseline: -0.85 (95%CI -0.98, -0.72) Between-treatment difference in change from baseline: -0.70 (95% CI -0.85, -0.54), p< 001 vs. placebo
		Placebo + Pioglitazone	N=174	Change from baseline: -0.15 (95% CI -0.28, -0.03)
	Weight, Kg 24 weeks	Sitagliptin + Pioglitazone	NR	Change from baseline: 1.8 (95% 1.1, 2.4) Between-treatment difference in change from baseline:: 0.2 (95% -0.5, 1.0), p>0.05 (NSD) (N, NR)
		Placebo + Pioglitazone	NR	Change from baseline: 1.5 (95% 0.9, 2.2), p>0.05 (NSD)

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
Scott 2007 Multinational active-control Fair	FPG, mmol/L 12 weeks	Glipizide	N=121	Change from baseline: -1.38 (95% CI -1.73, -1.03) Change from placebo: -1.82 (95% CI -2.31, -1.32)
		Sitagliptin (Januvia) 50 mg	N=122	Change from baseline: -1.01 (95% CI -1.36, -0.66) --> -18 mg/dL Change from placebo: -1.45 (-1.94, -0.96) --> -26 mg/dL, p<0.001 vs. placebo
		Placebo	N=123	Change from baseline: 0.44 (95% CI 0.09, 0.79) --> +8 mg/dL
	HbA1c, percent 12 weeks	Glipizide	N=119	Change from baseline: -0.76 (95% CI -0.90, -0.62) Change from placebo: -1.00 (95% CI -1.19, -0.80)
		Sitagliptin (Januvia) 50 mg	N=121	Change from baseline: -0.54 (95% CI -0.68, -0.40) Change from placebo: -0.77 (95% CI -0.96, -0.58), p<0.001 vs. placebo
		Placebo	N=121	Change from baseline: +0.23 (95% CI 0.10, 0.37)
	PPG or random glucose, mmol/L	Glipizide	N=32	2hr PPG in a subset of patients who underwent meal tolerance test Change from baseline: -3.69 (95% CI -4.80, -2.58) --> 66 mg/dL, p<0.01
		Sitagliptin (Januvia) 50 mg	N=40	2hr PPG from meal tolerance test Change from baseline: -2.69 (95% CI -3.69, -1.71) --> -48 mg/dL, p<0.01 vs. baseline
		Placebo	N=38	Change from baseline: +0.31 (95% CI -0.71, 1.33) --> 6 mg/dL
	Weight, Kg 12 weeks	Sitagliptin (Januvia) 50 mg	NR	Change from baseline relative to placebo: 0.4 kg (95% CI -0.2, 0.9), p>0.05 (N, NR)

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
Scott 2008 Multinational active-control Fair	FPG, mg/dL 18 weeks	Rosiglitazone + MET monotherapy	N=87	Change from baseline: -24.5 mg/dL (95% CI -31.6 to -17.5) Placebo-subtracted difference: -30.6 (95% CI -40.6 to -20.7) Difference from sitagliptin: -12.8 (95% CI -22.6 to -3.0)
		Sitagliptin + MET monotherapy	N=92	Change from baseline: -11.7 mg/dL (95% CI -18.6 to -4.9) Placebo-subtracted difference: -17.8 (95% CI -18.6 to -4.9), P≤0.001
		Placebo + MET monotherapy	N=89	Change from baseline: +6.1 mg/dL (95% CI -0.8 to 13.1)
	HbA1c, percent 18 weeks	Rosiglitazone + MET monotherapy	N=87	Change from baseline: -0.79 (95% CI -0.92 to -0.65) Placebo-subtracted difference: -0.57 (95% CI -0.76 to -0.37) Difference from sitagliptin: -0.06 (95% CI -0.25 to 0.14)
		Sitagliptin + MET monotherapy	N=91	Change from baseline: -0.73 (95% CI -0.87 to -0.60) Placebo-subtracted difference: -0.51% (95% CI -0.70 to -0.32), P≤0.001
		Placebo + MET monotherapy	N=88	Change from baseline: -0.22% (95% CI -0.36 to -0.08)
	PPG or random glucose, mg/dL	Rosiglitazone + MET monotherapy	N=76	Change from baseline: -25.4 mg/dL (95% CI -33.2 to -17.5) Placebo-subtracted difference: -46.4 (95% CI -62.1 to -30.7) Difference from sitagliptin: -15.9 (95% CI -31.6 to -0.3)
		Sitagliptin + MET monotherapy	N=80	Change from baseline: -35.4 (95% CI -46.3 to -24.5) Placebo-subtracted difference: -30.5 (95% CI -46.0 to -15.0), P≤0.001
		Placebo + MET monotherapy	N=78	Change from baseline: -4.9 (95% CI -16.0 to 6.1)
	Weight, Kg 18 weeks	Rosiglitazone + MET monotherapy	N=87	Change from baseline: +1.5 kg (estimated from graph)
		Sitagliptin + MET monotherapy	N=91	Change from baseline: -0.5 kg (estimated from graph)
		Placebo + MET monotherapy	N=88	Change from baseline: -1.0 kg (estimated from graph)

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
Whitehouse 2002 US placebo- controlled Fair-poor	HbA1c, percent 52 weeks	Pramlintide 30 mcg + 60 mcg (combined) + insulin	N=174	Placebo corrected difference: -0.39 %, p=0.0071
		Placebo + insulin	N=168	Estimated from Figure 1A Change in HbA1c from baseline: -0.12 %
	Weight, Kg 52 weeks	Pramlintide 30 mcg + 60 mcg (combined) + insulin	N=174	Estimated from Figure 1B Change in weight from baseline: -0.5 kg
		Placebo + insulin	N=168	Estimated from Figure 1B Change in weight from baseline: 1.0 kg
Whitehouse 2002 US Open label extension N/A	HbA1c, percent 104 weeks	Original Pramlintide arm + insulin	N=125	Estimated from graph Change from baseline: -0.4 % (unable to determine SEM)
		Switched to pramlintide from placebo + insulin	N=111	Estimated from graph Change from baseline: -0.4 % (unable to determine SEM from graph)
	Weight, Kg 104 weeks	Original Pramlintide arm + insulin	N=125	Estimated from graph Change from baseline: +0.5 kg (SEM 0.5)
		Switched to pramlintide from placebo + insulin	N=111	Change from baseline: -0.8 kg (SEM 0.5)

Evidence Table 2. Outcomes of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Outcome	Intervention	N	Result
Zinman 2007 Canada, Spain, U.S. placebo- controlled Fair	FPG, mmol/L 16 weeks	Exenatide 10 mcg	N=114	Change from baseline: -1.59 (SE 0.22) -->29 mg/dL Between-treatment difference: -1.69 (95% CI -2.22, -1.17), p<0.001 vs. placebo -->- 30 mg/dL
		Placebo	N=105	Change from baseline: 0.10 (SE 0.21)
	HbA1c, percent 16 weeks	Exenatide 10 mcg	N=117	Change from baseline: -0.89 (SE 0.09), p<0.001 vs. placebo Between-treatment difference: -0.98 (95% CI -1.21, -0.74), p<0.001 vs. placebo
		Placebo	N=105	Change from baseline: 0.09 (SE 0.10)
	PPG or random glucose, mmol/L	Exenatide 10 mcg	N=106	From self-monitored blood glucose readings Change from baseline: -1.58 Between-treatment difference: -1.27 (95% CI -1.64, -0.91)
		Placebo	N=108	From self-monitored blood glucose readings Change from baseline: -0.31
	Weight, Kg 16 weeks	Exenatide 10 mcg	N=121	Change from baseline: -1.75 Between-treatment difference: -1.51 (95% CI -2.15, -0.88), p< 0.001 vs. placebo
		Placebo	N=110	Change from baseline: -0.24

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Aronne 2007 US placebo- controlled Poor	Pramlintide (Symlin)	Total sample size: 137 Withdrawals, total: 40 (29.2%) Withdrawals for AEs: 5 (3.65%)	Diarrhea: 12 (8.76%) Nausea: 52 (37.96%) Mild/moderate hypoglycemia: 11 (8.03%) Dizziness: 9 (6.57%) Injection site reaction: 59 (43.07%)	Total withdrawal for the entire cohort: 28% Withdrawals for DM2 subgroup were NR. No severe hypoglycemic events.
	Placebo	Total sample size: 67 Withdrawals, total: 17 (25.37%) Withdrawals for AEs: 2 (2.99%)	Diarrhea: 5 (7.46%) Nausea: 15 (22.39%) Mild/moderate hypoglycemia: 1 (1.49%) Dizziness: 4 (5.97%) Injection site reaction: 28 (41.79%)	Most common AE: injection site reaction, nausea (which was higher during first few months of therapy) No CNS AE Injection site reactions included: bruising, burning, discomfort, erythema, hemorrhage, nodule, pain, pruritus, rash, scar, stinging, swelling, urticaria, and vesicles

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Aschner 2006	Sitagliptin 100 mg	Total sample size: 238 Withdrawals, total: 29 (12.18%) Withdrawals for AEs: 9 (3.78%)	Hypertension: 6 (2.52%) Abdominal pain: 5 (2.1%) Constipation: 9 (3.78%) Diarrhea: 11 (4.62%) Nausea: 5 (2.1%) Vomiting: 3 (1.26%) Influenza: 11 (4.62%) Nasopharyngitis: 17 (7.14%) Pharyngitis: 5 (2.1%) Sinusitis: 2 (0.84%) Upper Respiratory Tract Infection: 21 (8.82%) Urinary Tract Infection: 5 (2.1%) Viral infection: 2 (0.84%) Blood glucose increase: 3 (1.26%) Hyperglycemia: 5 (2.1%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 3 (1.26%) Arthralgia: 3 (1.26%) Back pain: 4 (1.68%) Myalgia: 5 (2.1%) Neck pain: 0 (0%) Pain in extremities: 3 (1.26%) Dizziness: 3 (1.26%) Fatigue: 3 (1.26%) Headache: 11 (4.62%) Pharyngolaryngeal Pain: 3 (1.26%) Cough: 6 (2.52%)	There were no severe hypoglycemic events Three patients had serious drug-related AE. Table 2 in Online Appendix
Multinational				
placebo- controlled				
Fair				

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
	Sitagliptin 200 mg	Total sample size: 250 Withdrawals, total: 36 (14.4%) Withdrawals for AEs: 7 (2.8%)	Hypertension: 8 (3.2%) Abdominal pain: 3 (1.2%) Constipation: 7 (2.8%) Diarrhea: 10 (4%) Nausea: 10 (4%) Vomiting: 2 (0.8%) Influenza: 10 (4%) Nasopharyngitis: 15 (6%) Pharyngitis: 5 (2%) Sinusitis: 7 (2.8%) Upper Respiratory Tract Infection: 22 (8.8%) Urinary Tract Infection: 8 (3.2%) Viral infection: 2 (0.8%) Blood Gluc Increase: 6 (2.4%) Hyperglycemia: 1 (0.4%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 2 (0.8%) Arthralgia: 10 (4%) Back pain: 5 (2%) Myalgia: 5 (2%) Neck pain: 1 (0.4%) Pain in extremities: 6 (2.4%) Dizziness: 12 (4.8%) Fatigue: 3 (1.2%) Headache: 11 (4.4%) Pharyngolaryngeal Pain: 7 (2.8%) Cough: 5 (2%)	

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
	Placebo	Total sample size: 253 Withdrawals, total: 37 (14.62%) Withdrawals for AEs: 10 (3.95%)	Hypertension: 5 (1.98%) Abdominal pain: 4 (1.58%) Constipation: 3 (1.19%) Diarrhea: 6 (2.37%) Nausea: 3 (1.19%) Vomiting: 3 (1.19%) Influenza: 12 (4.74%) Nasopharyngitis: 12 (4.74%) Pharyngitis: 1 (0.4%) Sinusitis: 6 (2.37%) Upper Respiratory Tract Infection: 22 (8.7%) Urinary Tract Infection: 7 (2.77%) Viral infection: 5 (1.98%) Blood Gluc Increase: 8 (3.16%) Hyperglycemia: 5 (1.98%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 2 (0.79%) Arthralgia: 7 (2.77%) Back pain: 11 (4.35%) Myalgia: 3 (1.19%) Neck pain: 5 (1.98%) Pain in extremities: 6 (2.37%) Dizziness: 4 (1.58%) Fatigue: 5 (1.98%) Headache: 12 (4.74%) Pharyngolaryngeal Pain: 2 (0.79%) Cough: 8 (3.16%)	

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Barnett 2007 Europe, Mexico active-control	Exenatide + MET or SU	Total sample size: 68 Withdrawals, total: 20 (29.41%) Withdrawals for AEs: 11 (16.18%)	Nausea: 29 (42.65%) Vomiting: 7 (10.29%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 10 (14.71%) Severe hypoglycemia: 0 (0%) Headache: 9 (13.24%)	AE leading to withdrawal were nausea (5), vomiting (3), and constipation, hypoesthesia, and urticaria (1 each) during exenatide treatment period. Adenocarcinoma of the pancreas (1) during glargine treatment period.
Fair-poor	Glargine+ MET or SU	Total sample size: 70 Withdrawals, total: 2 (2.86%) Withdrawals for AEs: 1 (1.43%)	Influenza: 8 (11.43%) Pharyngitis: 6 (8.57%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 18 (25.71%) Severe hypoglycemia: 3 (4.29%) Headache: 7 (10%) Cough: 6 (8.57%)	Total AE were reported by 65.4% of exenatide-treated subjects compared with 52.8% of glargine-treated subjects. Nausea was generally mild-moderate and tended to occur during initiation of exenatide. No exenatide-treated subjects reported severe hypoglycemia compared with 3 glargine-treated subjects who reported a total of 8 hypoglycemic episodes. In patients on SU, there were no significant differences in rates of overall hypoglycemia or nocturnal hypoglycemia between the treatment arms.

