Duality of the Immune Response in Cancer: Lessons Learned from Skin

Terry R. Medler¹ and Lisa M. Coussens^{1,2}

¹Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, Oregon, USA and ²Knight Cancer Institute, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, Oregon, USA

Correspondence: Lisa M. Coussens, E-mail: coussenl@ohsu.edu

doi:10.1038/skinbio.2014.5

The skin not only acts as a physical barrier to pathogens and toxins, but also functions as an immunological barrier constantly responding to environmental insults (e.g., UV radiation, chemical carcinogens, and oncogenic viruses). Resident and recruited immune cells respond to these types of insults by directly or indirectly inducing secretion of damage response molecules (e.g., proinflammatory cytokines, chemokines, matrix remodeling enzymes, reactive oxygen mediators, and so on) in an attempt to clear damaged cells and pathogens such that tissue homeostasis can be reinstated. Instead, when damage is chronic and/ or results in somatic alterations leading to altered proliferative or apoptotic programming of epithelial cells, inflammation that was initially an acute response instead becomes chronic. In this scenario, chronic inflammation acts as a promoting force that fosters early neoplastic progression and underscores data revealing that chronic exposure to environmental toxins and pathogens is a risk factor for cancer development (Coussens and Werb, 2002).

How does activation of what should be an acute response instead foster neoplasia? The series of events discussed above is initiated by tissue-resident innate immune cells (dendritic cells, mast cells, macrophages, and $\gamma\delta$ T cells) responding to damage response proteins, including damage-associated molecular patterns, pathogen-associated molecular patterns, Toll-like receptor ligands, colony stimulating factors, cytokines (tumor necrosis factor- α), and chemokines released from "damaged" epithelial cells (Balkwill et al., 2005; Balkwill, 2009). Upon engagement of these damage signals, resident immune cells are activated, and they respond by degranulation or secretion of a diversity of mediators that in turn results in activation of resident mesenchymal support cells (fibroblasts, adipocytes, mural, and endothelial cells), recruitment of peripheral blood leukocytes into "damaged" tissue, as well as engagement of cells of the adaptive immune system, both locally and peripherally (Balkwill et al., 2005). Dendritic cells, and to a lesser extent macrophages, are antigen-presenting cells that activate B and T cells to mount an adaptive immune response. Upon antigen recognition, B cells, as well as CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells, undergo clonal expansion and mount responses specific to presented antigens. Although all of these tissue responses are otherwise entirely "normal," during early neoplasia, they fail to resolve (Dvorak, 1986). Thus, chronic inflammation underlies the earliest stages of cancer development (Balkwill and Mantovani, 2001; Coussens and Werb, 2001, 2002). As such, chronic inflammation is now accepted as a hallmark of cancer development (Hanahan and Weinberg, 2011), where both innate and adaptive immune cells exert either pro- or anti-tumor activities dependent on their activation state and the microenvironment in which they reside (Balkwill et al., 2005; de Visser et al., 2006; Hanahan and Coussens, 2012). Although early studies of skin focused on the suppressive effects of leukocytes on carcinogenesis, we now recognize that proliferation and survival of epithelial cells harboring genomic alterations are sustained by chronic inflammatory pathways; understanding the nuances of these support mechanisms has yielded a diversity of new anticancer targets currently being utilized in the clinic.

ANTITUMOR PROPERTIES OF IMMUNE CELLS

The antitumor activities of immune cells were first harnessed in the late nineteenth century when Coley injected bacterial mixtures as therapy for sarcomas after noting that cancer had subsequently patients who acquired acute infections developed spontaneous tumor regression (Coley, 1891). Although the basis for tumor regression was not understood at the time, it was the first evidence that the immune system could be harnessed for cancer therapy; we now know that cytotoxic T cells were responsible for Coley's observed tumor regressions (Bickels et al., 2002). More recent studies reporting antitumor roles for the immune system are clinical studies reporting that organ transplant recipients receiving long-term immunosuppressants exhibit increased relative risk for squamous cell carcinomas (Hardie et al., 1980; Hartevelt et al., 1990). It has subsequently been revealed that increased relative risk is in part because of major histocompatibility complex class I and II genes responsible for antigen presentation to cytotoxic T cells (Bouwes Bavinck et al.,

1991a, b), as well as infections by human papilloma viruses and UV exposure in a setting where T cells are incapable of responding (de Visser *et al.*, 2006).