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Blonde 2006 US Open label extension N/A	Exenatide 10 mcg	Total sample size: 551 Withdrawals, total: 237 (43.01%) Withdrawals for AEs: 39 (7.08%)	Nausea: 160 (29.04%) Nausea: 83 (15.06%) Mild/moderate hypoglycemia: 61 (11.07%) Mild/moderate hypoglycemia: 55 (9.98%) Severe hypoglycemia: 4 (0.73%)	<p>Total withdrawals based on ITT population= 237 (43%) Withdrawals due to AE based on ITT population= 39 (7%)</p> <p>Table 3 has subgroup information on the effects of nausea on HbA1c and weight. Pearson correlation analysis that examined the nausea-by-weight correlations in the 82-week completer cohort found that the reduction in body weight was unlikely to be driven by the direct effect of nausea ($r = -0.11$).</p> <p>Safety endpoints included AEs occurring upon or after receiving the 1st exenatide dose during the primary trials through the 82-wk period. All safety analyses were performed per ITT population.</p>

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Buse 2004 US placebo- controlled Fair	Exenatide 10 mcg + SU	Total sample size: 129 Withdrawals, total: 38 (29.5%) Withdrawals for AEs: 13 (10.1%)	Constipation: 12 (9.3%) Diarrhea: 11 (8.53%) Nausea: 66 (51.16%) Vomiting: 17 (13.18%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 46 (35.66%) Weakness: 2 (1.55%) Dizziness: 19 (14.73%) Headache: 10 (7.75%) Feeling jittery: 19 (14.73%) Increased sweating: 10 (7.75%)	No AE for vital signs, HR, BP, PE. 12 subjects had transient increases in creatine phosphokinase. 1-subject in the exenatide 10 mcg arm and 1-subject in the placebo arm had an MI; 1-subject in the placebo arm experienced clinical manifestations of CAD. No severe hypoglycemia, however, 1-subject in the exenatide 5 mcg arm withdrew due to hypoglycemia.
	Exenatide 5 mcg + SU	Total sample size: 125 Withdrawals, total: 30 (24.0%) Withdrawals for AEs: 9 (7.2%)	Constipation: 2 (1.6%) Diarrhea: 14 (11.2%) Nausea: 49 (39.2%) Vomiting: 12 (9.6%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 18 (14.4%) Weakness: 7 (5.6%) Dizziness: 19 (15.2%) Headache: 11 (8.8%) Feeling jittery: 15 (12%) Increased sweating: 3 (2.4%)	

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
	Placebo (combined) + SU	Total sample size: 123 Withdrawals, total: 49 (39.8%) Withdrawals for AEs: 4 (3.3%)	Constipation: 4 (3.25%) Diarrhea: 5 (4.07%) Nausea: 9 (7.32%) Vomiting: 3 (2.44%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 4 (3.25%) Weakness: 4 (3.25%) Dizziness: 8 (6.5%) Headache: 8 (6.5%) Feeling jittery: 2 (1.63%) Increased sweating: 1 (0.81%)	
Buse 2007 U.S. Open label extension N/A	Exenatide 10 mcg	Total sample size: 521 Withdrawals, total: 238 (45.68%) Withdrawals for AEs: 45 (8.64%)	Nausea: 203 (39.04%) Nausea: 23 (7.85%) Nausea: 139 (29.08%) Upper Respiratory Tract Infection: 6 (2.05%) Upper Respiratory Tract Infection: 48 (10.04%) Upper Respiratory Tract Infection: 36 (6.92%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 62 (12.97%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 67 (12.88%)	All safety analyses were performed by using the ITT population defined as all patients who received at least 1-dose of exenatide from the beginning of the OLE and who enrolled with timing such that they would achieve 2 years of exenatide tx prior to analysis cut-off date. No evidence of exenatide-related cardiovascular, pulmonary, hepatic, or renal toxicity or of idiosyncratic AE associated with its use. 1-severe hypoglycemic event in 1010 subject-yrs of exenatide exposure in the ITT (n= 521) population. Prevalence of hypoglycemia was no different among those >65 yrs of age compared to younger subjects.

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Charbonnel 2006 multinational placebo- controlled Fair	Sitagliptin 100mg + MET ≥ 1.5 g	Total sample size: 464 Withdrawals, total: 48 (10.34%) Withdrawals for AEs: 11 (2.37%)	Hypertension: 7 (1.51%) Abdominal pain: 10 (2.16%) Diarrhea: 12 (2.59%) Gastroenteritis: 4 (0.86%) Nausea: 6 (1.29%) Vomiting: 5 (1.08%) Influenza: 20 (4.31%) Nasopharyngitis: 19 (4.09%) Upper Respiratory Tract Infection: 34 (7.33%) Urinary Tract Infection: 11 (2.37%) Blood Gluc Increase: 3 (0.65%) Hyperglycemia: 2 (0.43%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 6 (1.29%) Arthralgia: 14 (3.02%) Back pain: 15 (3.23%) Headache: 13 (2.8%) Bronchitis: 13 (2.8%) Cough: 14 (3.02%)	Most common reasons for discontinuation were lack of efficacy, withdrawal of consent, clinical adverse experiences, and lost to follow-up. There was no statistically significant differences in the incidence of hypoglycemia (did not report if there were reports of severe hypoglycemic events). There was a small mean increase (<10%) in WBC related to increase in ANC in the sitagliptin 100mg arm compared to placebo. Prespecified AEs included hypoglycemia, change in wt, and gastrointestinal AE (abdominal pain, diarrhea, nausea, vomiting).

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality				
	Placebo + MET ≥ 1.5 g	Total sample size: 237 Withdrawals, total: 45 (18.99%) Withdrawals for AEs: 7 (2.95%)	Hypertension: 6 (2.53%) Abdominal pain: 9 (3.8%) Diarrhea: 6 (2.53%) Gastroenteritis: 5 (2.11%) Nausea: 2 (0.84%) Vomiting: 2 (0.84%) Influenza: 13 (5.49%) Nasopharyngitis: 8 (3.38%) Upper Respiratory Tract Infection: 22 (9.28%) Urinary Tract Infection: 3 (1.27%) Blood Gluc Increase: 6 (2.53%) Hyperglycemia: 7 (2.95%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 5 (2.11%) Arthralgia: 1 (0.42%) Back pain: 6 (2.53%) Headache: 7 (2.95%) Bronchitis: 6 (2.53%) Cough: 4 (1.69%)	
Davis	Exenatide	Total sample size: 33	Chest pain: 1 (%)	Five exenatide patients discontinued (bronchitis (1) , hyperglycemia (1) and nausea (3))
2007	(Byetta) 10 mcg	Withdrawals, total: 14 (42.42%)	Hyperglycemia: 1 (%)	
U.S.	BID + oral	Withdrawals for AEs: 5 (15.15%)	Severe hypoglycemia: 1 (%)	
active-control	antidiabetes			
	Insulin + oral	Total sample size: 16	Chest pain: 0 (%)	
	diabetes meds	Withdrawals, total: 1 (6.25%)	Hyperglycemia: 0 (%)	
Fair-poor		Withdrawals for AEs: 0 (0%)	Severe hypoglycemia: 0 (0%)	

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
DeFronzo 2005 U.S. placebo- controlled Fair	Exenatide (Byetta) 10 mcg BID + metformin	Total sample size: 113 Withdrawals, total: 20 (17.7%) Withdrawals for AEs: 8 (7.08%)	Diarrhea: 18 (15.93%) Nausea: 51 (45.13%) Vomiting: 13 (11.5%) Sinusitis: 7 (6.19%) Upper Respiratory Tract Infection: 11 (9.73%) Mild/moderate hypoglycemia: 6 (5.31%) Back pain: 7 (6.19%) Dizziness: 5 (4.42%)	Withdrawals from loss of glucose control as defined in protocol: 9 (8.0%) for placebo, 5 (4.5%) for 5 mcg BID exenatide, and 1 (0.9%) for 10 mcg BID exenatide No cases of severe hypoglycemia. Exenatide not associated with an increased incidence of cardiovascular, hepatic, or renal adverse events.
	Exenatide (Byetta) 5mcg BID + metformin	Total sample size: 110 Withdrawals, total: 20 (18.18%) Withdrawals for AEs: 4 (3.64%)	Diarrhea: 13 (11.82%) Nausea: 40 (36.36%) Vomiting: 12 (10.91%) Sinusitis: 5 (4.55%) Upper Respiratory Tract Infection: 15 (13.64%) Mild/moderate hypoglycemia: 5 (4.55%) Back pain: 3 (2.73%) Dizziness: 10 (9.09%)	No changes in plasma lipids, lab safety parameters, heart rate, blood pressure, or electrocardiogram variables observed w/ treatment arms.
	Placebo + metformin	Total sample size: 113 Withdrawals, total: 24 (21.24%) Withdrawals for AEs: 1 (0.88%)	Diarrhea: 9 (7.96%) Nausea: 26 (23.01%) Vomiting: 4 (3.54%) Sinusitis: 6 (5.31%) Upper Respiratory Tract Infection: 12 (10.62%) Mild/moderate hypoglycemia: 6 (5.31%) Back pain: 3 (2.65%) Dizziness: 7 (6.19%)	

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Edelman 2006 US placebo- controlled Fair	Combined Pramlintide arms + insulin	Total sample size: 148 Withdrawals, total: 32 (21.62%) Withdrawals for AEs: 8 (5.41%)		High differential in total withdrawal between placebo (9.5%) and combined pramlintide arm (21.6%).
	Pramlintide 30mcg + insulin	Total sample size: 41 Withdrawals, total: 17 (41.46%) Withdrawals for AEs: 6 (14.63%)	Nausea: 39 (95.12%) Vomiting: 7 (17.07%) Sinusitis: 9 (21.95%) Decreased appetite: 6 (14.63%) Depression: 1 (2.44%)	Patients were instructed to self-monitor BG at least 6x/day.
	Pramlintide 60mcg + insulin	Total sample size: 101 Withdrawals, total: 10 (9.9%) Withdrawals for AEs: 1 (0.99%)	Nausea: 49 (48.51%) Vomiting: 12 (11.88%) Sinusitis: 13 (12.87%) Decreased appetite: 7 (6.93%)	
	Placebo+insulin	Total sample size: 147 Withdrawals, total: 14 (9.52%) Withdrawals for AEs: 3 (2.04%)	Nausea: 53 (36.05%) Vomiting: 9 (6.12%) Sinusitis: 13 (8.84%) Decreased appetite: 3 (2.04%)	

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Goldstein 2007 multinational placebo- controlled Fair	MET 1000 mg Bid	Total sample size: 182 Withdrawals, total: 26 (14.29%) Withdrawals for AEs: 5 (2.75%)	Abdominal pain: 9 (4.95%) Diarrhea: 19 (10.44%) Gastrointestinal disorders (unspecified): 46 (25.27%) Nausea: 15 (8.24%) Vomiting: 2 (1.1%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 2 (1.1%)	Total withdrawn: 185/1091 (17%) For AE: 27 (2.5%) No severe hypoglycemic events; GI AE higher in high-dose MET arms 1 drug-related SAE (a patient in the placebo arm with ketoacidosis) 1 patient in the placebo arm died during the study due to sudden cardiac death
	MET 500 mg Bid	Total sample size: 182 Withdrawals, total: 29 (15.93%) Withdrawals for AEs: 4 (2.2%)	Abdominal pain: 5 (2.75%) Diarrhea: 9 (4.95%) Gastrointestinal disorders (unspecified): 29 (15.93%) Nausea: 5 (2.75%) Vomiting: 0 (0%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 1 (0.55%)	
	Sitagliptin 100 mg	Total sample size: 179 Withdrawals, total: 37 (20.67%) Withdrawals for AEs: 6 (3.35%)	Abdominal pain: 6 (3.35%) Diarrhea: 5 (2.79%) Gastrointestinal disorders (unspecified): 27 (15.08%) Nausea: 2 (1.12%) Vomiting: 0 (0%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 1 (0.56%)	
	Sitagliptin 50 mg + MET 1000 mg Bid	Total sample size: 182 Withdrawals, total: 18 (9.89%) Withdrawals for AEs: 1 (0.55%)	Abdominal pain: 6 (3.3%) Diarrhea: 16 (8.79%) Gastrointestinal disorders (unspecified): 45 (24.73%) Nausea: 10 (5.49%) Vomiting: 6 (3.3%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 4 (2.2%)	

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
	Sitagliptin 50 mg + MET 500 mg Bid	Total sample size: 190 Withdrawals, total: 26 (13.68%) Withdrawals for AEs: 4 (2.11%)	Abdominal pain: 5 (2.63%) Diarrhea: 12 (6.32%) Gastrointestinal disorders (unspecified): 34 (17.89%) Nausea: 8 (4.21%) Vomiting: 2 (1.05%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 2 (1.05%)	
	Placebo	Total sample size: 176 Withdrawals, total: 49 (27.84%) Withdrawals for AEs: 7 (3.98%)	Abdominal pain: 4 (2.27%) Diarrhea: 7 (3.98%) Gastrointestinal disorders (unspecified): 19 (10.8%) Nausea: 2 (1.14%) Vomiting: 1 (0.57%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 1 (0.57%)	
Goldstein 2007 Open-label cohort Poor	Sitagliptin 50 mg + MET 1000 mg Bid	Total sample size: 117 Withdrawals, total: 38 (32.48%) Withdrawals for AEs: (NR%)	Abdominal pain: 6 (5.13%) Diarrhea: 10 (8.55%) Gastrointestinal disorders (unspecified): 32 (27.35%) Nausea: 7 (5.98%) Vomiting: 4 (3.42%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 2 (1.71%)	32.5% withdrew from the open-label cohort and 16.2% withdrew due to lack of efficacy "generally well tolerated, with a profile similar to that observed in patients in the randomized cohort"

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Heine 2005	Exenatide + MET/SU	Total sample size: 282 Withdrawals, total: 55 (19.5%) Withdrawals for AEs: 27 (9.57%)	Chest pain: 6 (2.13%) Rash: 3 (1.06%) Constipation: 10 (3.55%) Diarrhea: 24 (8.51%) Dyspepsia: 10 (3.55%) Nausea: 161 (57.09%) Upper abdominal pain: 12 (4.26%) Vomiting: 49 (17.38%) Influenza: 7 (2.48%) Nasopharyngitis: 22 (7.8%) Sinusitis: 7 (2.48%) Upper Respiratory Tract Infection: 15 (5.32%) Urinary Tract Infection: 7 (2.48%) Anorexia: 10 (3.55%) Decreased appetite: 9 (3.19%) Severe hypoglycemia: 4 (1.42%) Arthralgia: 9 (3.19%) Asthenia: 6 (2.13%) Back pain: 17 (6.03%) Pain in extremities: 11 (3.9%) Anxiety: 6 (2.13%) Dizziness: 15 (5.32%) Headache: 25 (8.87%) Pharyngolaryngeal Pain: 12 (4.26%) Bronchitis: 5 (1.77%) Cough: 11 (3.9%)	One patient from the insulin glargine group and 18 patients from the exenatide group withdrew from the trial because of nausea or other gastrointestinal symptoms. Safety analysis according to those who were randomized to treatment; exenatide, n= 282; glargine, n= 267 Most common AE among exentaide patients was nausea 57.1% and vomiting 17.4%, p< 0.001 vs. glargine 1 patient in glargine and 18 from exenatide withdrew due to nausea or other gastrointestinal-related AE 4 patients in each arm had severe hypoglycemic events but none withdrew
Multinational active-control				
Fair				

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
	Glargine + MET/SU	Total sample size: 267 Withdrawals, total: 26 (9.74%) Withdrawals for AEs: 2 (0.75%)	Chest pain: 3 (1.12%) Rash: 6 (2.25%) Constipation: 1 (0.37%) Diarrhea: 8 (3%) Dyspepsia: 1 (0.37%) Nausea: 23 (8.61%) Upper abdominal pain: 2 (0.75%) Vomiting: 10 (3.75%) Influenza: 15 (5.62%) Nasopharyngitis: 24 (8.99%) Sinusitis: 4 (1.5%) Upper Respiratory Tract Infection: 13 (4.87%) Urinary Tract Infection: 3 (1.12%) Anorexia: 0 (0%) Decreased appetite: 1 (0.37%) Severe hypoglycemia: 4 (1.5%) Arthralgia: 10 (3.75%) Asthenia: 7 (2.62%) Back pain: 8 (3%) Pain in extremities: 8 (3%) Anxiety: 2 (0.75%) Dizziness: 6 (2.25%) Headache: 23 (8.61%) Pharyngolaryngeal Pain: 11 (4.12%) Bronchitis: 7 (2.62%) Cough: 8 (3%)	

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Hermansen 2007 Denmark, USA placebo- controlled Fair	Entire Placebo cohort	Total sample size: 219 Withdrawals, total: 40 (18.26%) Withdrawals for AEs: 2 (0.91%)	Abdominal pain: 2 (0.91%) Diarrhea: 6 (2.74%) Nausea: 1 (0.46%) Vomiting: 1 (0.46%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 4 (1.83%)	There was a numerically larger proportion of subjects in the sitagliptin+glimepiride arm that withdrew and withdrew due to AE No severe hypoglycemic events.
	Entire Sitagliptin cohort	Total sample size: 222 Withdrawals, total: 37 (16.67%) Withdrawals for AEs: 6 (2.7%)	Abdominal pain: 5 (2.25%) Diarrhea: 3 (1.35%) Nausea: 1 (0.45%) Vomiting: 3 (1.35%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 27 (12.16%)	The overall incidence of clinical adverse event and drug-related clinical AEs was higher in the sitagliptin+glimepiride+MET arm compared to the placebo+glimepiride+MET arm.
	Sitagliptin + Glimepiride	Total sample size: 106 Withdrawals, total: 23 (21.7%) Withdrawals for AEs: 4 (3.77%)	Abdominal pain: 3 (2.83%) Diarrhea: 2 (1.89%) Nausea: 0 (0%) Vomiting: 1 (0.94%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 8 (7.55%)	1 death in sitagliptin arm which was considered not to be study medication related by the investigator There were small decreases in Alk Phos and Bilirubin for those in the sitagliptin arm vs. placebo.
	Sitagliptin + Glimepiride + MET	Total sample size: 116 Withdrawals, total: 14 (12.07%) Withdrawals for AEs: 2 (1.72%)	Abdominal pain: 2 (1.72%) Diarrhea: 1 (0.86%) Nausea: 1 (0.86%) Vomiting: 2 (1.72%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 19 (16.38%)	There were small increases in WBC and ANC in those in the sitagliptin arm. No differences in liver function test
	Placebo + Glimepiride	Total sample size: 106 Withdrawals, total: 19 (17.92%) Withdrawals for AEs: 0 (0%)	Abdominal pain: 0 (0%) Diarrhea: 2 (1.89%) Nausea: 0 (0%) Vomiting: 0 (0%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 3 (2.83%)	

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Year	Country	Trial type	Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
					Placebo + Glimepiride + MET	Total sample size: 113 Withdrawals, total: 21 (18.58%) Withdrawals for AEs: 2 (1.77%)	Abdominal pain: 2 (1.77%) Diarrhea: 4 (3.54%) Nausea: 1 (0.88%) Vomiting: 1 (0.88%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 1 (0.88%)	

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Hollander 2003 US placebo- controlled Fair	Pramlintide 120 mcg + adjunct insulin	Total sample size: 166 Withdrawals, total: 53 (32%) Withdrawals for AEs: (NR%)	Nausea: 50 (30.12%) Severe nausea: 3 (1.81%) Headache: 28 (16.87%)	113 (70%) in placebo arm; 122 (71%) pramlintide 90 mcg Bid; 113 (68%) pramlintide 120 mcg Bid completed 52 weeks of treatment.
	Pramlintide 90 mcg + adjunct insulin	Total sample size: 171 Withdrawals, total: 50 (29%) Withdrawals for AEs: (NR%)	Nausea: 53 (30.99%) Severe nausea: 7 (4.09%) Headache: 26 (15.2%)	The most common reasons with withdrawal were withdrawal of consent and AE--NR.
	Placebo + adjunct insulin	Total sample size: 161 Withdrawals, total: 48 (30%) Withdrawals for AEs: (NR%)	Nausea: 23 (14.29%) Severe nausea: 2 (1.24%) Headache: 13 (8.07%)	<p>All patients received a SMBG machine and were instructed to record BG readings and insulin doses into diaries. Patients were also to self-monitor for sx of hypoglycemia and if possible obtain BG readings.</p> <p>Did not report any other AE; severe hypoglycemia was reported as an event rate per patient year (total number of events/total number of patients yrs of observation for all patients in that treatment regimen).</p> <p>No evidence of CV, pulm, hepatic, or renal tox or of drug-related idiosyncratic SE as assoc'd with Pram; no abNL changes in lab values, EKG, VS</p> <p>Incidence of nausea in those taking MET was no different than other groups</p>

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Hollander 2003 NR Pooled analysis N/A	Pramlintide 120 mcg + insulin Placebo + insulin	Total sample size: 86 Withdrawals, total: (NR%) Withdrawals for AEs: (NR%) Total sample size: 80 Withdrawals, total: (NR%) Withdrawals for AEs: (NR%)	Nausea: 16 (18.6%) Anorexia: 10 (11.63%) Injection site reaction: 12 (13.95%) Nausea: 7 (8.75%) Anorexia: 3 (3.75%) Injection site reaction: 5 (6.25%)	Event rate for severe hypoglycemia at the beginning of the study (0-4wks) was the same in the 2 treatment groups, for 4-26 weeks, pramlintide treated patients had fewer severe hypoglycemic events. There was no evidence of cardiovascular, hepatic, or renal toxicity, etc.
Hollander 2004 N/A Pooled analysis N/A	Pramlintide + insulin Placebo + insulin	Total sample size: 254 Withdrawals, total: (NR%) Withdrawals for AEs: (NR%) Total sample size: 244 Withdrawals, total: (NR%) Withdrawals for AEs: (NR%)	Nausea: 60 (23.62%) Nausea: 23 (9.43%)	There was no evidence of cardiovascular, hepatic, or renal toxicity, and no changes in lipid profile, etc. The only treatment-emergent adverse event, with an incidence >10% and a 2-fold greater incidence among pramlintide-treated compared with placebo-treated patients, was nausea (23.6%-pram vs. 9.4%-placebo, for weeks 0 to 26).

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Karl 2007 US Open-label cohort N/A	Pramlintide 120mcg + insulin	Total sample size: 166 Withdrawals, total: 52 (31.33%) Withdrawals for AEs: 15 (9.04%)	Diarrhea: 9 (5.42%) Nausea: 49 (29.52%) Severe nausea: 4 (2.41%) Vomiting: 12 (7.23%) Mild/moderate hypoglycemia: 19 (11.45%) Severe hypoglycemia: 1 (0.6%) Severe hypoglycemia: 2 (1.2%) Severe hypoglycemia: 1 (0.6%)	Safety was assessed based on reports of adverse events, responses to nondirected questioning, etc. Severe hypoglycemia is also reported as event rate/patient year= total number of events for all patients/total number of patient-years observed for all patients in that treatment group No evidence of CV, pulmonary, hepatic, or renal tox, or of drug-related idiosyncratic effects.

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Kendall 2005 US placebo- controlled Fair	Exenatide 10 mcg + MET/SU	Total sample size: 241 Withdrawals, total: 43 (17.84%) Withdrawals for AEs: 22 (9.13%)	Diarrhea: 42 (17.43%) Nausea: 117 (48.55%) Vomiting: 33 (13.69%) Upper Respiratory Tract Infection: 42 (17.43%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 67 (27.8%) Headache: 18 (7.47%) Feeling jittery: 28 (11.62%)	More subjects in the placebo arm withdrew but more subjects in the exenatide 10 mcg arm withdrew due to AEs Any subject with either an A1C change of +1.5% from baseline at any clinic visit or an A1C > or =11.5% at wk 18 or 24 could be withdrawn from the study.
	Exenatide 5 mcg + MET/SU	Total sample size: 245 Withdrawals, total: 39 (15.92%) Withdrawals for AEs: 14 (5.71%)	Diarrhea: 25 (10.2%) Nausea: 96 (39.18%) Vomiting: 36 (14.69%) Upper Respiratory Tract Infection: 28 (11.43%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 47 (19.18%) Severe hypoglycemia: 1 (0.41%) Headache: 27 (11.02%) Feeling jittery: 21 (8.57%)	*Base on ITT-population Overall incidence of hypoglycemia was higher in each exenatide arm than those in the placebo arm. No evidence of cardiovascular, pulmonary, hepatic, or renal toxicity or drug-related idiosyncratic side effects.
	Placebo (combined) + MET/SU	Total sample size: 247 Withdrawals, total: 59 (23.89%) Withdrawals for AEs: 11 (4.45%)	Diarrhea: 16 (6.48%) Nausea: 51 (20.65%) Vomiting: 11 (4.45%) Upper Respiratory Tract Infection: 48 (19.43%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 31 (12.55%) Headache: 12 (4.86%) Feeling jittery: 17 (6.88%)	1-severe hypoglycemic event in the exenatide 5 mcg arm.

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
King 2006 U.S. retrospective uncontrolled Poor	Exenatide (Byetta)	Total sample size: 200 Withdrawals, total: 70 (35%) Withdrawals for AEs: 26 (13%)	Urticaria: 4 (2%) Abdominal pain: 2 (1%) Nausea: 16 (8%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 1 (0.5%) Feeling jittery: 3 (1.5%)	Urticaria became generalized in one patient continuing exenatide.
Klonoff 2008 NR Pooled analysis N/A	3 year completers 3.5 year completers	Total sample size: 217 Withdrawals, total: (%) Withdrawals for AEs: (%) Total sample size: 151 Withdrawals, total: (%) Withdrawals for AEs: (%)		<p>There was no evidence of exenatide-related cardiovascular, pulmonary, hepatic or renal toxicity, or of drug-related idiosyncratic effects associated with its use. The 3 most commonly reported AE: nausea 59%, hypoglycemia 40%, upper respiratory tract infection 36%.</p> <p>There was 1-severe hypoglycemic event in a patient who was treated with MET and SU.</p> <p>The incidence of hypoglycemia were no different for those >65 yrs and those <65 yrs.</p>

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Maggs 2003	Pramlintide (African American) + insulin	Total sample size: 26 Withdrawals, total: (%) Withdrawals for AEs: (%)		Overall withdrawal rates in the placebo- treated group were 28% compared with 35% in the pramlintide-treated group with the percent withdrawal evenly represented across the 3 ethnic groups: 29% versus 35% in Caucasians, 30% versus 35% in African Americans, and 24% versus 31% in Hispanics.
NR				
Pooled analysis	Pramlintide (Caucasian) + insulin	Total sample size: 151 Withdrawals, total: (%) Withdrawals for AEs: (%)		
N/A	Pramlintide (Hispanic) + insulin	Total sample size: 22 Withdrawals, total: (%) Withdrawals for AEs: (%)		The main reasons for withdrawal in the placebo and pramlintide groups were withdrawal of consent (9% v 8%) and adverse events (9% v 15%).
	Placebo (African American) + insulin	Total sample size: 21 Withdrawals, total: (%) Withdrawals for AEs: (%)		No evidence of cardiovascular, pulmonary, hepatic, or renal toxicity or of drug-related idiosyncratic side effects associated with its use.
	Placebo (Caucasian) + insulin	Total sample size: 164 Withdrawals, total: (%) Withdrawals for AEs: (%)		
	Placebo (Hispanic) + insulin	Total sample size: 26 Withdrawals, total: (%) Withdrawals for AEs: (%)		The incidence of nausea in the overall study population was 25% for pramlintide versus 16% for placebo, with comparable patterns in the 3 ethnic groups: 26% versus 17% in Caucasians, 23% versus 14% in African Americans, and 23% versus 12% in Hispanics.
				The incidence rates of hypoglycemia were similar between pramlintide and placebo: 43% versus 40% in the overall study population, 48% versus 43% in Caucasians, 31% versus 33% in African Americans, and 23% versus 27% in Hispanics.