Studies led by Schreiber and colleagues were among the first to characterize tumor-specific antigens. These studies revealed that $CD8^+$ T cells become licensed by specific tumor antigens, thus representing structures against which antitumor immune responses are elicited (Schreiber et al., 1988; Ward et al., 1989). Antigens in this form represent protein products of mutant genes, overexpressed genes, or viral genes (Cheever et al., 2009). However, the immune system is also continually sculpting tumors (i.e., immunoediting), as was evidenced by work from Schreiber et al. (2011). Immunoediting occurs in three stages: elimination, equilibrium, and escape. The elimination phase consists of the innate and adaptive arms of the immune system working in concert to destroy cancer cells. In the event that mutated cells are not eliminated, the equilibrium phase ensues wherein leukocytes interact with neoplastic cells and maintain a state of dormancy. The escape phase is entered once neoplastic cells become less immunogenic, evade host immune responses, or actively immunosuppress the host, resulting in tumor outgrowth and progression (Schreiber et al., 2011).

The functional significance of cytotoxic T lymphocytes in skin carcinogenesis was first revealed in a UV-induced experimental tumor model in which depletion of CD8⁺ T cells correlated with enhanced tumor growth in immunocompetent mice (Fortner and Kripke, 1977). Cytotoxic CD8⁺ T cells respond to tumor-specific antigens and mediate antitumor responses via expression of IFN- γ and granzymes. Progressing tumors (escape phase) often overcome cytotoxic T-cell specificity by reducing expression of IFN- γ receptors, loss of antigen expression, and reduced major histocompatibility complex expression. Although IL-10 has historically been thought to contribute to immunosuppressive environments and reduced antitumor

activity, it was recently reported that IL-10 in skin induces CD8⁺ T-cell tumor infiltration, directly leading to increased expression of IFN-y, granzymes, and intratumoral major histocomplex molecules, compatibility thereby restoring tumor immunosurveillance in late-stage tumors (Mumm et al., 2011; Emmerich et al., 2012). Another mechanism by which CD8⁺ T cells and natural killer T cells can escape immunosurveillance was revealed using mice overexpressing the stress antigen major histocompatibility complex class Ib molecule Rae-1. CD8⁺ T cells and natural killer T cells express the Rae-1 receptor NKG2D, and thus recognize and lyse damaged cells expressing Rae-1 (Oppenheim et al., 2005). Overexpression of Rae-1, representing chronically stressed cells, results in downregulation of NKG2D on CD8⁺ T cells and natural killer T cells, thus rendering them anergic and enabling immune evasion, thereby increasing cancer incidence and progression (Girardi et al., 2004; Oppenheim et al., 2005). Together, these studies indicate that immunosurveillance and response to tumor antigens is a critical aspect of cancer suppression/regression.

Langerhans cells (LCs) residing in epidermal layers of squamous epithelium are thought to represent initial antigen-presenting cells encountering tumor antigens (Lewis et al., 2010). LCs sample their surrounding microenvironment for antigens, and upon encountering such, traffic via dermal lymphatic vessels to skin-draining lymph nodes where they present antigen to T cells (Lewis et al., 2010). Their protective role against carcinogenesis was initially demonstrated by Grabbe et al. (1991) using in vivo models. Epidermal cells from control mice and Thy-1-depleted epidermal cells were preincubated with tumor fragments. Cell suspensions were then injected into syngeneic mice and when challenged tumor cells, with neither the untreated epidermal cells nor the Thy-1-depleted epidermal cells were protected against tumor challenge, indicating that LCs participated in antitumor immunity (Grabbe et al., 1991). However, it should be noted that this role may be dependent on the tumor context, as it has recently been reported that LCs are also responsible for metabolism of 7,12-dimethylbenz [α]anthracene (DMBA) into its mutagenic metabolite DMBA-trans-3,4-diol; mice lacking LCs are resistant to DMBA-induced carcinogenesis and exhibit reduced DNA damage, including fewer *HRas* mutations (Strid *et al.*, 2008; Modi *et al.*, 2012).

The $\gamma\delta$ T cells (dendritic epidermal T cells) are resident epithelial T cells expressing restricted or invariant TCR- γ and - δ genes. In murine epidermis, dendritic epidermal T cells function in immunosurveillance; they respond to stress and other self-antigens expressed by damaged or diseased keratinocytes, and they directly lyse damaged cells (Kaminski et al., 1993; Girardi, 2006). The role of dendritic epidermal T cells in tumor immunosurveillance was highlighted by Girardi et al. (2001), where they revealed increased susceptibility to cutaneous malignancies induced by DMBA/12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA) in γδ T cell-deficient mice. One mechanism by which dendritic epidermal T cells may regulate tumor development in this context is via NKG2D recognition of the stress ligand Rae1 that is induced upon DMBA/TPA treatment (Girardi et al., 2001). As is the case with the CD8⁺ T cells described above, $\gamma\delta$ T cells expressing NKG2D can kill Rae-1-expressing cells in vitro, thus demonstrating their cytolytic activity toward damaged and stressed cells (Girardi et al., 2001; Oppenheim et al., 2005).

PROTUMOR PROPERTIES OF IMMUNE CELLS

Although the antitumor properties of the immune system are well appreciated, there is now also ample evidence that select subtypes of leukocytes also promote tumorigenesis. Virchow first reported the presence of leukocytes in tumors in the nineteenth century and hypothesized that tumors arise at sites of chronic inflammation (Balkwill and Mantovani, 2001). Although later studies have confirmed the link between chronic inflammation and increased incidence of tumor development (Balkwill and Mantovani, 2001), the functional role of immune cells in tumorigenesis has only recently begun to be elucidated.

Among the first immune cells recognized as having a protumoral role in neoplastic progression were mast cells, based on *in vivo* studies in the K14-HPV16 mouse model of squamous carcinogenesis (Coussens *et al.*, 1999). Mast cells were found to release matrix remodeling proteolytic enzymes, including mast cell protease-4 and -6 and matrix metalloprotease-9, that in turn activate fibroblasts and initiate angiogenesis (Coussens *et al.*, 1999, 2000). Subsequent studies elucidated mechanisms by which myeloid cells, including mast cells and macrophages, are recruited to premalignant tissue and foster ongoing tumor development. B cells, which are activated in the periphery by antigen-presenting cells, secrete autoantibodies that form circulating immune complexes that accumulate in neoplastic stroma as the vasculature becomes leaky and angiogenic (de Visser et al., 2005). The circulating immune complexes interact with activating Fcy receptors (FcyRI and III), leading to activation of FcyR-dependent signaling cascades in myeloid cells that in turn foster protumoral programs critical for cancer development (Andreu et al., 2010). The significance of this process is illustrated by studies where absence of B cells in premalignant tissue or in squamous cell carcinomas of tumor-prone mice, by either genetic ablation or B-cell depletion via administration of B cell– depleting α CD20 antibody, prevents or limits circulating immune complex deposition, impedes recruitment and activation of protumoral-type leukocytes, and thereby limits neoplastic progression (Andreu *et al.*, 2010; Affara *et al.*, 2014).

Tumor necrosis factor- α is an important proinflammatory cytokine secreted by epithelial cells, mast cells, macrophages, and T cells involved in neoplastic progression of several cancer



Figure 1. Putative targets for combinational immunotherapy in squamous carcinogenesis. Pro- and antitumor activities of resident and recruited immune cells during squamous carcinogenesis are depicted. Neoplastic epidermis is shown progressively acquiring invasive/malignant properties (left to right) and invading into ectopic dermis. Resident and recruited immune cells, and their effector molecules, are depicted in black, with targets for therapeutic intervention shown in red. By combining immunological targets with chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy in patients harboring favorable immunoscores, durable antitumor responses are likely to be achieved as compared with conventional cytotoxic monotherapy. Arg1, arginase-1; BTKi Bruton's tyrosine kinase inhibitor; CAR, chimeric antigen receptor T cell; Col, collagen; CTLA-4, cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen-4; CTX, chemotherapy; dDC, dermal dendritic cell; DETC, dendritic epidermal T cell; dLN, draining lymph node; EGF, epidermal growth factor; FcγR, immunoglobulin Fc γ receptor; FGF, fibroblast growth factors; GZM, granzyme; HDAC, histone deacetylase; HPV, human papilloma virus; IC, immune complex; Lam, laminin; LC, Langerhans cell; MΦ, macrophage; MCP, mast cell protease; MHCII, major histocompatibility class II; MMP, matrix metalloproteinase; MPO, myeloperoxidase; NKT cell, natural killer T cell; OSM, oncostatin M; PD-L1, programmed death ligand-1; PMN, polymorphonuclear leukocyte; RTX, radiotherapy; Syki, Syk kinase inhibitor; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.