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Nauck 2007	Biphasic Aspart + MET/SU	Total sample size: 248 Withdrawals, total: 25 (10.08%) Withdrawals for AEs: 0 (0%)	Cardiac disorders (unspecified): 5 (2.02%) Hypertension: 7 (2.82%) Diarrhea: 5 (2.02%) Dyspepsia: 1 (0.4%) Nausea: 1 (0.4%) Vomiting: 8 (3.23%) Influenza: 16 (6.45%) Nasopharyngitis: 24 (9.68%) Anorexia: 0 (0%) Decreased appetite: 0 (0%) Arthralgia: 4 (1.61%) Back pain: 10 (4.03%) Pain in extremities: 8 (3.23%) Depression: 1 (0.4%) Headache: 13 (5.24%) Accidental falls: 1 (0.4%) Cancer/neoplasm: 2 (0.81%) Deaths: 1 (0.4%) Injection site reaction: 1 (0.4%) Bronchitis: 6 (2.42%)	The incidence of GI AE was higher with exenatide than insulin. No severe hypoglycemia reported
Multinational active-control				
Fair				

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
	Exenatide + MET/SU	Total sample size: 253 Withdrawals, total: 54 (21.34%) Withdrawals for AEs: 20 (7.91%)	Cardiac disorders (unspecified): 10 (3.95%) Hypertension: 5 (1.98%) Diarrhea: 24 (9.49%) Dyspepsia: 7 (2.77%) Nausea: 84 (33.2%) Vomiting: 38 (15.02%) Influenza: 18 (7.11%) Nasopharyngitis: 28 (11.07%) Anorexia: 7 (2.77%) Decreased appetite: 11 (4.35%) Arthralgia: 6 (2.37%) Back pain: 11 (4.35%) Pain in extremities: 6 (2.37%) Depression: 6 (2.37%) Headache: 12 (4.74%) Accidental falls: 3 (1.19%) Cancer/neoplasm: 1 (0.4%) Deaths: 2 (0.79%) Injection site reaction: 4 (1.58%) Bronchitis: 6 (2.37%)	

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Nauck 2007	Glipizide + MET	Total sample size: 584 Withdrawals, total: 172 (29.45%) Withdrawals for AEs: 26 (4.45%)	Abdominal pain: 12 (2.05%) Diarrhea: 32 (5.48%) Nausea: 16 (2.74%) Vomiting: 9 (1.54%) Nasopharyngitis: 44 (7.53%) Sinusitis: 11 (1.88%) Urinary Tract Infection: 16 (2.74%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 187 (32.02%) Severe hypoglycemia: 7 (1.2%) Osteoarthritis: 4 (0.68%) Pain in extremities: 8 (1.37%) Dizziness: 12 (2.05%) Fatigue: 5 (0.86%)	379 pts excluded from PP analysis, 96% were excluded because of missing txmt data at Week 52.
	Sitagliptin +MET	Total sample size: 588 Withdrawals, total: 202 (34.35%) Withdrawals for AEs: 25 (4.25%)	Abdominal pain: 16 (2.72%) Diarrhea: 34 (5.78%) Nausea: 15 (2.55%) Vomiting: 5 (0.85%) Nasopharyngitis: 62 (10.54%) Sinusitis: 19 (3.23%) Urinary Tract Infection: 32 (5.44%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 29 (4.93%) Severe hypoglycemia: 1 (0.17%) Osteoarthritis: 15 (2.55%) Pain in extremities: 20 (3.4%) Dizziness: 22 (3.74%) Fatigue: 18 (3.06%)	More pts in the sitagliptin group dc'd txmt compared w/ glipizide group; this difference was mainly bc of a higher number of sitagliptin-treated patients dc'ing for lack of efficacy, which was based on prespecified FBG and/or HbA1c criteria throughout the txmt period. Pts who dc'd bc of lack of efficacy had more severe hyperglycaemia at baseline than those who completed the study (baseline HbA1c: 8.6 vs. 7.5%, respectively); dc'd pts also tended to be slightly older than pts who completed the study (57 vs. 55 years, respectively) and had a slightly more body weight (93 vs. 90 kg, respectively). There were 3 deaths (2-glipizide arm and 1-sitagliptin arm) Incidence of overall gastrointestinal events was similar in sitagliptin and glipizide arms (20.4% vs. 19.3%) Gastrointestinal AE were prespecified 2 SAE considered related to study drug by the investigator in the glipizide group (myocardial infarction and spontaneous abortion) and none in the sitagliptin group.

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
				<p>3 deaths occurred in this 52-week study. 2 in the glipizide group (sudden cardiac death and myocardial infarction) and 1 in the sitagliptin group (because of trauma) (table 3); none was considered related to study drug.</p> <p>For APT-cohort: At 52 weeks, weight decr'd w/ sitagliptin [] and incr'd w/ glipizide relative to baseline, with</p>
Nelson 2007 US open label extension N/A	Exenatide (Byetta)	Total sample size: 127 Withdrawals, total: 40 (31.5%) Withdrawals for AEs: (%)		<p>Withdrawal due to AEs not reported. Withdrawal of consent 14%. Withdrawal due to loss of glucose control 2%.</p> <p>No cases of severe hypoglycemia were reported. Hypoglycemia 5-9%, but follow-up interval unclear for this outcome. Nausea reported at 22% with 10ug over 4-week RCT; no data for OLE; discussion section states drug well tolerated over the OLE of 30 wks</p>

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Nonaka 2007	Sitagliptin (Januvia)	Total sample size: 75 Withdrawals, total: 2 (2.67%) Withdrawals for AEs: 0 (0%)	Gastrointestinal disorders (unspecified): 16 (21.33%) Nervous system disorders (unspecified): 8 (10.67%)	No hypoglycemic events
Japan				
placebo- controlled	Placebo	Total sample size: 76 Withdrawals, total: 8 (10.53%) Withdrawals for AEs: 2 (2.63%)	Gastrointestinal disorders (unspecified): 13 (17.11%) Nervous system disorders (unspecified): 5 (6.58%)	Sitagliptin and placebo groups had similar incidences of clinical AE, drug-related AE, and SAE.
Fair				There was 1-SAE with sitagliptin (overdose) that did not result in hypoglycemic symptoms. There were 2-SAE in the placebo group (myocardial infarction and overdose) which were not considered to be drug-related; however 1-SAE in the placebo arm (exfoliative dermatitis) was considered drug-related.

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Ratner 2002 U.S. placebo- controlled Fair-Poor	Pramlintide (Symlin) 150 mcgTID+Insulin	Total sample size: 144 Withdrawals, total: 54 (37.5%) Withdrawals for AEs: 26 (18.06%)	Nausea: 33 (22.92%) Severe nausea: 4 (2.78%) Sinusitis: 14 (9.72%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 93 (64.58%) Severe hypoglycemia: 4 (2.78%) Headache: 23 (15.97%) Inflicted injury: 15 (10.42%)	Larger proportion of subjects in the Pram 150 arm withdrew due to AE. High differential between those in placebo arm versus Pram 150 arm. No evidence of cardiac, hepatic or renal toxicity, or changes in serum lipid parameters with pramlintide treatment.
	Pramlintide (Symlin) 30mcg TID+Insulin	Total sample size: 122 Withdrawals, total: 32 (26.23%) Withdrawals for AEs: 9 (7.38%)	Nausea: 18 (14.75%) Severe nausea: 1 (0.82%) Sinusitis: 16 (13.11%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 82 (67.21%) Severe hypoglycemia: 5 (4.1%) Headache: 15 (12.3%) Inflicted injury: 22 (18.03%) Retinal disorder: 7 (5.74%)	
	Pramlintide (Symlin) 75mcgTID+Insulin	Total sample size: 136 Withdrawals, total: 34 (25.0%) Withdrawals for AEs: 14 (10.29%)	Nausea: 36 (26.47%) Severe nausea: 1 (0.74%) Sinusitis: 25 (18.38%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 92 (67.65%) Severe hypoglycemia: 3 (2.21%) Headache: 26 (19.12%) Inflicted injury: 18 (13.24%) Retinal disorder: 8 (5.88%)	

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author	Year	Country	Trial type	Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
					Placebo+Insulin	Total sample size: 136 Withdrawals, total: 37 (27.21%) Withdrawals for AEs: 14 (10.29%)	Nausea: 23 (16.91%) Severe nausea: 2 (1.47%) Sinusitis: 11 (8.09%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 96 (70.59%) Severe hypoglycemia: 2 (1.47%) Headache: 18 (13.24%) Inflicted injury: 17 (12.5%) Retinal disorder: 7 (5.15%)	

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Ratner 2004 U.S., & Canada placebo- controlled Fair-poor	Pramlintide 60 mcg QID+insulin	Total sample size: 161 Withdrawals, total: 55 (34.16%) Withdrawals for AEs: 22 (13.66%)	Nausea: 47 (29.19%) Severe nausea: 11 (6.83%) Severe vomiting: 1 (0.62%) Vomiting: 11 (6.83%) Anorexia: 11 (6.83%) Severe anorexia: 3 (1.86%)	High differential for overall and for WD due to AE
	Pramlintide 60 mcg TID+insulin	Total sample size: 164 Withdrawals, total: 69 (42.07%) Withdrawals for AEs: 32 (19.51%)	Nausea: 47 (28.66%) Severe nausea: 14 (8.54%) Severe vomiting: 3 (1.83%) Vomiting: 10 (6.1%) Anorexia: 18 (10.98%) Severe anorexia: 2 (1.22%)	Pramlintide therapy was not associated with increased incidence of cardiovascular, hepatic or renal adverse events. There were no differences in fasting lipids, heart rate, or systolic or diastolic blood pressure between 4 treatment groups. Most of the AEs were mild or moderate intensity, transient in nature and tended to occur early in the course of treatment.
	Pramlintide 90 mcg Tid+Insulin	Total sample size: 172 Withdrawals, total: 86 (50%) Withdrawals for AEs: 38 (22.09%)	Nausea: 59 (34.3%) Severe nausea: 10 (5.81%) Severe vomiting: 2 (1.16%) Vomiting: 12 (6.98%) Anorexia: 16 (9.3%) Severe anorexia: 1 (0.58%)	
	Placebo+insulin	Total sample size: 154 Withdrawals, total: 51 (33.12%) Withdrawals for AEs: 6 (3.9%)	Anorexia: 3 (1.95%) Nausea: 12 (7.79%) Severe nausea: 2 (1.3%) Severe vomiting: 1 (0.65%) Vomiting: 6 (3.9%) Severe anorexia: 0 (0%)	

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Ratner 2005 USA Pooled analysis N/A	Pramlintide (Symlin)+Insulin Placebo+Insulin	Total sample size: 281 Withdrawals, total: 93 (33.1%) Withdrawals for AEs: 48 (17.08%) Total sample size: 196 Withdrawals, total: 39 (19.9%) Withdrawals for AEs: 10 (5.1%)	Nausea: 122 (43.42%) Anorexia: 45 (16.01%) Nausea: 20 (10.2%) Anorexia: 3 (1.53%)	High differential between the arms for total withdrawn and for AE Total withdrawal rate 27.7% The overall severe hypoglycemia event rates were slightly higher than that observed in the original studies which included less well controlled subjects. There was no evidence of cardiovascular, hepatic or renal toxicity or changes in the lab safety parameters or ECG variables
Ratner 2006 US Open label extension N/A	Exenatide 10 mcg	Total sample size: 92 Withdrawals, total: (*see comments%) Withdrawals for AEs: (*see comments%)	Diarrhea: 14 (9.33%) Diarrhea: 6 (4%) Diarrhea: 8 (5.33%) Nausea: 50 (33.33%) Nausea: 45 (30%) Nausea: 21 (14%) Vomiting: 9 (6%) Vomiting: 2 (1.33%) Vomiting: 8 (5.33%) Upper Respiratory Tract Infection: 8 (5.33%) Upper Respiratory Tract Infection: 15 (10%) Upper Respiratory Tract Infection: 6 (4%) Dizziness: 3 (2%) Dizziness: 9 (6%) Dizziness: 6 (4%)	Total withdrawals based on ITT population= 58 (39%) Withdrawals due to AE based on ITT population= 11 (7%) No evidence of pulmonary, hepatic, renal, or cardiovascular toxicity, or idiosyncratic side effects No severe hypoglycemic events.

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Raz 2006	Sitagliptin 100 mg	Total sample size: 205 Withdrawals, total: 17 (8.29%) Withdrawals for AEs: 5 (2.44%)	Hypertension: 2 (0.98%) Abdominal pain: 4 (1.95%) Constipation: 4 (1.95%) Diarrhea: 9 (4.39%) Diarrhea: 8 (3.9%) Nausea: 2 (0.98%) Vomiting: 0 (0%) Influenza: 8 (3.9%) Nasopharyngitis: 7 (3.41%) Sinus headache: 1 (0.49%) Sinusitis: 4 (1.95%) Upper Respiratory Tract Infection: 8 (3.9%) Urinary Tract Infection: 4 (1.95%) Blood glucose increase: 4 (1.95%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 3 (1.46%) Arthralgia: 1 (0.49%) Back pain: 10 (4.88%) Osteoarthritis: 4 (1.95%) Pain in extremities: 4 (1.95%) Dizziness: 4 (1.95%) Fatigue: 2 (0.98%) Headache: 7 (3.41%) Vertigo: 4 (1.95%) Cough: 2 (0.98%)	Prespecified AEs: hypoglycemia, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, abdominal pain, change in body weight. **Note: there are 2-reports of diarrhea (1-report of 'prespecified' diarrhea from Table 2 in article and the other from Table 2 in appendix) Analyses of body weight and GI AE excluded data obtained after patients received rescue therapy.
Multinational				
placebo-controlled				
Fair				

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
	Sitagliptin 200 mg	Total sample size: 206 Withdrawals, total: 22 (10.68%) Withdrawals for AEs: 0 (0%)	Hypertension: 2 (0.97%) Abdominal pain: 3 (1.46%) Constipation: 4 (1.94%) Diarrhea: 2 (0.97%) Diarrhea: 2 (0.97%) Nausea: 3 (1.46%) Vomiting: 1 (0.49%) Influenza: 6 (2.91%) Nasopharyngitis: 6 (2.91%) Sinus headache: 0 (0%) Sinusitis: 5 (2.43%) Upper Respiratory Tract Infection: 6 (2.91%) Urinary Tract Infection: 6 (2.91%) Blood Gluc Increase: 1 (0.49%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 2 (0.97%) Arthralgia: 5 (2.43%) Back pain: 7 (3.4%) Osteoarthritis: 0 (0%) Pain in extremities: 2 (0.97%) Dizziness: 1 (0.49%) Fatigue: 4 (1.94%) Headache: 7 (3.4%) Vertigo: 0 (0%) Cough: 5 (2.43%)	

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
	Placebo	Total sample size: 110 Withdrawals, total: 19 (17.27%) Withdrawals for AEs: 4 (3.64%)	Hypertension: 4 (3.64%) Abdominal pain: 3 (2.73%) Constipation: 2 (1.82%) Diarrhea: 6 (5.45%) Diarrhea: 4 (3.64%) Nausea: 0 (0%) Vomiting: 1 (0.91%) Influenza: 5 (4.55%) Nasopharyngitis: 0 (0%) Sinus headache: 3 (2.73%) Sinusitis: 3 (2.73%) Upper Respiratory Tract Infection: 3 (2.73%) Urinary Tract Infection: 3 (2.73%) Blood Gluc Increase: 5 (4.55%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 0 (0%) Arthralgia: 4 (3.64%) Back pain: 2 (1.82%) Osteoarthritis: 0 (0%) Pain in extremities: 0 (0%) Dizziness: 4 (3.64%) Fatigue: 4 (3.64%) Headache: 3 (2.73%) Vertigo: 0 (0%) Cough: 2 (1.82%)	

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Raz 2008	Sitagliptin + MET	Total sample size: 96 Withdrawals, total: 17 (17.71%) Withdrawals for AEs: 2 (2.08%)	Angina pectoris: 3 (3.12%) Hypertension: 2 (2.08%) Abdominal pain: 2 (2.08%) Diarrhea: 6 (6.25%) Gastritis: 2 (2.08%) Nausea: 2 (2.08%) Vomiting: 0 (0%) Influenza: 1 (1.04%) Nasopharyngitis: 7 (7.29%) Pharyngitis: 4 (4.17%) Pharyngotonsillitis: 3 (3.12%) Tinea Pedis: 4 (4.17%) Upper Respiratory Tract Infection: 0 (0%) Urinary Tract Infection: 4 (4.17%) Blood glucose increase: 6 (6.25%) Hyperglycemia: 0 (0%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 1 (1.04%) Pain in extremities: 3 (3.12%) Diabetic neuropathy: 4 (4.17%) Headache: 4 (4.17%)	No serious AE or discontinuations due to clinical AE were reported in the sitagliptin group. In the placebo arm, 6 serious clinic AE were reported in 5 patients (including fatal MI, 3 neoplasms, a limb fracture, and an upper GI hemorrhage) and were responsible for 1-death and 2-discontinuations. There were all regarded by the investigators as not drug-related. Incidence of severe hypoglycemia not reported.
Multinational				
placebo-controlled				
Fair				

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
	Placebo + MET	Total sample size: 94 Withdrawals, total: 14 (14.89%) Withdrawals for AEs: 2 (2.13%)	Angina pectoris: 0 (0%) Hypertension: 4 (4.26%) Abdominal pain: 0 (0%) Diarrhea: 5 (5.32%) Gastritis: 3 (3.19%) Nausea: 2 (2.13%) Vomiting: 1 (1.06%) Influenza: 3 (3.19%) Nasopharyngitis: 7 (7.45%) Pharyngitis: 6 (6.38%) Pharyngotonsillitis: 1 (1.06%) Tinea Pedis: 2 (2.13%) Upper Respiratory Tract Infection: 3 (3.19%) Urinary Tract Infection: 3 (3.19%) Blood glucose increase: 15 (15.96%) Hyperglycemia: 3 (3.19%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 0 (0%) Pain in extremities: 2 (2.13%) Diabetic neuropathy: 2 (2.13%) Headache: 4 (4.26%)	

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Riddle 2006 US Open label extension N/A	Exenatide 10 mcg	Total sample size: 222 Withdrawals, total: (*see comments%) Withdrawals for AEs: (*see comments%)	Nausea: 108 (26.93%) Nausea: 60 (14.96%) Nausea: 140 (34.91%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 60 (14.96%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 56 (13.97%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 56 (13.97%)	Total withdrawals based on ITT population, n=401; (45%) Withdrawals due to AE based on ITT population, n= 401; (7%) 4-severe hypoglycemic events No AE in VS or PE findings; did not report whether or not there were no effects on CV, pulmon, renal, etc There was no correlation between nausea and weight loss.

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Riddle 2007 US placebo- controlled Fair	Pramlintide > 8.5% + glargine (+/- OHA)	Total sample size: 42 Withdrawals, total: 7 (16.67%) Withdrawals for AEs: 1 (2.38%)		More patients in the Pram arm withdrew due to AE (appears that most came from the Pram <8.5% arm).
	Pramlintide ≤ 8.5% + glargine (+/- OHA)	Total sample size: 63 Withdrawals, total: 11 (17.46%) Withdrawals for AEs: 3 (4.76%)		1-severe hypoglycemic event occurred in the Pram arm which was deemed not to be related to study medication by the investigator (patient injected rapid-acting insulin instead of glargine?).
	Total Placebo + glargine (+/- OHA)	Total sample size: 106 Withdrawals, total: 16 (15.09%) Withdrawals for AEs: 1 (0.94%)	Nausea: 11 (10.38%) Mild/moderate hypoglycemia: 50 (47.17%) Severe hypoglycemia: 0 (0%) Injection site reaction: 1 (0.94%)	
	Total Pramlintide + glargine (+/- OHA)	Total sample size: 105 Withdrawals, total: 18 (17.14%) Withdrawals for AEs: 4 (3.81%)	Nausea: 33 (31.43%) Mild/moderate hypoglycemia: 46 (43.81%) Severe hypoglycemia: 1 (0.95%) Injection site reaction: 1 (0.95%)	
	Placebo >8.5% + glargine (+/- OHA)	Total sample size: 48 Withdrawals, total: 8 (16.67%) Withdrawals for AEs: 0 (0%)		
	Placebo ≤ 8.5% + glargine (+/- OHA)	Total sample size: 58 Withdrawals, total: 8 (13.79%) Withdrawals for AEs: 1 (1.72%)		

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Rosenstock 2006 multinational placebo- controlled Fair	Sitagliptin + Pioglitazone	Total sample size: 175 Withdrawals, total: 26 (14.86%) Withdrawals for AEs: 11 (6.29%)	Abdominal pain: 6 (3.43%) Diarrhea: 3 (1.71%) Nausea: 2 (1.14%) Vomiting: 1 (0.57%) Influenza: 7 (4%) Nasopharyngitis: 7 (4%) Upper Respiratory Tract Infection: 11 (6.29%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 2 (1.14%) Weight increase: 5 (2.86%) Arthralgia: 5 (2.86%) Back pain: 3 (1.71%) Pain in extremities: 4 (2.29%) Peripheral edema: 7 (4%) Depression: 4 (2.29%) Headache: 10 (5.71%)	Higher % of withdrawals due to AEs in the Sita +Pioglitazone arm (6.3%) than placebo + Pioglitazone arm (1.1%) There was 1-serious in the Sitagliptin arm (urticaria and angioedema) No serious hypoglycemia. Prespecified AE are hypoglycemia and selected gastrointestinal-related events (abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea). Analyses of change in body weight and the incidence of gastrointestinal AE were excluded after the initiation of rescue therapy. Note: Authors list additional adverse events leading to study discontinuation but NO actual data was reported. These AE include: blurred vision, palpitation, hypersensitivity, and suicide attempt.

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
	Placebo + Pioglitazone	Total sample size: 178 Withdrawals, total: 20 (11.24%) Withdrawals for AEs: 2 (1.12%)	Abdominal pain: 0 (0%) Diarrhea: 2 (1.12%) Nausea: 0 (0%) Vomiting: 1 (0.56%) Influenza: 5 (2.81%) Nasopharyngitis: 7 (3.93%) Upper Respiratory Tract Infection: 6 (3.37%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 0 (0%) Weight increase: 5 (2.81%) Arthralgia: 5 (2.81%) Back pain: 5 (2.81%) Pain in extremities: 3 (1.69%) Peripheral edema: 6 (3.37%) Depression: 2 (1.12%) Headache: 7 (3.93%)	

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Scott 2007 Multinational active-control Fair	Glipizide	Total sample size: 123 Withdrawals, total: 23 (18.7%) Withdrawals for AEs: 7 (5.69%)	Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 21 (17.07%)	Did not collect all data for sitagliptin 5 mg, 12.5 mg, 25 mg arms.
	Sitagliptin (Januvia) 12.5 mg	Total sample size: 123 Withdrawals, total: 7 (5.69%) Withdrawals for AEs: (%)		AE were abstracted for sitagliptin 50 mg Bid, placebo, glipizide arms only.
	Sitagliptin (Januvia) 25mg	Total sample size: 123 Withdrawals, total: 15 (12.2%) Withdrawals for AEs: (%)		Patients also check home BG; only hypoglycemia was reported. Authors did not specify whether these were severe hypo events.
	Sitagliptin (Januvia) 50 mg	Total sample size: 124 Withdrawals, total: 12 (9.68%) Withdrawals for AEs: 2 (1.61%)	Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 2 (1.64%)	
	Sitagliptin (Januvia) 5mg	Total sample size: 125 Withdrawals, total: 18 (14.4%) Withdrawals for AEs: (%)		
	Placebo	Total sample size: 125 Withdrawals, total: 17 (13.6%) Withdrawals for AEs: 1 (0.8%)	Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 3 (2.4%)	

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author Year Country Trial type Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Scott 2008 Multinational active-control Fair	Rosiglitazone + MET monotherapy	Total sample size: 87 Withdrawals, total: 2 (2.3%) Withdrawals for AEs: 2 (2.3%)	Abdominal pain: 1 (1.15%) Diarrhea: 3 (3.45%) Nausea: 1 (1.15%) Vomiting: 1 (1.15%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 1 (1.15%) Edema: 4 (4.6%)	Overall incidence of clinical adverse experiences for sitagliptin (39%) and rosiglitazone (44%) relative to placebo (30%). No meaningful differences were observed among the sitagliptin, rosiglitazone and placebo groups with respect to the incidences of serious clinical adverse experiences and drug-related clinical adverse experiences.
	Sitagliptin + MET monotherapy	Total sample size: 94 Withdrawals, total: 9 (9.57%) Withdrawals for AEs: 3 (3.19%)	Abdominal pain: 0 (0%) Diarrhea: 3 (3.19%) Nausea: 1 (1.06%) Vomiting: 1 (1.06%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 1 (1.06%) Edema: 1 (1.06%)	
	Placebo + MET monotherapy	Total sample size: 92 Withdrawals, total: 9 (9.78%) Withdrawals for AEs: 1 (1.09%)	Abdominal pain: 1 (1.1%) Diarrhea: 1 (1.1%) Nausea: 2 (2.2%) Vomiting: 1 (1.1%) Hypoglycemia (unspecified): 2 (2.2%) Edema: 1 (1.1%)	
Whitehouse 2002 US Open label extension N/A	Original Pramlintide arm + insulin	Total sample size: 125 Withdrawals, total: 37 (29.6%) Withdrawals for AEs: 8 (6.4%)	Nausea: 18 (14.4%) Anorexia: 2 (1.6%)	Large proportion of withdrawals even in the OLE
	Switched to pramlintide from placebo + insulin	Total sample size: 111 Withdrawals, total: 38 (34.23%) Withdrawals for AEs: 18 (16.22%)	Nausea: 45 (40.54%) Anorexia: 14 (12.61%)	