types, including chemically induced squamous cancers. Mice lacking tumor necrosis factor- α are largely resistant to tumor formation following administration of DMBA/TPA (Moore *et al.*, 1999), where IL-10-secreting B regulatory cells and CD4⁺ T cells play important roles in regulating terminal phenotypes (Schioppa *et al.*, 2011).

Other mechanisms by which immune cells can be recruited to sites of chronic inflammation are by expression of CXC chemokine receptors (CXCRs). CXCR2 is primarily expressed on neutrophils and is a key regulator of their recruitment and effector responses (Cacalano et al., 1994). Cutaneous activation of protein kinase C, either by TPA or in oncogene-expressing transgenic mice, results in secretion of cytokine-induced neutrophil chemoattractant and macrophage inflammatory protein 2, leading to recruitment of CXCR2⁺ neutrophils (Cataisson

et al., 2006). It has been reported that CXCR2-deficient mice exhibit reduced chemotaxis of neutrophils and a corresponding reduction in tumorigenesis in DMBA/TPA-treated mice, indicating that targeting protumorigenic neutrophils may be therapeutically efficacious (Jamieson *et al.*, 2012).

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Malignant tumors generally evolve by developing mechanisms to evade antitumor immune-based programs embedded in the tissues in which they reside, or by instead conscripting them to promote various hallmarks of carcinogenesis (Hanahan and Coussens, 2012). Based on these observations, targeted immunotherapies should strive to either enhance the antitumor properties of immune cells, mitigate the protumor properties of immune cells, or a combination of the two. Perhaps one of the most significant immunomodulating therapies recently developed with demonstrated efficacy in melanoma is an antibody to cytotoxic lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA4, Т ipilimumab) that inhibits the negative regulatory activity of CTLA4 on cytotoxic T cells and T regulatory cells (Chambers et al., 2001; Wing et al., 2008). Clinical results from a randomized phase III trial for relapsedrefractory metastatic melanoma with ipilimumab indicated a 2-fold survival benefit at 12-15 months, thus leading to its recent Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval (Hodi et al., 2010). Although successes such as those observed with ipilimumab embolden immunotherapy approaches, the fact that the majority of patients receiving the drug failed to respond indicates that other protumoral mechanisms will also require targeting in order to achieve durable remissions for all patients. Combining



Figure 2. Timeline of milestones in skin tumor immunology that have demonstrated the pro- and antitumor properties of immune cells and their mediators. Also depicted are immunomodulating therapies that have been used in the past or have current Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval. CTLA-4, cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen-4; CXCR2, CXC chemokine receptor-2; Fc γ R, immunoglobulin Fc γ receptor; HLA, human leukocyte antigen; MMP-9, matrix metalloproteinase-9; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma; TNF- α , tumor necrosis factor- α .

immune-based therapies with conventional chemotherapy, radiotherapy, or targeted therapy based on tumor immunometrics, e.g., immunoscore (Galon *et al.*, 2013), are likely to be the next generation of personalized cancer therapies (Coussens *et al.*, 2013), and aid in new approaches going forward (Figure 1).

The skin serves as a vital barrier between the host and a harsh environment. Resident and recruited leukocytes are constantly serving critical roles in maintaining tissue homeostasis, but in instances of chronic inflammation, they paradoxically contribute to every stage of cancer progression. The models outlined above, including UV-induced tumorigenesis, chemical carcinogenesis, and the K14-HPV16 mouse model of squamous carcinogenesis, have been indispensable for understanding the role of the immune system in cancer initiation and progression (Figure 2), and we expect many additional milestones in tumor immunology to be revealed using the skin as a model system.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors state no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by a National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health postdoctoral training grant (T32CA106195) and the Cathy and Jim Rudd Career Development Award for Cancer Research to TRM, and grants from the NIH/NCI (R01CA130980, R01CA140943, and R01CA155331), the DOD BCRP Era of Hope Scholar Scholar Expansion Award (W81XWH-08-PRMRP-IIRA), Susan B Komen Foundation, and the Breast Cancer Research Foundation to LMC.