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Whitehouse	Pramlintide 30 mcg + 60 mcg (combined) + insulin	Total sample size: 174	Nausea: 113 (46.5%)	*There was an outlier patient in the placebo arm who was excluded from evaluation of severe hypoglycemia (the patient reported > 100 episodes of severe hypoglycemia).
2002		Withdrawals, total: 69 (28.4%)	Severe nausea: 15 (6.17%)	
US		Withdrawals for AEs: 31 (12.76%)	Severe vomiting: 5 (2.06%)	
placebo-controlled			Vomiting: 28 (11.52%)	
			Anorexia: 43 (17.7%)	
Fair-poor			Severe anorexia: 6 (2.47%)	
	Placebo + insulin	Total sample size: 168	Nausea: 52 (21.94%)	
		Withdrawals, total: 69 (29.11%)	Severe nausea: 4 (1.69%)	
		Withdrawals for AEs: 19 (8.02%)	Severe vomiting: 1 (0.42%)	
			Vomiting: 19 (8.02%)	
			Anorexia: 5 (2.11%)	
			Severe anorexia: 0 (0%)	

Evidence Table 3. Adverse events of trials and studies of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Author				
Year				
Country				
Trial type				
Quality	Intervention	Withdrawals	Adverse Event	Comments
Zinman 2007 Canada, Spain, placebo- controlled Fair	Exenatide 10 mcg	Total sample size: 121 Withdrawals, total: 35 (28.93%) Withdrawals for AEs: 19 (15.7%)	Allergic alveolitis: 1 (0.83%) Chest pain: 1 (0.83%) Diarrhea: 7 (5.79%) Dyspepsia: 9 (7.44%) Nausea: 48 (39.67%) Vomiting: 16 (13.22%) Influenza: 6 (4.96%) Nasopharyngitis: 16 (13.22%) Mild/moderate hypoglycemia: 13 (10.74%) Peripheral edema: 7 (5.79%) Headache: 7 (5.79%)	At the monthly visits, investigators examined patients for pedal edema and asked them to report AE. Patients were given diaries and glucose monitors; they were to keep track of sx's, med changes, etc. Significantly more reports of nausea in exenatide arm.
	Placebo	Total sample size: 112 Withdrawals, total: 16 (14.29%) Withdrawals for AEs: 2 (1.79%)	Diarrhea: 3 (2.68%) Dyspepsia: 1 (0.89%) Nausea: 17 (15.18%) Vomiting: 1 (0.89%) Influenza: 5 (4.46%) Nasopharyngitis: 9 (8.04%) Mild/moderate hypoglycemia: 8 (7.14%) Peripheral edema: 9 (8.04%) Headache: 5 (4.46%)	2 serious adverse events: 1-case of allergic alveolitis probably associated with study drug; 1-case of chest pain probably not associated with study drug

Evidence Table 4. Quality assessment of efficacy trials of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Aronne, 2007	Trial type: placebo-controlled	Design: RCT - Parallel group, DB, NR	Quality rating: Poor
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?	Method not described	1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	NR/NR/44
2. Allocation adequate?	Method not described	2. Run-in/Washout:	Yes/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?	NR	3. Exclusion criteria reported?	Yes
4. Eligibility criteria specified?	Yes	4. Funding:	NR
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?	Unable to determine	Comments: QA performed on 44 patients in DM2 subgroup, not for entire study population	
6. Outcome assessors masked?	Unclear, reported as double blind		
7. Care provider masked?	Unclear, reported as double blind		
8. Patients masked?	Unclear, reported as double blind		
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?	No		
10. Postrandomization exclusions?	Unable to determine		
11. Reporting of Attrition	Yes		
Crossover	No		
Adherence	No		
Contamination	No		
Aschner, 2006	Trial type: placebo-controlled	Design: RCT - Parallel group, DB, NR	Quality rating: Fair
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?	Yes*	1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	1807/NR/741
2. Allocation adequate?	Yes*	2. Run-in/Washout:	Yes/Yes
3. Groups similar at baseline?	Yes	3. Exclusion criteria reported?	Yes
4. Eligibility criteria specified?	Yes	4. Funding:	Merck
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?	No	Comments: *This information was not provided in the publication but was provided by the manufacturer	
6. Outcome assessors masked?	Yes*		
7. Care provider masked?	Yes*		
8. Patients masked?	Yes*		
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?	Yes		
10. Postrandomization exclusions?	No		
11. Reporting of Attrition	Yes		
Crossover	No		
Adherence	No		
Contamination	No		
Barnett, 2007	Trial type: active-control	Design: Other, Open, Crossover	Quality rating: Fair-poor
Internal validity		External validity	

Evidence Table 4. Quality assessment of efficacy trials of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Barnett, 2007	Trial type: active-control	Design: Other, Open, Crossover	Quality rating: Fair-poor
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?	Yes	1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	196/NR/141
2. Allocation adequate?	Yes	2. Run-in/Washout:	No/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?	No	3. Exclusion criteria reported?	No
4. Eligibility criteria specified?	Yes	4. Funding:	Eli Lilly
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?	No	Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?	No		
7. Care provider masked?	No		
8. Patients masked?	No		
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?	Yes		
10. Postrandomization exclusions?	Yes		
11. Reporting of Attrition	Yes		
Crossover	No		
Adherence	No		
Contamination	No		
Blonde, 2006	Trial type: Open label extension	Design: NA	Quality rating: NA
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?		1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	NR/NR/974
2. Allocation adequate?		2. Run-in/Washout:	No/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?		3. Exclusion criteria reported?	
4. Eligibility criteria specified?		4. Funding:	Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Eli Lilly
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?		Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?			
7. Care provider masked?			
8. Patients masked?			
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?			
10. Postrandomization exclusions?			
11. Reporting of Attrition			
Crossover			
Adherence			
Contamination			
Buse, 2004	Trial type: placebo-controlled	Design: RCT - Parallel group, Triple blind, NR	Quality rating: Fair
Internal validity		External validity	

Evidence Table 4. Quality assessment of efficacy trials of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Buse, 2004	Trial type: placebo-controlled	Design: RCT - Parallel group, Triple blind, NR	Quality rating: Fair
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?	Method not described	1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	NR/NR/377
2. Allocation adequate?	Method not described	2. Run-in/Washout:	Yes/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?	Yes	3. Exclusion criteria reported?	Yes
4. Eligibility criteria specified?	Yes	4. Funding:	Eli Lilly & Amylin Pharmaceuticals
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?	No	Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?	Unclear, reported as triple blind		
7. Care provider masked?	Unclear, reported as triple blind		
8. Patients masked?	Yes		
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?	Yes		
10. Postrandomization exclusions?	Yes		
11. Reporting of Attrition	Yes		
Crossover	No		
Adherence	No		
Contamination	No		

Buse, 2007	Trial type: Open label extension	Design: NA	Quality rating: NA
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?		1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	NR/NR/974
2. Allocation adequate?		2. Run-in/Washout:	No/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?		3. Exclusion criteria reported?	
4. Eligibility criteria specified?		4. Funding:	Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Eli Lilly
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?		Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?			
7. Care provider masked?			
8. Patients masked?			
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?			
10. Postrandomization exclusions?			
11. Reporting of Attrition			
Crossover			
Adherence			
Contamination			

Charbonnel, 2006	Trial type: placebo-controlled	Design: RCT - Parallel group, DB, NR	Quality rating: Fair
Internal validity		External validity	

Evidence Table 4. Quality assessment of efficacy trials of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Charbonnel, 2006	Trial type: placebo-controlled	Design: RCT - Parallel group, DB, NR	Quality rating: Fair
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?	Yes*	1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	1464/NR/701
2. Allocation adequate?	Yes*	2. Run-in/Washout:	Yes/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?	Yes	3. Exclusion criteria reported?	Yes
4. Eligibility criteria specified?	Yes	4. Funding:	Merck
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?	No	Comments: *This information was not provided in the publication but was provided by the manufacturer	
6. Outcome assessors masked?	Yes*		
7. Care provider masked?	Yes*		
8. Patients masked?	Yes*		
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?	Yes		
10. Postrandomization exclusions?	Yes		
11. Reporting of Attrition	Yes		
Crossover	No		
Adherence	No		
Contamination	No		
Davis, 2007	Trial type: active-control	Design: RCT - Parallel group, Open, Parallel	Quality rating: Fair-poor
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?	Method not described	1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	99/51/51
2. Allocation adequate?	Method not described	2. Run-in/Washout:	No/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?	Yes	3. Exclusion criteria reported?	Yes
4. Eligibility criteria specified?	Yes	4. Funding:	Eli Lilly & Amylin
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?	No	Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?	No		
7. Care provider masked?	No		
8. Patients masked?	No		
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?	No		
10. Postrandomization exclusions?	Yes		
11. Reporting of Attrition	Yes		
Crossover	No		
Adherence	No		
Contamination	No		
DeFronzo, 2005	Trial type: placebo-controlled	Design: RCT - Parallel group, DB, Parallel	Quality rating: Fair
Internal validity		External validity	

Evidence Table 4. Quality assessment of efficacy trials of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

DeFronzo, 2005	Trial type: placebo-controlled	Design: RCT - Parallel group, DB, Parallel	Quality rating: Fair
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?	Method not described	1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	NR/NR/336
2. Allocation adequate?	Method not described	2. Run-in/Washout:	Yes/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?	Yes	3. Exclusion criteria reported?	Yes
4. Eligibility criteria specified?	Yes	4. Funding:	Pharmaceutical (Amylin & Eli Lilly)
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?	No	Comments: ITT-LOCF used	
6. Outcome assessors masked?	Yes		
7. Care provider masked?	Yes		
8. Patients masked?	Yes		
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?	Yes		
10. Postrandomization exclusions?	Yes		
11. Reporting of Attrition	Yes		
Crossover	No		
Adherence	No		
Contamination	No		
Edelman, 2006	Trial type: placebo-controlled	Design: RCT - Parallel group, DB, NR	Quality rating: Fair
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?	Method not described	1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	NR/NR/296
2. Allocation adequate?	Method not described	2. Run-in/Washout:	No/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?	Yes	3. Exclusion criteria reported?	Yes
4. Eligibility criteria specified?	Yes	4. Funding:	NR; Amylin Pharmaceuticals?
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?	No	Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?	Unclear, reported as double blind		
7. Care provider masked?	Unclear, reported as double blind		
8. Patients masked?	Unclear, reported as double blind		
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?	Unable to determine		
10. Postrandomization exclusions?	Yes		
11. Reporting of Attrition	Yes		
Crossover	No		
Adherence	No		
Contamination	No		
Goldstein, 2007	Trial type: placebo-controlled	Design: RCT - Parallel group, DB,	Quality rating: Fair
Internal validity		External validity	

Evidence Table 4. Quality assessment of efficacy trials of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Goldstein, 2007	Trial type: placebo-controlled	Design: RCT - Parallel group, DB,	Quality rating: Fair
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?	Yes*	1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	3544/1208/1091
2. Allocation adequate?	Yes*	2. Run-in/Washout:	Yes/Yes
3. Groups similar at baseline?	Yes	3. Exclusion criteria reported?	Yes
4. Eligibility criteria specified?	Yes	4. Funding:	Merck
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?	No	Comments: *This information was not provided in the publication but was provided by the manufacturer	
6. Outcome assessors masked?	Yes*		
7. Care provider masked?	Yes*		
8. Patients masked?	Yes*		
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?	Yes		
10. Postrandomization exclusions?	Yes		
11. Reporting of Attrition	Yes		
Crossover	No		
Adherence	No		
Contamination	No		
1. Randomization adequate?		1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	NR/NR/117
2. Allocation adequate?		2. Run-in/Washout:	No/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?		3. Exclusion criteria reported?	
4. Eligibility criteria specified?		4. Funding:	
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?		Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?			
7. Care provider masked?			
8. Patients masked?			
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?			
10. Postrandomization exclusions?			
11. Reporting of Attrition			
Crossover			
Adherence			
Contamination			
Heine, 2005	Trial type: active-control	Design: RCT - Parallel group, Open, NR	Quality rating: Fair
Internal validity		External validity	

Evidence Table 4. Quality assessment of efficacy trials of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Heine, 2005	Trial type: active-control	Design: RCT - Parallel group, Open, NR	Quality rating: Fair
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?	Yes	1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	844/555/551
2. Allocation adequate?	Yes	2. Run-in/Washout:	No/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?	Yes	3. Exclusion criteria reported?	Yes
4. Eligibility criteria specified?	Yes	4. Funding:	Amylin & Eli Lilly
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?	No	Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?	No (open label)		
7. Care provider masked?	No (open label)		
8. Patients masked?	No (open label)		
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?	Yes		
10. Postrandomization exclusions?	Yes		
11. Reporting of Attrition	Yes		
Crossover	No		
Adherence	No		
Contamination	No		
Hermansen, 2007	Trial type: placebo-controlled	Design: RCT - Parallel group, DB, Parallel	Quality rating: Fair
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?	Yes*	1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	1098/NR/441
2. Allocation adequate?	Yes*	2. Run-in/Washout:	Yes/Yes
3. Groups similar at baseline?	Yes	3. Exclusion criteria reported?	Yes
4. Eligibility criteria specified?	Yes	4. Funding:	Merck
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?	No	Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?	Yes*		
7. Care provider masked?	Yes*		
8. Patients masked?	Yes*		
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?	Yes		
10. Postrandomization exclusions?	Yes		
11. Reporting of Attrition	Yes		
Crossover	No		
Adherence	No		
Contamination	No		
Hollander, 2003	Trial type: placebo-controlled	Design: RCT - Parallel group, DB, NR	Quality rating: Fair
Internal validity		External validity	

*This information was not provided in the publication but was provided by the manufacturer

Evidence Table 4. Quality assessment of efficacy trials of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Hollander, 2003	Trial type: placebo-controlled	Design: RCT - Parallel group, DB, NR	Quality rating: Fair
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?	Method not described	1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	NR/NR/656
2. Allocation adequate?	Method not described	2. Run-in/Washout:	Yes/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?	Yes	3. Exclusion criteria reported?	Yes
4. Eligibility criteria specified?	Yes	4. Funding:	NR
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?	Unable to determine	Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?	Unclear, reported as double blind		
7. Care provider masked?	Unclear, reported as double blind		
8. Patients masked?	Yes		
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?	Yes		
10. Postrandomization exclusions?	Unable to determine		
11. Reporting of Attrition	Yes		
Crossover	No		
Adherence	No		
Contamination	No		
1. Randomization adequate?		1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	NR/NR/NR
2. Allocation adequate?		2. Run-in/Washout:	No/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?		3. Exclusion criteria reported?	
4. Eligibility criteria specified?		4. Funding:	NR
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?		Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?			
7. Care provider masked?			
8. Patients masked?			
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?			
10. Postrandomization exclusions?			
11. Reporting of Attrition			
Crossover			
Adherence			
Contamination			
Hollander, 2004	Trial type: Pooled analysis	Design: NA	Quality rating: NA
Internal validity		External validity	

Evidence Table 4. Quality assessment of efficacy trials of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Hollander, 2004	Trial type: Pooled analysis	Design: NA	Quality rating: NA
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?		1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	NR/NR/NR
2. Allocation adequate?		2. Run-in/Washout:	No/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?		3. Exclusion criteria reported?	
4. Eligibility criteria specified?		4. Funding:	NR
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?		Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?			
7. Care provider masked?			
8. Patients masked?			
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?			
10. Postrandomization exclusions?			
11. Reporting of Attrition			
Crossover			
Adherence			
Contamination			
Karl, 2007	Trial type: Open-label cohort	Design: NA	Quality rating: NA
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?		1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	NR/NR/NR
2. Allocation adequate?		2. Run-in/Washout:	No/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?		3. Exclusion criteria reported?	
4. Eligibility criteria specified?		4. Funding:	NR
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?		Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?			
7. Care provider masked?			
8. Patients masked?			
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?			
10. Postrandomization exclusions?			
11. Reporting of Attrition			
Crossover			
Adherence			
Contamination			
Kendall, 2005	Trial type: placebo-controlled	Design: RCT - Parallel group, DB, NR	Quality rating: Fair
Internal validity		External validity	

Evidence Table 4. Quality assessment of efficacy trials of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Kendall, 2005	Trial type: placebo-controlled	Design: RCT - Parallel group, DB, NR	Quality rating: Fair
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?	Method not described	1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	NR/NR/733
2. Allocation adequate?	Method not described	2. Run-in/Washout:	Yes/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?	Yes	3. Exclusion criteria reported?	Yes
4. Eligibility criteria specified?	Yes	4. Funding:	Eli Lilly & Amylin Pharmaceuticals
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?	Unable to determine	Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?	Unclear, reported as double blind		
7. Care provider masked?	Unclear, reported as double blind		
8. Patients masked?	Unclear, reported as double blind		
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?	Yes		
10. Postrandomization exclusions?	Yes		
11. Reporting of Attrition	Yes		
Crossover	No		
Adherence	No		
Contamination	No		
King, 2006	Trial type: retrospective uncontrol	Design: Other, Open, NA	Quality rating: Poor
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?		1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	NR/NR/200
2. Allocation adequate?		2. Run-in/Washout:	No/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?		3. Exclusion criteria reported?	
4. Eligibility criteria specified?		4. Funding:	NR
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?		Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?			
7. Care provider masked?			
8. Patients masked?			
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?			
10. Postrandomization exclusions?			
11. Reporting of Attrition			
Crossover			
Adherence			
Contamination			
Klonoff, 2008	Trial type: Pooled analysis	Design: NA	Quality rating: NA
Internal validity		External validity	

Evidence Table 4. Quality assessment of efficacy trials of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Klonoff, 2008	Trial type: Pooled analysis	Design: NA	Quality rating: NA
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?		1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	NR/NR/NR
2. Allocation adequate?		2. Run-in/Washout:	No/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?		3. Exclusion criteria reported?	
4. Eligibility criteria specified?		4. Funding:	
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?		Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?			
7. Care provider masked?			
8. Patients masked?			
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?			
10. Postrandomization exclusions?			
11. Reporting of Attrition			
Crossover			
Adherence			
Contamination			
Maggs, 2003	Trial type: Pooled analysis	Design: NA	Quality rating: NA
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?		1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	NR/NR/NR
2. Allocation adequate?		2. Run-in/Washout:	No/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?		3. Exclusion criteria reported?	
4. Eligibility criteria specified?		4. Funding:	Amylin Pharmaceuticals
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?		Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?			
7. Care provider masked?			
8. Patients masked?			
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?			
10. Postrandomization exclusions?			
11. Reporting of Attrition			
Crossover			
Adherence			
Contamination			
Nauck, 2007	Trial type: active-control	Design: RCT - Parallel group, Open, NR	Quality rating: Fair
Internal validity		External validity	

Evidence Table 4. Quality assessment of efficacy trials of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Nauck, 2007	Trial type: active-control	Design: RCT - Parallel group, Open, NR	Quality rating: Fair
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?	Yes	1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	641/NR/505
2. Allocation adequate?	Yes	2. Run-in/Washout:	No/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?	Yes	3. Exclusion criteria reported?	Yes
4. Eligibility criteria specified?	Yes	4. Funding:	Eli Lilly & Amylin
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?	Unable to determine	Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?			
7. Care provider masked?			
8. Patients masked?			
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?	Yes		
10. Postrandomization exclusions?	Yes		
11. Reporting of Attrition	Yes		
Crossover	No		
Adherence	No		
Contamination	No		
1. Randomization adequate?	Yes*		
2. Allocation adequate?	Yes*	2. Run-in/Washout:	Yes/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?	Yes	3. Exclusion criteria reported?	Yes
4. Eligibility criteria specified?	Yes	4. Funding:	Merck
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?	No	Comments: *This information was not provided in the publication but was provided by the manufacturer	
6. Outcome assessors masked?	Yes*		
7. Care provider masked?	Yes*		
8. Patients masked?	Yes*		
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?	No		
10. Postrandomization exclusions?	Yes		
11. Reporting of Attrition	Yes		
Crossover	No		
Adherence	No		
Contamination	No		
Nelson, 2007	Trial type: open label extension		
Internal validity		External validity	

*This information was not provided in the publication but was provided by the manufacturer

Evidence Table 4. Quality assessment of efficacy trials of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Nelson, 2007	Trial type: open label extension	Design: NA	Quality rating: NA
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?		1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	156/141/127
2. Allocation adequate?		2. Run-in/Washout:	No/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?		3. Exclusion criteria reported?	
4. Eligibility criteria specified?		4. Funding:	Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Eli Lilly
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?		Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?			
7. Care provider masked?			
8. Patients masked?			
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?			
10. Postrandomization exclusions?			
11. Reporting of Attrition			
Crossover			
Adherence			
Contamination			
Nonaka, 2007	Trial type: placebo-controlled	Design: RCT - Parallel group, DB, Parallel	Quality rating: Fair
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?	Yes*	1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	262/NR/152
2. Allocation adequate?	Yes*	2. Run-in/Washout:	Yes/Yes
3. Groups similar at baseline?	Yes	3. Exclusion criteria reported?	Yes
4. Eligibility criteria specified?	Yes	4. Funding:	Banyu Pharmaceutical and Merck
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?	Unable to determine	Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?	Yes*		
7. Care provider masked?	Yes*		
8. Patients masked?	Yes*		
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?	Yes		
10. Postrandomization exclusions?	No		
11. Reporting of Attrition	Yes		
Crossover	No		
Adherence	No		
Contamination	No		
Ratner, 2002	Trial type: placebo-controlled	Design: RCT - Parallel group, DB, Parallel	Quality rating: Fair-Poor
Internal validity		External validity	

*This information was not provided in the publication but was provided by the manufacturer

Evidence Table 4. Quality assessment of efficacy trials of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Ratner, 2002	Trial type: placebo-controlled	Design: RCT - Parallel group, DB, Parallel	Quality rating: Fair-Poor
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?	Method not described	1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	NR/NR/538
2. Allocation adequate?	Method not described	2. Run-in/Washout:	Yes/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?	Yes	3. Exclusion criteria reported?	Yes
4. Eligibility criteria specified?	Yes	4. Funding:	NR (but 6 of 8 authors are from Amylin Pharma)
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?	No	Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?	Unclear, reported as double blind		
7. Care provider masked?	Unclear, reported as double blind		
8. Patients masked?	Unclear, reported as double blind		
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?	Unable to determine		
10. Postrandomization exclusions?	Yes		
11. Reporting of Attrition	Yes		
Crossover	No		
Adherence	No		
Contamination	No		
Ratner, 2004	Trial type: placebo-controlled	Design: RCT - Parallel group, DB, Parallel	Quality rating: Fair-poor
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?	Method not described	1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	NR/NR/651
2. Allocation adequate?	Method not described	2. Run-in/Washout:	Yes/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?	Yes	3. Exclusion criteria reported?	Yes
4. Eligibility criteria specified?	Yes	4. Funding:	NR
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?	No	Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?	Unclear, reported as double blind		
7. Care provider masked?	Unclear, reported as double blind		
8. Patients masked?	Yes		
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?	Yes		
10. Postrandomization exclusions?	Yes		
11. Reporting of Attrition	Yes		
Crossover	No		
Adherence	No		
Contamination	No		
Ratner, 2005	Trial type: Pooled analysis	Design: NA	Quality rating: NA
Internal validity		External validity	

Evidence Table 4. Quality assessment of efficacy trials of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Ratner, 2005	Trial type: Pooled analysis	Design: NA	Quality rating: NA
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?		1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	NR/NR/477
2. Allocation adequate?		2. Run-in/Washout:	No/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?		3. Exclusion criteria reported?	
4. Eligibility criteria specified?		4. Funding:	NR
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?		Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?			
7. Care provider masked?			
8. Patients masked?			
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?			
10. Postrandomization exclusions?			
11. Reporting of Attrition			
Crossover			
Adherence			
Contamination			
Ratner, 2006	Trial type: Open label extension	Design: NA	Quality rating: NA
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?		1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	NR/183/150
2. Allocation adequate?		2. Run-in/Washout:	No/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?		3. Exclusion criteria reported?	
4. Eligibility criteria specified?		4. Funding:	Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Eli Lilly and Company
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?		Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?			
7. Care provider masked?			
8. Patients masked?			
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?			
10. Postrandomization exclusions?			
11. Reporting of Attrition			
Crossover			
Adherence			
Contamination			
Raz, 2006	Trial type: placebo-controlled	Design: RCT - Parallel group, DB, NR	Quality rating: Fair
Internal validity		External validity	

Evidence Table 4. Quality assessment of efficacy trials of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Raz, 2006	Trial type: placebo-controlled	Design: RCT - Parallel group, DB, NR	Quality rating: Fair
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?	Yes*	1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	1387/NR/521
2. Allocation adequate?	Yes*	2. Run-in/Washout:	Yes/Yes
3. Groups similar at baseline?	Yes	3. Exclusion criteria reported?	Yes
4. Eligibility criteria specified?	Yes	4. Funding:	Merck
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?	No	Comments: *This information was not provided in the publication but was provided by the manufacturer	
6. Outcome assessors masked?	Yes*		
7. Care provider masked?	Yes*		
8. Patients masked?	Yes*		
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?	Yes		
10. Postrandomization exclusions?	Unable to determine		
11. Reporting of Attrition	Yes		
Crossover	No		
Adherence	No		
Contamination	No		
Raz, 2008	Trial type: placebo-controlled	Design: RCT - Parallel group, DB, NR	Quality rating: Fair
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?	Yes	1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	544/NR/190
2. Allocation adequate?	Method not described	2. Run-in/Washout:	Yes/Yes
3. Groups similar at baseline?	Yes	3. Exclusion criteria reported?	Yes
4. Eligibility criteria specified?	Yes	4. Funding:	Merck
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?	No	Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?	Unclear, reported as double blind		
7. Care provider masked?	Unclear, reported as double blind		
8. Patients masked?	Unclear, reported as double blind		
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?	Yes		
10. Postrandomization exclusions?	Yes		
11. Reporting of Attrition	Yes		
Crossover	No		
Adherence	Yes		
Contamination	No		
Riddle, 2006	Trial type: Open label extension	Design: NA	Quality rating: NA
Internal validity		External validity	

Evidence Table 4. Quality assessment of efficacy trials of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Riddle, 2006	Trial type: Open label extension	Design: NA	Quality rating: NA
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?		1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	NR/591/518
2. Allocation adequate?		2. Run-in/Washout:	No/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?		3. Exclusion criteria reported?	
4. Eligibility criteria specified?		4. Funding:	Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Eli Lilly
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?		Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?			
7. Care provider masked?			
8. Patients masked?			
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?			
10. Postrandomization exclusions?			
11. Reporting of Attrition			
Crossover			
Adherence			
Contamination			