TO CITE THIS ARTICLE

Medler TR, Coussens LM (2014) Duality of the immune response in cancer: lessons learned from skin. *J Invest Dermatol* 134: E23–E28.

REFERENCES

Affara NI, Ruffell B, Medler TR *et al.* (2014) B cells regulate macrophage phenotype and response to chemotherapy in squamous carcinomas. *Cancer Cell* 25:809–21.

Andreu P, Johansson M, Affara NI *et al.* (2010) FcRgamma activation regulates inflammationassociated squamous carcinogenesis. *Cancer Cell* 17:121–34.

Balkwill F (2009) Tumour necrosis factor and cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* 9:361–71.

Balkwill F, Charles KA, Mantovani A (2005) Smoldering and polarized inflammation in the

initiation and promotion of malignant disease. *Cancer Cell* 7:211–7.

Balkwill F, Mantovani A (2001) Inflammation and cancer: back to Virchow? *Lancet* 357:539–45.

Bickels J, Kollender Y, Merinsky O *et al.* (2002) Coley's toxin: historical perspective. *Isr Med Assoc J* 4:471–2.

Bouwes Bavinck JN, Kootte AM, Van Der Woude FJ *et al.* (1991a) On a possible protective effect of HLA-A11 against skin cancer and keratotic skin lesions in renal transplant recipients. *J Invest Dermatol* 97:269–72.

Bouwes Bavinck JN, Vermeer BJ, van der Woude FJ *et al.* (1991b) Relation between skin cancer and HLA antigens in renal-transplant recipients. *N Engl J Med* 325:843–8.

Cacalano G, Lee J, Kikly K *et al.* (1994) Neutrophil and B cell expansion in mice that lack the murine IL-8 receptor homolog. *Science* 265:682–4.

Cataisson C, Pearson AJ, Tsien MZ *et al.* (2006) CXCR2 ligands and G-CSF mediate PKCalphainduced intraepidermal inflammation. *J Clin Invest* 116:2757–66.

Chambers CA, Kuhns MS, Egen JG *et al.* (2001) CTLA-4-mediated inhibition in regulation of T cell responses: mechanisms and manipulation in tumor immunotherapy. *Annu Rev Immunol* 19:565–94.

Cheever MA, Allison JP, Ferris AS *et al.* (2009) The prioritization of cancer antigens: a national cancer institute pilot project for the acceleration of translational research. *Clin Cancer Res* 15:5323–37.

Coley WB (1891) II. Contribution to the knowledge of sarcoma. *Ann Surg* 14:199–220.

Coussens LM, Raymond WW, Bergers G *et al.* (1999) Inflammatory mast cells up-regulate angiogenesis during squamous epithelial carcinogenesis. *Genes Dev* 13:1382–97.

Coussens LM, Tinkle CL, Hanahan D *et al.* (2000) MMP-9 supplied by bone marrow-derived cells contributes to skin carcinogenesis. *Cell* 103:481–90.

Coussens LM, Werb Z (2001) Inflammatory cells and cancer: think different! J Exp Med 193:F23–6.

Coussens LM, Werb Z (2002) Inflammation and cancer. *Nature* 420:860–7.

Coussens LM, Zitvogel L, Palucka AK (2013) Neutralizing tumor-promoting chronic inflammation: a magic bullet? *Science* 339:286–91.

de Visser KE, Eichten A, Coussens LM (2006) Paradoxical roles of the immune system during cancer development. *Nat Rev Cancer* 6:24–37.

de Visser KE, Korets LV, Coussens LM (2005) De novo carcinogenesis promoted by chronic inflammation is B lymphocyte dependent. *Cancer Cell* 7:411–23.

Dvorak HF (1986) Tumors: wounds that do not heal. Similarities between tumor stroma generation and wound healing. *N Engl J Med* 315:1650–9.

Emmerich J, Mumm JB, Chan IH *et al.* (2012) IL-10 directly activates and expands tumorresident CD8(+) T cells without de novo infiltration from secondary lymphoid organs. *Cancer Res* 72:3570–81. Fortner GW, Kripke ML (1977) In vitro reactivity of splenic lymphocytes from normal and UV-irradiated mice against syngeneic UV-induced tumors. *J Immunol* 118:1483–7.