Riddle, 2007	Trial type: placebo-controlled	Design: RCT - Parallel group, DB, NR	Quality rating: Fair
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?	Method not described	1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	NR/NR/212
2. Allocation adequate?	Method not described	2. Run-in/Washout:	No/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?	Yes	3. Exclusion criteria reported?	Yes
4. Eligibility criteria specified?	Yes	4. Funding:	NR
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?	No	Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?	Unclear, reported as double blind		
7. Care provider masked?	Unclear, reported as double blind		
8. Patients masked?	Unclear, reported as double blind		
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?	Yes		
10. Postrandomization exclusions?	Yes		
11. Reporting of Attrition	Yes		
Crossover	No		
Adherence	No		
Contamination	No		

Rosenstock, 2006	Trial type: placebo-controlled	Design: RCT - Parallel group, DB, NR	Quality rating: Fair
Internal validity		External validity	

Evidence Table 4. Quality assessment of efficacy trials of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Rosenstock, 2006	Trial type: placebo-controlled	Design: RCT - Parallel group, DB, NR	Quality rating: Fair
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?	Yes*	1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	928/458/353
2. Allocation adequate?	Yes*	2. Run-in/Washout:	Yes/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?	Yes*	3. Exclusion criteria reported?	Yes
4. Eligibility criteria specified?	Yes	4. Funding:	Merck
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?	No	Comments: * This information was not provided in the publication but was provided by the manufacturer	
6. Outcome assessors masked?	Yes*		
7. Care provider masked?	Yes*		
8. Patients masked?	Yes*		
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?	Yes		
10. Postrandomization exclusions?	Yes		
11. Reporting of Attrition	Yes		
Crossover	No		
Adherence	No		
Contamination	No		
Scott, 2007	Trial type: active-control	Design: RCT - Parallel group, DB, NR	Quality rating: Fair
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?	Yes	1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	2186/NR/743
2. Allocation adequate?	Yes*	2. Run-in/Washout:	Yes/Yes
3. Groups similar at baseline?	Yes	3. Exclusion criteria reported?	Yes
4. Eligibility criteria specified?	Yes	4. Funding:	Merck
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?	No	Comments: * This information was not found in the publication but was provided by the manufacturer	
6. Outcome assessors masked?	Yes*		
7. Care provider masked?	Yes*		
8. Patients masked?	Yes*		
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?	Yes		
10. Postrandomization exclusions?	Yes		
11. Reporting of Attrition	Yes		
Crossover	No		
Adherence	No		
Contamination	No		
Scott, 2008	Trial type: active-control	Design: RCT - Parallel group, DB, NR	Quality rating: Fair
Internal validity		External validity	

Evidence Table 4. Quality assessment of efficacy trials of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Scott, 2008	Trial type: active-control	Design: RCT - Parallel group, DB, NR	Quality rating: Fair
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?	Yes*	1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	486/NR/273
2. Allocation adequate?	Yes*	2. Run-in/Washout:	Yes/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?	Yes	3. Exclusion criteria reported?	Yes
4. Eligibility criteria specified?	Yes	4. Funding:	Merck
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?	No	Comments: * This information was not found in the publication but was provided by the manufacturer.	
6. Outcome assessors masked?	Yes*		
7. Care provider masked?	Yes*		
8. Patients masked?	Yes*		
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?	Yes		
10. Postrandomization exclusions?	Yes		
11. Reporting of Attrition	Yes		
Crossover	No		
Adherence	Yes		
Contamination	No		
Whitehouse, 2002	Trial type: Open label extension	Design: NA	Quality rating: NA
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?		1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	NR/NR/236
2. Allocation adequate?		2. Run-in/Washout:	No/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?		3. Exclusion criteria reported?	
4. Eligibility criteria specified?		4. Funding:	NR; Amylin Pharmaceuticals?
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?		Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?			
7. Care provider masked?			
8. Patients masked?			
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?			
10. Postrandomization exclusions?			
11. Reporting of Attrition			
Crossover			
Adherence			
Contamination			

Evidence Table 4. Quality assessment of efficacy trials of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Whitehouse, 2002	Trial type: Open label extension	Design: NA	Quality rating: NA
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?	Method not described	1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	NR/NR/480
2. Allocation adequate?	Method not described	2. Run-in/Washout:	No/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?	Yes	3. Exclusion criteria reported?	Yes
4. Eligibility criteria specified?	Yes	4. Funding:	NR; Amylin Pharmaceuticals?
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?	Unable to determine	Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?	Unclear, reported as double blind		
7. Care provider masked?	Unclear, reported as double blind		
8. Patients masked?	Unclear, reported as double blind		
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?	No		
10. Postrandomization exclusions?	Yes		
11. Reporting of Attrition	Yes		
Crossover	No		
Adherence	No		
Contamination	No		
Zinman, 2007	Trial type: placebo-controlled		Design: RCT - Parallel group, DB, NR
Internal validity		External validity	
1. Randomization adequate?	Yes	1. Number Screened/Eligible/Enrolled:	435/250/233
2. Allocation adequate?	Yes	2. Run-in/Washout:	Yes/No
3. Groups similar at baseline?	Yes	3. Exclusion criteria reported?	No
4. Eligibility criteria specified?	Yes	4. Funding:	Eli Lilly & Amylin
5. Loss to follow-up, differential?	No	Comments:	
6. Outcome assessors masked?	Unclear, reported as double blind		
7. Care provider masked?	Unclear, reported as double blind		
8. Patients masked?	Yes		
9. Intention-to-treat analysis?	Yes		
10. Postrandomization exclusions?	Yes		
11. Reporting of Attrition	Yes		
Crossover	Yes		
Adherence	No		
Contamination	No		

Evidence Table 5. Systematic review of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus by Amori et al 2007

Author Year	Aims	Databases searched; Literature search dates;	Eligibility criteria	Number of trials/ Number of patients	Characteristics of identified articles: study designs	Characteristics of identified articles: populations	Characteristics of identified articles: interventions
		Other data sources					
Amori 2007	To assess efficacy and safety of GLP-1 analogues and DPP4 inhibitors in adults with DM2	MEDLINE (1966–May 20, 2007); Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (second quarter, 2007); prescribing information documents; in personal reference lists and citation sections of recovered articles; abstracts presented at the American Diabetes Association and the European Association Study of Diabetes conferences for 2005-2006.	English-language, randomized controlled trials and conference abstracts that reported original data in patients with DM2 with A1c outcomes for an incretin-based vs a non-incretin-based comparator group (placebo or hypoglycemic agent). Excluded studies <12 wks duration	29 RCTs (12 included in DERP report; 14 were excluded because the drugs are not yet FDA approved; yet 3 others were excluded because they did not meet DERP report inclusion criteria)	Exenatide- 7 studies total; 5 placebo-controlled and 2 active-controlled trials; there was 1 small study looking at long-acting formulation that is not yet FDA approved Liraglutide- 2 studies total; 1 placebo-controlled and 1 active-controlled trials; not yet FDA approved Sitagliptin- 8 studies total; 7 placebo-controlled and 1 active-controlled trials Vildagliptin- 12 studies total; 9 placebo-controlled and 3 active-controlled trials *some studies with an insulin comparator were open-label	Nonpregnant adults with varying severity and durations of DM2; patients were either inadequately controlled by diet/exercise, oral medications, insulin therapy, or combinations of diet/exercise, oral, and insulin therapy.	3 of 29 studies had study durations >30 wks 8 published trials in which a GLP-1 analogue was added to existing inadequate therapy (lifestyle or OHA) and compared with a DB injectable placebo, MET, or open-label subcutaneous insulin 1 small study with a LA formulation of a GLP-1 analogue 13 DB, placebo-controlled trials compared a DPP4 inhibitor given as monotherapy or as add-on therapy to OHA or insulin 4 trials compared a DPP4 inhibitor with an OHA, including glipizide titrated to glycemic goals, metformin, or a TZD 3 abstracts concerning a DPP4 inhibitor with data contributing only to certain meta-analyses

Evidence Table 5. Systematic review of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus by Amori et al 2007

Main efficacy outcome	Main efficacy results	Harms results	Quality assessment method	Limitations of primary studies	Data synthesis methods	Comments
Change A1c from baseline	Individual study results are listed in Figures 2 and 3 in the Amori article.	Data from all available doses were included to increase statistical power between treatment groups of uncommon events.	Oxman, et al criteria (minimal flaws in this SR= 6 of 7)	Short duration of follow-up which limits long-term assessment of efficacy/effectiveness and harms	For dose-dependent outcomes, such as glycemic efficacy (A1c, percentage achieving A1c < 7%), weight change, and hypoglycemia, only data from the approved maximum dose entered the meta-analyses. For nonapproved medications, the highest dose was used.	For postprandial glycemia, lipid profile, and antibody development, meta-analyses were not performed because of the diverse methods used to assess outcomes and/or because of insufficiently reported data.
If data from more than 2 trials were available for A1c, data from these trials within a class were combined and heterogeneity explored	<u>GLP-1 analogues and DPP4 inhibitors (mean difference in change in A1c versus control, 95% CI)</u>	(See Table 3 in Amori, et al for more information on harms, Results reported as Risk Ratio between incretin therapy vs. control, 95% CI)		Most studies included larger proportion of white patients with relatively lower baseline A1c levels compared to previous clinical trials. Most of the included studies did not use 'true' intent-to-treat populations for statistical analyses.	Used a random-effects model that weighs studies by the inverse of the within-study and between-studies variability. Also used the I ² statistic to quantify the degree of heterogeneity among trials.	
Treatment differences in FPG and the proportion of patients achieving HbA1c < 7%	<p>GLP-1 vs. placebo= -0.97 (-1.13, -0.81); I² 44%</p> <p>Exenatide vs. placebo= -1.01 (-1.18, -0.84); I² 45%</p> <p>Exenatide vs. insulin= -0.06 (-0.22, -0.10); I² 59%</p> <p>DPP4 vs. placebo= -0.74 (-0.85 to -0.62); I² 77%</p> <p>Sitagliptin vs. placebo= -0.74 (-0.84 to -0.63); I² 54%</p> <p>Vildagliptin vs placebo= -0.73 (-0.94 to -0.52); I² 85%</p> <p>Duration 12 wk vs placebo= -0.78 (-1.00 to -0.56); I² 82%</p> <p>Duration 12-24 wk vs placebo= -0.70 (-0.83 to -0.58); I² 72%</p> <p>DPP4 vs hypoglycemic agent= +0.21 (0.02 to 0.39); I² 66%</p>	<p><u>For GLP-1 analogues:</u> Hypoglycemia= Exenatide vs placebo injection 2.30 (1.08-4.88); Exenatide vs insulin 1.02 (0.46-2.26), Nausea= All GLP-1 analogues vs comparator 2.92 (2.02-4.24), Vomiting=All GLP-1 analogues vs comparator 3.32 (2.51-4.41), Diarrhea= All GLP-1 analogues vs comparator 2.23 (1.72-2.89)</p> <p><u>For DPP4 inhibitors:</u> Hypoglycemia= All DPP4 inhibitors vs comparator 0.97 (0.50-1.86), Nausea= All DPP4 inhibitors vs comparator 0.89 (0.58-1.36), vomiting, Diarrhea= All DPP4 inhibitors vs comparator 0.80 (0.42-1.54), abdominal pain, cough, influenza, Nasopharyngitis=All DPP4 inhibitors vs comparator 1.17 (0.98-1.40), upper respiratory tract infection, sinusitis, Urinary tract infection= All DPP4 inhibitors vs comparator 1.52 (1.04-2.21), Headache= All DPP4 inhibitors vs comparator 1.38 (1.10-1.72)</p>		Results do not apply to children		

Evidence Table 6. Quality assessment of systematic reviews of newer drugs for the treatment of diabetes mellitus

Study	Searches through	1. Search methods reported?	2. Comprehensive search?	3. Inclusion criteria reported?	4. Selection bias avoided?	5. Validity criteria reported?	6. Validity assessed appropriately?	7. Methods used to combine studies reported?	8. Findings combined appropriately?	9. Conclusions supported by data?	10. Overall scientific quality (score 1-7)
Amori, 2007	Medline 1966-May 20, 2007	Yes; Medline, Cochrane Library, conference abstracts, personal reference lists, websites	Yes; (see box 1)	Yes; English-language, RCTs; abstracts with data not included in RCTs (from ADA and European Assoc Study of DM for 2005-2006); nonpregnant adults with DM2; included drugs that are not yet FDA approved Excluded studies <12 wks duration	Yes (see quorum tree); Dual abstract review and consensus based on inclusion criteria	Yes; Reported certain internal validity characteristics of RCTs in Table 1 and some in the text.	Yes Reported how many studies mentioned allocation concealment, role of funding source in the study, etc. Did not specify whether sensitivity analyses were done; did not report whether they excluded poor quality studies for their analyses; they did mention that random-effects model was used and also reported I ² heterogeneity percentage.	Yes Reported individual study results and combined different incretin therapies comparing them to controls	Yes; Though sensitivity analyses could have been done by removing outliers since I ² was fairly high. Could have discussed heterogeneity issues observed.	Yes	6 of 7
Barnett, 2007	Jan 2004 - Sept 2006	Yes Medline, hand-searching references; meeting/conference abstracts; search terms were reported	No Only 1-database searched (Medline) with some hand-searching of reference lists	Yes The authors listed exclusion criteria: review articles, studies without documented mean wt change, DM1, adolescents, children	No	No nothing mentioned	No nothing mentioned	No	No	Unknown (results were in a narrative format)	1-major flaws (validity criteria or assessment not reported; high potential for selection bias)