Galon J, Angell HK, Bedognetti D *et al.* (2013) The continuum of cancer immunosurveillance: prognostic, predictive, and mechanistic signatures. *Immunity* 39:11–26.

Girardi M (2006) Immunosurveillance and immunoregulation by gammadelta T cells. *J Invest Dermatol* 126:25–31.

Girardi M, Oppenheim D, Glusac EJ *et al.* (2004) Characterizing the protective component of the alphabeta T cell response to transplantable squamous cell carcinoma. *J Invest Dermatol* 122:699–706.

Girardi M, Oppenheim DE, Steele CR *et al.* (2001) Regulation of cutaneous malignancy by gammadelta T cells. *Science* 294:605–9.

Grabbe S, Bruvers S, Gallo RL *et al.* (1991) Tumor antigen presentation by murine epidermal cells. *J Immunol* 146:3656–61.

Hanahan D, Coussens LM (2012) Accessories to the crime: functions of cells recruited to the tumor microenvironment. *Cancer Cell* 21:309–22.

Hanahan D, Weinberg RA (2011) Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell* 144:646–74.

Hardie IR, Strong RW, Hartley LC *et al.* (1980) Skin cancer in Caucasian renal allograft recipients living in a subtropical climate. *Surgery* 87:177–83.

Hartevelt MM, Bavinck JN, Kootte AM *et al.* (1990) Incidence of skin cancer after renal transplantation in The Netherlands. *Transplantation* 49:506–9.

Hodi FS, O'Day SJ, McDermott DF *et al.* (2010) Improved survival with ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma. *N Engl J Med* 363:711–23.

Jamieson T, Clarke M, Steele CW *et al.* (2012) Inhibition of CXCR2 profoundly suppresses inflammation-driven and spontaneous tumorigenesis. *J Clin Invest* 122:3127–44.

Kaminski MJ, Cruz Jr PD, Bergstresser PR *et al.* (1993) Killing of skin-derived tumor cells by mouse dendritic epidermal T-cells. *Cancer Res* 53:4014–9.

Lewis J, Filler R, Smith DA *et al.* (2010) The contribution of Langerhans cells to cutaneous malignancy. *Trends Immunol* 31:460–6.

Modi BG, Neustadter J, Binda E *et al.* (2012) Langerhans cells facilitate epithelial DNA damage and squamous cell carcinoma. *Science* 335:104–8.

Moore RJ, Owens DM, Stamp G *et al.* (1999) Mice deficient in tumor necrosis factor-alpha are resistant to skin carcinogenesis. *Nat Med* 5:828–31.

Mumm JB, Emmerich J, Zhang X *et al.* (2011) IL-10 elicits IFNgamma-dependent tumor immune surveillance. *Cancer Cell* 20:781–96.

Oppenheim DE, Roberts SJ, Clarke SL *et al.* (2005) Sustained localized expression of ligand for the activating NKG2D receptor impairs natural cytotoxicity in vivo and reduces tumor immunosurveillance. *Nat Immunol* 6:928–37.

Schioppa T, Moore R, Thompson RG *et al.* (2011) B regulatory cells and the tumor-promoting

actions of TNF-alpha during squamous carcinogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108:10661–7.

Schreiber H, Ward PL, Rowley DA *et al.* (1988) Unique tumor-specific antigens. *Annu Rev Immunol* 6:465–83.

Schreiber RD, Old LJ, Smyth MJ (2011) Cancer immunoediting: integrating immunity's roles

in cancer suppression and promotion. Science 331:1565-70.

Strid J, Roberts SJ, Filler RB *et al.* (2008) Acute upregulation of an NKG2D ligand promotes rapid reorganization of a local immune compartment with pleiotropic effects on carcinogenesis. *Nat Immunol* 9:146–54.

Ward PL, Koeppen H, Hurteau T *et al.* (1989) Tumor antigens defined by cloned immunological probes are highly polymorphic and are not detected on autologous normal cells. *J Exp Med* 170:217–32.

Wing K, Onishi Y, Prieto-Martin P *et al.* (2008) CTLA-4 control over Foxp3 + regulatory T cell function. *Science* 322:271–5.